



August 1, 2008

Memorandum

To: Members of the Board

From: Eileen W. Parlow, Assistant Director

Through: Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director

Subj: Fiscal Sustainability Reporting – **Tab A**¹

MEETING OBJECTIVES

At the June 2008 meeting, the Board expressed the desire to issue an ED at the end of August 2008. This would allow approximately 120 days for public comment with a public hearing to be scheduled in conjunction with the February 2009 Board meeting.

In order to proceed from the attached preballot draft exposure draft (ED), *Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government* to an ED by the end of August 2008, the following actions will be needed on the part of the members:

- review revisions and edits that were approved at the June 2008 Board meeting;
- review and approve revisions and edits that were recommended by representatives for the GAO, OMB or Treasury members at a meeting on July 24, 2008; and
- review and approve a terminology change recommended by Mr. Dacey.

A description of the above revisions and edits begins on page 2 of this memorandum.

A majority vote (six) is needed to issue an ED. Ballots are available in the event that all revisions and edits are approved at the August meeting.

BRIEFING MATERIAL

This memorandum includes a summary of changes agreed to at the June 2008 meeting and recommended by representatives for the GAO, OMB or Treasury members at a meeting on July 24, 2008. In addition, the following items are attached:

1. Revised milestones- project plan

¹ The staff prepares Board meeting materials to facilitate discussion of issues at the Board meeting. This material is presented for discussion purposes only; it is not intended to reflect authoritative views of the FASAB or its staff. Official positions of the FASAB are determined only after extensive due process and deliberations.

2. History of recent Board decisions
3. Preballot Draft ED- marked copy
4. Preballot Draft ED- clean copy

BACKGROUND

Results of June 2008 Board Meeting

At the June 2008 meeting, the members approved the following revisions and edits, which have been incorporated into the Preballot Draft ED:

1. In the section on policy assumptions, the specific example of the Alternative Minimum Tax has been deleted and taxation framework is instead described in very broad terms.
2. The term “required narrative and graphics” has been replaced with the term “disclosures” in order to reflect the fully phased-in status of the requirements. For consistency, the term “primary summary display” has also been changed to “basic financial statement.”
3. A requirement to direct the reader to other reports on state and local governments has been deleted.
4. The requirement to disclose trends at the end of finite projection periods has been moved from the assumptions section to the reporting requirements section.
5. The requirements for the basic financial statement and the disclosures have been revised to eliminate redundancies and to present requirements in concise lists.
6. The Board’s consensus was to strike the words “and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year” from the end of the first sentence in paragraph 30 (“valuation period”). However, at the July 24, 2008 meeting, the group consensus was to recommend that the Board reconsider this decision. This issue is addressed on page 3 of this memorandum.
7. The requirement to explain changes from one period to the next attributable to changes in valuation period, legislative changes and changes in assumptions has been made more generic, so that it does not required the disaggregation of all changes into categories.
8. Question for Respondents No. 4 has been revised to include a question that will specifically prompt a response on whether the disclosure of data for the horizon not selected for the basic financial statement (e.g., infinite or finite) should be required.
9. The requirement to disaggregate amounts in the basic financial statement has been changed to “major programs such as.....” rather than naming specific major programs.
10. The requirement to report a range of possible results has be re-worded so as not to imply that the highest and lowest conceivable ranges need to be selected for reporting.
11. There is a requirement to explain and report “fiscal gap” either on the face of the basic financial statement or in a disclosure. The requirements for fiscal gap allow the preparer to decide whether to report fiscal gap as a single target debt-to-GDP

level, multiple ratios, or a continuum of ratios- e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs.) Illustrations for both options are shown in Appendix B: as a continuation of the basic financial statement and as Illustration 8.

Results of July 2008 Meeting, Representatives for Treasury, OMB and GAO Members

At a meeting on July 24, 2008, representatives for the Treasury Department, OMB and GAO members recommended the following changes:

1. The short term “current policy” has been changed to “current policy without reform” and substituted for “current levels” throughout the document.
2. To implement the Board’s consensus decision (item 11 above), proposed requirements and illustrations for reporting fiscal gap were developed. (See paragraph 36 and Appendix B, bottom of illustrative basic financial statements and Illustration 8.)
3. The participants have asked the Board to reconsider the decision described in item 6 on the previous page:

The Board’s consensus was to strike the words “and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year” from the end of the first sentence in paragraph 30 (“valuation period”).

