

Wendy,

The Department of the Treasury has reviewed the Exposure Draft Definitional Changes Related to Deferred Maintenance and Repairs: Amending Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment and attached is our responses to your questions.

We appreciate the opportunity to review the exposure draft.

Regards,

Kawan Taylor
Assistant Director (Accounting)
Office of Accounting and Internal Control
Office of the Deputy Chief Financial Officer
Department of the Treasury
Metropolitan Square, Room 6G409

Questions for Respondents

Exposure Draft: Proposed Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards entitled, *Definitional Changes Related to Deferred Maintenance and Repairs: Amending Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment*.

Responses are requested by June 25, 2010

Q1. The Board proposes adding “repairs” to the title and body of the revised definition in order to clarify that deferred “repairs” as well as deferred “maintenance” need to be reported.

Do you agree or disagree that the maintenance definition (title and body) should be changed to explicitly include “repairs” (refer to paragraphs A8 – A27 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Agree – Although the inclusion of repairs was implied, it is better to specify that they are included.

Q2. The second sentence of the existing standard provides (1) an illustrative list of activities which are not meant to be all inclusive and (2) the terms “acceptable services” and “expected life.” First, the Board proposes that the list of activities contained in the second sentence of the existing definition be updated to better reflect current federal and industry practices as well as encompass maintenance and repair (M&R) activities related to equipment and other personal property in addition to buildings, building components, or service systems. Second, the Board believes that the terms “acceptable services” and “expected life” should be eliminated from the definition. The second sentence would read as follows:

“Activities include preventive maintenance, replacement of parts, systems, or components, and other activities needed to preserve or maintain the asset.”¹

a. **Do you agree or disagree with each change to the list of activities (refer to paragraph A16 through A17 for a list of changes and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer to each change.**

Agree - The proposed changes are a better reflection of current federal and industry practices.

¹ Note: The current SFFAS 6 language states in part that maintenance is “...needed to preserve the asset so that it continues to provide acceptable services and achieves its expected life.”

- b. **Do you agree or disagree with the elimination of the phrase “so that it continues to provide acceptable services and achieves its expected life” (refer to paragraphs A18, A19, and A27 for detailed discussions and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer to each reference/phrase.**

Agree – “Acceptable services” and “expected life” should be eliminated. It is understood that an asset would be expected to provide “acceptable services”, and “expected life” is not appropriate.

Q3. The Board proposes changing the last sentence of the definition to exclude the reference to needs “originally intended” to be met by the asset. Instead, “*activities directed towards expanding the capacity of an asset or otherwise upgrading it to serve needs different from, or significantly greater than, its current use*” is proposed (underscoring added for emphasis).

As such, the proposed revised last sentence would read as follows:

“Maintenance and repairs exclude activities directed towards expanding the capacity of an asset or otherwise upgrading it to serve needs different from, or significantly greater than, its current use.”

Do you agree or disagree with the aforementioned change (refer to paragraph A20 for a detailed discussion and related explanation)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Agree – the asset's “current use” is more appropriate and ascertainable.

Q4. The Board is not proposing a change at this time but rather, is seeking input on the impact that agency capitalization thresholds might have in the reporting of deferred maintenance and repairs. Because PP&E is subject to various capitalization thresholds and actual maintenance requirements are not, some believe it is more appropriate to report deferred maintenance and repairs (DM&R) in the broader context of fixed assets rather than only for capitalized PP&E.

Do you believe Deferred Maintenance and Repair (DM&R) reporting should be limited to DM&R related to capitalized PP&E or directed broadly to fixed assets? Please provide the rationale for your answer. Refer to paragraph A21(c) and A24 for a detailed discussion and related explanation.

Deferred Maintenance and Repair reporting should be limited to capitalized PP&E. PP&E as reported on the Balance Sheet only includes capitalized assets. If you include DM&R for non-capitalized assets it will effectively undervalue reported PP&E.

Q5. The Board encourages respondents to not only provide input concerning any and all aspects of the proposed changes thus far discussed, but also other changes, points, issues and/or considerations which may not have been specifically addressed in this exposure draft. In addition, the basis for conclusions explains the Board's goals for this project (see comments beginning at par.A8) and also discusses other issues raised by task force members (as an example, see paragraphs A11 through A13).

Please provide any comments or suggestions you have regarding the goals for this project, other issues identified in the basis for conclusions, or areas which have not been addressed.

No further comments.