

July 22, 2021

Ms. Monica R. Valentine
Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
441 G Street, NW, Suite 1155
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Valentine:

The Greater Washington Society of Certified Public Accountants (GWSCPA) Federal Issues and Standards Committee (FISC) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or “the Board”) Exposure Draft (ED) on the proposed Interpretation, *Debt Cancellation: An Interpretation of SFFAS 7, Paragraph 313*.

The GWSCPA consists of approximately 3,300 members, and the FISC includes nearly 20 GWSCPA members who are active in financial management, accounting, and auditing in the Federal sector. We sincerely appreciate the opportunity by the Board to share our views.

Our responses to the ED questions are included below.

- Q1. Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 7, *Accounting for Revenue and Other Financing Sources and Concepts for Reconciling Budgetary and Financial Accounting*, paragraph 313 provides:

Cancellation of debt.—The debt that an entity owes Treasury (or other agency) may be canceled by Act of Congress. The amount of debt that is canceled (including the amount of capitalized interest that is canceled, if any) is a gain to the entity whose debt is canceled and a loss to Treasury (or other agency). The purpose of borrowing authority is generally to provide an entity with capital rather than to finance its operations. Therefore, the cancellation of debt is not earned by the entity’s operations and is not directly related to the entity’s costs of providing goods and services. As a result, the cancellation is a nonexchange gain to the entity that owed the debt and a nonexchange loss to the lender.

Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 2, *Entity and Display*, paragraph 100 provides that nonexchange activity is reported on the statement of changes in net position (SCNP).

This proposed Interpretation would clarify that the standards provide that debt cancellation is a nonexchange activity and should be reported on the SCNP. This proposed Interpretation would also clarify that paragraph 313 of SFFAS 7 should not be interpreted to require that a particular line item¹ “gain” or “loss” be displayed on the SCNP. In addition, reporting entity management is responsible for determining the most appropriate line item presentation and display and related disclosures, such as information about the debt cancellation. Refer to paragraphs 2-8 and A6-A18.

¹ While in certain standards, the Board may have determined that requiring a specific line item for display was appropriate, most standards do not prescribe a specific reference or line item display because items may need to be displayed separately or be included with other items due to materiality.

a. Do you agree or disagree with the guidance? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

- A1. The FISC agrees with the guidance for the reasons provided by the Board.
- Q2. **Paragraph 3 of the proposed Interpretation refers to “other financing sources” as defined by SFFAS 7. Under SFFAS 7, financing sources are divided into three categories: exchange revenue, nonexchange revenue, and “other.” As discussed in paragraph A22, FASAB coordinated with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and determined that certain changes to the form and content requirements provided for the statement of changes in net position (SCNP) in OMB Circular A-136 would ensure clarity and consistency with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Specifically, eliminating the sub-categories “Budgetary Financing Sources” and “Other Financing Sources (Nonexchange)” presented under the Cumulative Results on the SCNP would simplify the presentation and thereby enhance the clarity. In the next update to the [FASAB Handbook of Accounting Standards and Other Pronouncements, as Amended](#), FASAB plans to make similar changes to non-authoritative illustrative financial statements presented in SFFAS 27 and SFFAS 43. Refer to paragraphs 9 and A22.**

a. Is there a need for additional clarity or consistency with respect to the definition or use of the term “other financing sources” in FASAB documents or other guidance?

- A2. The FISC recommends that the Board considers whether other FASAB documents and guidance besides those referenced above will need to also be updated to reflect the changes related to the form and content of the SCNP.
- Q3. **Do you believe that the proposed Interpretation clarifies ambiguity regarding debt cancellation and would resolve any existing or anticipated issues? If not, please provide detail about other specific clarifications required regarding debt cancellations. Please also provide any other comments and other suggestions on the Interpretation. Please provide the rationale for your answer.**
- A3. The FISC believes the proposed Interpretation clarifies the proper reporting of debt cancellation.