

FASAB Exposure Draft: Questions for Respondents due November 30, 2017

Amending Inter-Entity Cost Provisions

Please select the type(s) of organization responding to this exposure draft. If you are not responding on behalf of an organization, please select "individual."

Accounting Firm	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (user)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (preparer)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (auditor)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Federal Entity (other)	<input type="checkbox"/>	If other, please specify: <input type="text"/>
Association/Industry Organization	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Nonprofit organization/Foundation	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	If other, please specify: <input type="text"/>
Individual	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Please provide your name.

Name:

Please identify your organization, if applicable.

Organization:

Q1. The provisions of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 4, *Managerial Cost Accounting Standard and Concepts*, as amended, require all reporting entities to recognize the full costs of services received from other federal reporting entities even if there is no requirement to reimburse the providing entity for the full cost.

Component reporting entities that have implemented the inter-entity cost provisions of SFFAS 4 typically show less than one percent increase in gross costs attributable to imputed costs other than those associated with personnel benefits and the Treasury Judgment Fund. The proposal would revise SFFAS 4 to provide for recognition of inter-entity costs by business-type activities and rescind the following:

- a. SFFAS 30, *Inter-entity Cost Implementation: Amending SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts*
- b. Interpretation 6, *Accounting for Imputed Intra-departmental Costs: An Interpretation of SFFAS No. 4*

Do you agree or disagree? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Agree. The previous requirements for the reporting entity to recognize full costs of services from other federal reporting entities, even when there is no requirement to reimburse the providing reporting entity, do not justify the time and efforts for gathering the information, especially when the inter-entity costs are not significant. Full costing should be limited to business-type activities.

FASAB Exposure Draft: Questions for Respondents due November 30, 2017**Amending Inter-Entity Cost Provisions**

Q2. The Board is proposing that component reporting entities provide a concise statement to acknowledge significant services received for which no cost is recognized.

a) Do you agree or disagree? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Agree, as not disclosing significant services may impact fair presentation and affects comparability among agencies.

b) Do you believe the proposed disclosure would impose a greater cost or burden when compared to existing requirements? Please consider implementation challenges for both the preparer and auditor in formulating your opinion. Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Disagree. The proposed disclosure would lessen the burden for reporting entities as insignificant inter-entity costs need not be recognized under the proposed standard.