

Exposure Draft Questions for Respondents (QFR)
and Specific Matters for Comment (SMC)

Due: November 4, 2022

Intragovernmental Leasehold Reimbursable Work Agreements

Please select the type(s) of organization responding to this exposure draft. If you are not responding on behalf of an organization, please select "individual."

Accounting Firm	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (user)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (preparer)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (auditor)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (other)	<input type="checkbox"/>	If other, please specify: <input type="text"/>
Association/Industry Organization	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Nonprofit organization/Foundation	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	If other, please specify: <input type="text"/>
Individual	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Please provide your name.

Name:

Please identify your organization, if applicable.

Organization:

Please email your responses to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to respond by email, please call (202) 512-7350 to make alternate arrangements.

QFR 1 Do you generally agree or disagree with the proposed Technical Bulletin as a whole? Please provide reasons for your views.

Agree. BIA is current.

QFR 2 Are there specific aspects of the proposed Technical Bulletin that you disagree with? If so, please explain the reasons for your positions, the paragraph numbers(s), and/or topic area(s) of the proposal that are related to your positions, and any alternatives you propose and the basis for such alternatives.

BIA does not have any disagreements with this proposed Technical Bulletin.

QFR 3 Do any ambiguous areas remain that could lead to challenges with implementing the requirements? If so, please provide examples of the issues, references to applicable guidance, and any potential solutions you propose.

BIA has a very small population of capitalizable reimbursable work agreements. No implementation issues are detected at from this Technical Bulletin.

QFR 4 Are there specific aspects of this proposal that you otherwise wish to provide comments on?

No additional comments at this time.

SMC 1 Paragraph 15 of the proposed Technical Bulletin provides that entities should establish, document, and consistently follow policies for recognizing leasehold improvement assets between reporting entities and reach agreement on such recognition. Please provide feedback on the extent to which you believe this would (or would not) facilitate consistent implementation of the intragovernmental accounting requirements. Also, please provide feedback on the inclusion of paragraph 15 as a proposed requirement in a Technical Bulletin and any potential implementation challenges. Please describe any alternative views or approaches, suggestions for improvement, and the reasons for your views.

BIA is a customer-lessee only based on the definition provided in the Technical Bulletin. BIA currently has a procedure for reviewing and monitoring reimbursable work agreements with other federal agencies. Further BIA has detailed procedures on monitoring assets under construction/ leasehold improvements. Amortization of completed assets is system automated.

SMC 2 Paragraphs 28 and 34 provide proposed disclosure requirements. Please provide feedback on the extent to which these proposed disclosure requirements are appropriate. For example, is such information necessary to make the financial statements informative and relevant to users in assessing accountability? Please describe your views, the costs and benefits of including these proposed disclosures, and other reasons for your views. Also, please provide feedback on the inclusion of these paragraphs as proposed requirements in a Technical Bulletin and any potential implementation challenges.

BIA is a customer-lessee based on the definition provided in the Technical Bulletin. No additional comments at this time.