Questions for Respondents

Invitation to Comment

Please select the type(s) of organization responding to this exposure draft. If you are not responding on behalf of an organization, please select "individual."

Reexamination of Existing Standards

Accounting Firm					
Federal Entity (use	er)				
Federal Entity (preparer)					
Federal Entity (au	ditor)				
Federal Entity (other)		\boxtimes	If other, please specify:	Deputy Assistant Secretary	
Association/Industry Organization					
Nonprofit organiza	tion/Foundation				
Other			If other, please specify:		
Individual					
Please provide y	our name.				
Name: Joanne Choi					
Please identify y	our organizatio	n, if ap	plicable.		
Organization:	Department of	Veteran	s Affairs		

Please email your responses to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to respond by email, please call (202) 512-7350 to make alternate arrangements.

FASAB GAAP HIERARCHY QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1.1: The federal GAAP hierarchy in SFFAS 34 provides the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of general purpose financial reports of federal entities that conform with GAAP. Do you agree that SFFAS 34 clearly and sufficiently explains the federal GAAP hierarchy and its application to federal accounting and reporting?

Agree

Please explain your response. Click here to enter text.

QUESTION 1.2: Have you experienced challenges in applying and using the federal GAAP hierarchy in SFFAS 34 to resolve accounting or reporting issues?

Disagree

Please explain your response, including any perceived challenges with applying SFFAS 34 (for example, utility in applying SFFAS 34 to resolving accounting and reporting issues, need to clarify authoritative vs non-authoritative guidance, relationship to other standard setters when FASAB guidance is silent, inconsistencies with different levels of GAAP, or questions regarding the application of "practices that are widely recognized and prevalent in the federal government.")

Invitation to Comment Reexamination of Existing Standards

REEXAMINATION OF FASAB STANDARDS QUESTION

QUESTION 2: Below are the 23 reexamination topic areas for which the Board is requesting your response. Respondents may review Appendix A: Reexamination Table of Pronouncements¹ in its entirety for a full understanding. For each reexamination topic (column 1), please indicate the priority level for reexamination from the following options:

- (1) **High priority:** topic and related SFFASs are of <u>significant</u> concern and should be included in the reexamination with priority. Please provide **no more than five** high priority topics.
- **(2) Medium priority:** topic and related SFFASs are of concern and should be included in the reexamination, but after high priority topics are addressed.
- (3) Low priority: topic and related SFFASs are not of concern and do not need to be reexamined at this time.²

Please explain your response, including specific details³ and examples to support your rationale, especially those ranked high priority and medium priority. Provide information (including specific SFFAS references where appropriate) that would help the Board understand why the reexamination of a particular SFFAS might take precedence or be considered more important than other SFFASs. To accomplish this, the Board is seeking feedback from respondents on where they believe there are opportunities for the Board to improve guidance within the 23 reexamination topics. This includes the following potential improvements:

- Streamlining authoritative guidance
- Eliminating or revising unclear requirements
- Eliminating disclosures and other required information that may no longer benefit users
- Filling gaps in the standards where the guidance either does not address or does not adequately address areas where federal financial reporting objectives are not being met
- Resolving inconsistencies in current practice
- Clarifying the standards (including addressing areas where the standards are difficult to apply)
- Reconsidering areas where there is significant preparer or audit burden versus perceived value of the information or other cost/benefit concerns

¹ Appendix A: Reexamination Table of Pronouncements provides more details regarding how the 61 SFFASs result in 23 reexamination topics for consideration.

² The Board anticipates that the topics for reexamination will need to be reassessed in the future.

³ For example, respondents may offer detail in terms of materiality, audit findings, cost-benefit, or other significant information to explain the need for reexamination of the SFFAS.

Questions for Respondents

Invitation to Comment Reexamination of Existing Standards

Considering overlaps or redundancy in requirements

Please be explicit regarding opportunities to eliminate or revise requirements, whether those are in the standards or elsewhere. Stakeholder feedback will give the Board insight on respondent's views on these matters.

Topic #1

SFFAS 1, Accounting for Selected Assets and Liabilities Interpretation 10, Clarification of Non-federal Non-entity FBWT Classification (SFFAS 1, Paragraph 31): An Interpretation of SFFAS 1 and SFFAS 31 TB 2020-1, Loss Allowance for Intragovernmental Receivables

Medium Priority

To assist federal agencies with reporting and disclosure requirements, FASAB Board should provide or discuss scenarios when the agency's FWBT does not balance with Treasury.

Topic #2

SFFAS 2, Accounting for Direct Loans and Loan Guarantees AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 18, SFFAS 19

High Priority

The standard can be expanded to provide guidance on the disclosure requirements for Credit Reform. The current guidance is complex and should be simplified.