Item 1: Current Policy without Reform

At the June meeting, members discussed concerns regarding the short-term “current policy” as well as the use of the phrase “current levels of benefits and services.” Mr. Werfel indicated that he found the terms “current policy” and “current law” to be confusing and was concerned that other readers might also be confused. Mr. Dacey noted that the phrase “current levels” was unclear since it might be confused with constant dollar levels. Staff committed to work with the members to develop an alternative that did not alter the intent with respect to selection of assumptions but that clarified for these members that intent.

The group agreed that the following short term would convey the intent of the members without introducing confusion between proposed policy (considered the “current policy” of the Administration) or constant dollar levels:

In this standard, “current policy without reform” refers to the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (i.e., no policy change/reform).

Most of the explanatory language regarding assumptions (and current law) remains in place. Staff believes that taken as a whole, the guidance is understandable and consistent with the intent of the Board.

Staff recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Board adopt the revised language.

Question 1 for the Board:

Does the Board accept the revised terminology? (See paragraphs 14 and 26)

Item 2: Fiscal Gap

The Board agreed to consider the two fiscal gap graphs developed by Mr. Dacey and GAO technical staff if these graphs could be made more understandable. The proposed graphs presented:

1. the fiscal gap (in PV\$ and as a percent of GDP) over a range of target debt ratios (debt-to-GDP ranging from zero – the fiscal imbalance target—to 120 percent), and
2. the cut in non-interest spending and increase in taxes needed to close the fiscal gap over the same range of debt-to-GDP.

Mr. Dacey provided the revised graphs shown in illustration 8 in the draft ED. However, he noted that the graphs remain challenging to understand. In addition, because the fiscal gap does not change greatly over the given range, the incremental information provided through the graphs is negligible. He proposed that the standard permit the preparer to present fiscal gap information either on the face of the basic financial statement or in a disclosure. In addition, the standard would permit the preparer to present a single fiscal gap (e.g., for a single target debt-to-GDP ratio selected by the preparer), fiscal gaps for two or more selected target debt-to-GDP ratios, or a continuum (e.g., through graphs such as those presented in illustration 8). Explanatory text also would be required. The basic financial statement included as the first illustration in Appendix B shows how the preparer might include fiscal gap information on the face of the statement.

Staff recommendation:

Staff recommends that the proposal be adopted by the Board.

Question 2 for the Board:

Does the Board accept the proposal?

Item 3: Valuation Date

The Treasury, OMB and GAO representatives noted that this change would have the unintended consequence of allowing the reporting to be updated more infrequently than the Board intends- for example, it would allow the updating of the basic financial statement every two or more years rather than annually. This would make comparative information impossible. Since this was not the Board's intent, they recommended that the words "and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year" should tentatively be retain until the Board reconsiders this decision.

Staff recommendation:

Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Treasury, OMB and GAO representatives to retain the wording in paragraph 31.

Question 3 for the Board:

Does the Board agree with the recommendation to retain the wording “and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year” in paragraph 31?

In addition to the above change, the group discussed the ramifications of reforms adopted either between the valuation date and the end of the reporting period or after the end of the reporting period but before the report is issued. The following language was proposed by Bob Dacey.

If, after the valuation date, but prior to the end of the fiscal year, policy reforms are enacted that could materially affect the basic statement, the projections should be adjusted, if feasible, as if the policy reforms took place as of the valuation date. If not feasible, the entity should disclose an estimate of the magnitude of the effect of the policy reform on the projection or, if not possible, disclose that it was not possible to reasonably estimate the effect. In any case, the nature of the policy reform should be disclosed. If policy reforms are enacted after the end of the fiscal year, but prior to the issuance of the financial statements, the financial statements should disclose the nature of the policy reform and, if known, the estimated effect on the projections.

Note that the language provides for the possibility that it may not be possible to update the projections but serves to encourage and explicitly permit such updates. Staff recommends that the language be included in the ED.

Question 4 for the Board: Does the Board agree with the recommendation to adopt the provision presented above?

Terminology change: “benefits and services” to “public services”

Bob Dacey has recommended that the term “benefits and services” be changed to “public services” to parallel the wording of Objective 3(b) in paragraph 139 of SFFAC 1 (**bold added**).

