

July 30, 2018

Wendy Payne, Executive Director Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board Mail Stop 6H19 441 G Street, NW – Suite 6814 Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Payne:

The Greater Washington Society of Certified Public Accountants (GWSCPA) Federal Issues and Standards Committee (FISC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board's (FASAB) Exposure Draft (ED) on the proposed Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standard Accounting and Reporting of Government Land.

The GWSCPA consists of approximately 3,300 members, and the FISC includes nearly 30 GWSCPA members who are active in financial management, accounting, and auditing in the Federal sector. We sincerely appreciate the opportunity by the Board to share our views, and the hard work and dedication by the Board Members and Staff on their contributions to improving federal financial reporting.

Our responses to the ED questions are included below.

- Q1. The Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or "the Board") proposes reclassifying general property, plant, and equipment (G-PP&E) land as a non-capitalized asset with no dollar amounts reported on the balance sheet. Any future acquisitions of land would be expensed on the statement of net cost. Disclosures regarding G-PP&E land would be required. For the proposed amendments, refer to paragraphs 8-10 (for component reporting entities) and 16 (for the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government). For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs A9-A16, A21-A24, and A39-A41 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.
 - a. Do you agree or disagree with the Board's proposal to reclassify G-PP&E land as a non-capitalized asset with no dollar amounts reported on the balance sheet and expense future acquisitions on the Statement of Net Cost? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
 - b. Do you agree or disagree that land information should be presented as basic information in the G-PP&E note disclosure? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
- A1. The FISC agrees with the Board's proposal to reclassify G-PP&E land as a non-capitalized asset with no dollar amounts reported on the balance sheet and expense future acquisitions on the Statement of Net Cost. Further, the FISC agrees that land information should be presented as basic

Ms. Payne, Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board July 30, 2018

- information in the G-PP&E note disclosure. The Board provides sufficient reasons in the ED to explain the Board's position.
- Q2. The Board has developed uniform disclosure requirements for G-PP&E land and stewardship land (SL). Both G-PP&E land and SL would be further disaggregated into three predominant use subcategories. For each of the sub-categories, the following disclosures would be required from each component reporting entity: (1) a description of the entity's policies, (2) physical quantity information, (3) estimated acres of land, (4) estimated acres of land held for disposal or exchange, (5) a general description of the types of land rights acquired by the entity, and (6) a reference to deferred maintenance and repairs information. Required disclosures for the government-wide financial statements include items (1), (3), and (4) above, as well as a general reference to agency reports for additional information. For the proposed amendments, refer to paragraphs 10, 13, 15, and 16. For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs A25, A33-A41, and A53-A54 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.
 - a. Do you agree or disagree with the Board's proposed component reporting entity disclosure requirements for G-PP&E land and SL? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
 - b. Do you agree or disagree with the Board's proposed government-wide financial statement disclosure requirements for G-PP&E land and SL? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
- A2. The FISC agrees with the Board's proposed component reporting entity and government-wide disclosure requirements.
- Q3. The Board proposes retaining both the G-PP&E land and SL categories for an entity's land holdings. For the proposed amendments, refer to paragraphs 8-14. For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs A17-A24 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.
 - Do you agree with retaining the G-PP&E land and SL categories? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
- A3. The FISC agrees with retaining the G-PP&E land and SL categories.
- Q4. The Board proposes to revise the G-PP&E land and permanent land rights definitions. In addition, the Board proposes definitions for the following terms: acres of land held for disposal or exchange, commercial use land, conservation and preservation land, and operational land. For the proposed amendments, refer to paragraphs 8-11. For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs A9-A16 and A25-A33 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.
 - Do you agree or disagree with the Board's proposed G-PP&E land and permanent land rights definition and the related sub-category definitions? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
- A4. The FISC agrees with the Board's proposed G-PP&E land and permanent land rights definition and the related sub-category definitions.

Ms. Payne, Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board July 30, 2018

Q5. The Board proposes amendments to the current definition of SL including footnote 16 and definitions for the following terms: acres of land held for disposal or exchange, commercial use land, conservation and preservation land, and operational land. For the proposed amendments, refer to paragraphs 12-14. For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs A9-A16, A21-A24, and A26-A33 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.

Do you agree or disagree with the Board's proposed definition of SL, including footnote 16 and the related subcategory definitions? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

- A5. The FISC agrees with the Board's proposed definition of SL.
- Q6. The Board is proposing a two-year implementation period, which would make the proposed requirements effective for reporting periods beginning after September 30, 2021. For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs 19, A9-A12, A42-A45, and A51-A52 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed effective date? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

- A6. The Board agrees with the proposed effective date, accompanied by the allowance for early adoption.
- Q7. The Board has continually noted the fundamental challenges associated with developing and documenting information regarding historical assets like land. Technical Release (TR) 9, Implementation Guide for Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 29: Heritage Assets and Stewardship Land, paragraph 85 states in part that a methodology needs to be employed to develop documentation to support management's assertions of federal ownership. For a detailed discussion and related explanation refer to paragraphs A51-A54 in Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions.
 - a. Would incorporating any of the guidance contained in TR 9 in the proposed accounting standards facilitate the preparation and auditing processes? For example, should the list of examples of the supporting documentation contained at paragraph 85 in TR 9 be incorporated, changed, or expanded to facilitate implementation of the proposed requirements? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
 - b. What type of implementation guidance should FASAB provide that enables (1) flexibility for supporting estimated acres of land and (2) assistance in identifying predominant use as well as selecting appropriate physical unit categories? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
- A7. The FISC recommends that the list of examples of supporting documentation contained in paragraph 85 in TR 9 should be incorporated into the ED. The FISC members did not identify any matters that would require additional implementation guidance.
- Q8. The Board encourages respondents to not only provide input concerning any and all aspects of the proposed changes, but also other matters that may not have been specifically addressed in this

Ms. Payne, Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board July 30, 2018

exposure draft. In addition, the Basis for Conclusions explains the Board's goals for this proposal (see discussion beginning at par. A1) and also discusses other issues raised by task force members, as well as experts and practitioners both within and external to government (as an example, see par. A1-A12, A42-A45, and A46-A50).

Moreover, the Board is interested in receiving comments specific to the following matters:

- (1) Its proposed use of non-financial information (NFI) as a means to provide information more relevant than the financial recognition and measurement of land
- (2) Whether requiring the disclosure of "estimated acres of land" instead of "acres of land" would provide preparers greater flexibility and reduced burden while still ensuring that user needs are met
- (3) The determination and application of materiality to NFI (that is, the appropriate considerations for NFI)
- (4) Whether materiality is affected by the presentation of land information as basic, required supplementary information, or other information. For example, identify challenges in estimating the NFI in each of the three categories identified above.

Please provide your thoughts and rationale concerning the four areas noted above.

Please provide any other comments or suggestions you have regarding the goals for this project, other issues identified in the Basis for Conclusions, or other areas that have not been addressed.

A8. The FISC supports the proposed use of non-financial information as a means of providing information more relevant than the financial recognition and measurement of land. The FISC members expressed concern that additional guidance is needed to the government auditing community for consistent determination of materiality for non-financial information.

This comment letter was reviewed by the members of FISC, and represents the consensus views of our members.

Very truly yours,

Andrew C. Lewis FISC Chair

Andw Lets