Topic #3

SFFAS 3, Accounting for Inventory and Related Property

AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 48

Interpretation 7, Items Held for Manufacture

Medium Priority

It would be helpful to expand on guidance for disclosure requirements for opening balances and valuation methods.

Topic #4

SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 55

Medium Priority

SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of The Federal Government Topic #5 AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 12, SFFAS 25

Questions for Respondents

Responses Due: September 15, 2023

Invitation to Comment Reexamination of Existing Standards

Interpretation 2, Accounting for Treasury Judgment Fund Transactions: An Interpretation of SFFAS 4 and SFFAS 5
Interpretation 4, Accounting for Pension Payments in Excess of Pension Expense TB 2002-1, Assigning to Component Entities Costs and Liabilities that Result from Legal Claims Against the Federal Government
TB 2017-1, Intragovernmental Exchange Transactions

High Priority

Expand on standard to assist agencies on the steps to recognize, measure, and account for liabilities accurately. Within the existing standard, it is recommended to include a section on what agencies should consider when estimating liabilities.

It is recommended to remove information on capital leases from SFFAS 5 since it is discussed in depth in SFFAS 54. FASAB can briefly mention capital leases then refer the reader to SFFAS 54.

	SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment
	AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 23, SFFAS 40, SFFAS 50
	Interpretation 9, Cleanup Cost Liabilities Involving Multiple Component Reporting
Topic #6	Entities: An Interpretation of SFFAS 5 & SFFAS 6
_	TB 2006-1, Recognition and Measurement of Asbestos-Related Cleanup Costs (as
	amended by TB 2009-1 and TB 2011-2)
	TB 2017-2, Assigning Assets to Component Reporting Entities

Medium Priority

	SFFAS 7, Accounting for Revenue and Other Financing Sources and Concepts for
	Reconciling Budgetary and Financial Accounting
	AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 20, SFFAS 21, SFFAS 53
	Interpretation 5, Recognition by Recipient Entities of Receivable Nonexchange
	Revenue: An Interpretation of SFFAS 7
Topic #7	Interpretation 11, Debt Cancellation: An Interpretation of SFFAS 7, Paragraph 313 TB 2002-2, Disclosures Required by Paragraph 79(g) of SFFAS 7 Accounting for
	Revenue and Other Financing Sources and Concepts for Reconciling Budgetary and
	Financial Accounting
	TB 2017-1, Intragovernmental Exchange Transactions

Medium Priority

The terms exchange and nonexchange revenue should be elaborated to help agencies consistently recognize, measure, and report revenue on the financial statement. Recommend this standard includes scenarios/examples.

Topic #8 SFFAS 10, Accounting for Internal Use Software

Questions for Respondents

Invitation to Comment

Reexamination of Existing Standards

Medium Priority

Low Priority

Topic #9	SFFAS 17, Accounting for Social Insurance
Topic #9	AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 26, SFFAS 37

Low Priority

Topic #10	SFFAS 24, Selected Standards for the Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government SFFAS 32, Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government Requirements: Implementing Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts 4 "Intended Audience and Qualitative Characteristics for the Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government"
-----------	--

Low Priority

Topic #11	SFFAS 27, Identifying and Reporting Funds from Dedicated Collections
TOPIC #11	AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 43

Medium Priority

Topic #12	SFFAS 29, Heritage Assets and Stewardship Land
Low Priority	
Topic #13	SFFAS 31, Accounting for Fiduciary Activities

Low Priority

	SFFAS 33, Pensions, Other Retirement Benefits, and Other Postemployment Benefits: Reporting the Gains and Losses from Changes in Assumptions and
•	Selecting Discount Rates and Valuation Dates

Medium Priority

Topic #15	SFFAS 34, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, Including the
Topic #15	Application of Standards Issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board

⁴ SFFAS 15, *Management's Discussions and Analysis*, is excluded from reexamination because the SFFAS is currently being reviewed under an active Board project. Respondents may provide general comments and feedback for the Board's consideration.

Questions for Respondents

Invitation to Comment Reexamination of Existing Standards

Medium Priority

Low Priority

Topic #17	SFFAS 38, Accounting for Federal Oil and Gas Resources
Topic #17	TB 2011-1, Accounting for Federal Natural Resources Other Than Oil and Gas

Low Priority

Topic #19	SFFAS 39, Subsequent Events: Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting
10pic #16	Standards Contained in the AICPA Statement on Auditing Standards

Low Priority

Tonic #19	SFFAS 44, Accounting for Impairment of General Property, Plant, and Equipment Remaining in Use
Topic #19	Remaining in Use

Medium Priority

Topic #20

Low Priority

Topic #21	SFFAS 49, Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements
p	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Low Priority

|--|

Low Priority

Topic #23	SFFAS 52, Tax Expenditures
-----------	----------------------------

Low Priority

Topic #24	SFFAS 54, Leases ⁵ AS AMENDED BY: SFFAS 57, SFFAS 60, SFFAS 61 TB 2023-1, Intragovernmental Leasehold Reimbursable Work Agreements
-----------	---

High Priority

_

⁵ SFFAS 54, *Leases*, is excluded from the reexamination project because the SFFAS is not yet effective. Respondents may provide general comments and feedback for the Board's consideration.

Questions for Respondents

·

Invitation to Comment Reexamination of Existing Standards

VA's number one priority for FASAB is the reexamination of SFFAS 54.

VA continues to have significant concerns on SFFAS 54 and asks FASAB to prioritize the reexamination of SFFAS 54 when it becomes effective for periods after September 30, 2023. Furthermore, VA asks FASAB to rescind SFFAS 54 based on following concerns:

There is significant burden to implement SFFAS 54 at the VA and there is no tangible benefit. VA has approximately 1,400 lease agreements potentially impacted by implementation of SFFAS 54. However, VA has no automated solution to effectively support execution of the SFFAS 54. Federal agencies must use their core accounting system to comply with SFFAS, but VA's legacy core financial system is more than 30 years old and cannot be configured to meet SFFAS 54 requirements. VA considered alternative commercial products with the necessary capabilities to support the standard, discussing potential software solutions with several vendors, but, as of September 2023, no Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program (FedRAMP) compliant solution has been identified. Without the availability of a FEDRAMP compliant solution, VA must resort to using manual processes and spreadsheets comply with SFFAS 54 to: calculate the present value of leases, develop the lease amortization schedules, identify the funded principal portion of lease liabilities each year based on the amortization schedules, identify the interest portion of the year for payments that need to be funded, identify the monthly amounts of payments and receipts to apply to principal and interest to update the general ledger balances of lease liabilities and leased assets, and generate the required disclosures of future payments due. Due to the size of VA's lease portfolio, using manual processes and spreadsheets to comply with SFFAS introduces significant financial statement audit risk to the VA.

VA estimates that implementation of SFFAS 54 will cost millions of dollars without beneficial impact to the users of VA's financial statements. The additional accounting and disclosures required by SFFAS 54 will not assist the typical readers of VA's financial reports, Veterans. The lease amortization concept is complex, resulting in a balance sheet gross-up, and most users do not benefit from the solely accounting focused impact of this statement. FASAB could easily expand current disclosure requirements to include future payments of non-cancellable and cancellable leases, providing users a much more straightforward understanding of an agency's long term lease commitments compared to the SFFAS 54 effect of burying a lease liability and lease asset within an agency's balance sheet. Moreover, VA decision makers do not use SFFAS 54 lease information to make programmatic lease decisions. VA determines lease requirements based on the Veteran population and the space requirements necessary to provide Veteran benefits, with Congress often mandating VA's major leases. VA's Contracting Officers solicit leases with terms and conditions based on clinical requirements and market conditions within a geographic area and do not rely on SFFAS 54 asset and liability valuations during the solicitation process. Therefore, this standard will be burdensome and costly to implement without providing benefit to VA and the Veterans we serve.

Due to the size of VA's lease portfolio and the manual process that will be required to perform lease data extraction, maintain the portfolio, and accurately account for the leases as capital leases under the standard, VA will be required to make an unaffordable investment in additional financial staff. Because VA prioritizes investment decisions that prioritize fulfilling our core

Questions for Respondents

Responses Due: September 15, 2023

Invitation to Comment Reexamination of Existing Standards

mission, the additional resources necessary to manually comply with SFFAS 54 will divert resources that benefit our Nation's approximately 20 million Veterans. This impediment to cost effective and efficient implementation is not unique to VA as other Federal agencies face similar issues.

Topic #25	SFFAS 56, Classified Activities ⁶ Interpretation 8, An Interpretation of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 56, Classified Activities
-----------	---

Low Priority

Topic #26 SFFAS 59, Accounting and Reporting of Government Land⁷

Low Priority

⁶ SFFAS 56, *Classified Activities,* is excluded from the reexamination project due to the topic. Respondents may provide general comments and feedback for the Board's consideration.

⁷ SFFAS 59, *Accounting and Reporting of Government Land,* is excluded from the reexamination project because the SFFAS is not yet effective. Respondents may provide general comments and feedback for the Board's consideration.