[139] Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain **public services** and to meet obligations as they come due

Mr. Dacey recommended that since “public services” was not defined in SFFAC 1, staff should draft a footnote definition, which staff has done:

In this standard, “public services” refers to all goods, benefits and services provided by the government. Federal public services include but are not limited to the provision of goods, cash or other financial benefits such as Social Security benefits and loan guarantees, as well as national defense, national security, transportation safety and the operation of national parks.

Staff analysis:

The term “benefits and services” was used to parallel the wording of paragraph 140 of SFFAC 1 (**bold added**).

[140] Users of financial reports also want help in assessing the likelihood that the government will continue to provide the current level of **benefits and services** to constituent groups, such as farmers, retirees, and the poor.

Bob Dacey and staff agree that the change would be editorial rather than substantive. However, because the term “benefits and services” occurs so frequently throughout the ED, staff believes that the proposed change should be noted in the briefing materials and subject to approval by a majority of the Board. The term “public services” has been incorporated into the revised preballot draft ED so that the Board can see the change in context.

Question 5 for the Board:

Is the terminology change (from “benefits and services” to “public services”) acceptable to the Board?

Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force- Acknowledgment

The Preballot Draft ED acknowledges the Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force participants on page 66, as follows: “The FASAB acknowledges with gratitude the invaluable expertise and support of the Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force participants.”

The Task Force participants reviewed and approved the following disclaimer: “The views expressed in this exposure draft represent the views of the FASAB members and should not be attributed to the Task Force participants or to their organizations.”

Attachment 1 – Revised Milestones for Project Plan

Revised Milestones - Fiscal Sustainability Reporting

April 5, 2007	Task Force Meeting: Technical Experts
May 24, 2007	Board meeting: Recap of results of April 2007 task force meeting
June 19, 2007	Task Force Meeting: Financial Statement Users/Communications Experts
July 25-26, 2007	Board meeting: Survey of international reporting; recap of results of June 2007 task force meeting
September 19-20, 2007	Board meeting: Present options and proposals for reporting
December 4-5, 2007	Board meeting: Present draft ED for discussion
February 13-14, 2008	Board meeting: Continue discussion of draft ED
April 16-17, 2008	Board meeting: Discuss preballot draft ED
June 18-19, 2008	Board meeting: Discuss fiscal imbalance and fiscal gap
August 20-21, 2008	Board meeting: Review preballot draft ED
August 29, 2008	Issue ED: Comments due January 5, 2009
February 25-26, 2009	Board meeting: Public Hearing
April 22-23, 2009	Board meeting: Discuss ED comments and staff proposal(s)
June 17-18, 2009	Board meeting: Continue discussion of comments/proposal(s)
August 26-27, 2009	Board meeting: Continue discussion of comments/proposal(s)
October 21-2, 2009	Board meeting: Continue discussion/preballot draft SFFAS
December 16-17, 2009	Board meeting: Ballot draft SFFAS
January 5, 2010	Transmittal to principals; Begin 90-day review period
April 7, 2010	End 90-day review period and issue SFFAS

Note: The above milestone dates assume that the maximum time period allowed for the submission of an Alternative View regarding the ED will not be necessary. If this becomes necessary, the comment period would be shortened to slightly less than 120 days; in any case, the comment period will be more than 90 days. The public hearing would still be held in February 2009 and the remainder of the revised schedule would not change.

Attachment 2:
History of Board Decisions on Fiscal Sustainability Reporting

Board Meeting Date	Question/Item for Discussion	Board Decision
June 2008	Board reviewed numerous edits proposed by members, such as the disaggregation of line items to “major programs such as...” and asked staff to work with OMB and GAO on language to implement Board’s decision re reporting fiscal gap. FASAB staff met with representatives of Treasury, OMB and GAO on July 24, 2008 re draft of “fiscal gap” language and review preliminary preballot draft ED.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The ED will propose that the fiscal gap be reported either on the face of basic financial statement or in a disclosure; and that the fiscal gap may be reported for a single debt-to-GPD ratio (such as the level as of the reporting date), multiple ratios, or a continuum of ratios, e.g. in the form of a graph). 2. The Board consensus was to strike the phrase “and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year” from the requirement for valuation period; however, at the July 24 2008 meeting, the group consensus was to ask the Board to reconsider that decision.
April 2008	Board reviewed comments from the Task Force technical experts with a focus on the distinction between fiscal imbalance and fiscal gap.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff will develop briefing materials to facilitate an in-depth discussion of fiscal imbalance and fiscal gap. 2. Treasury Dept. will provide an alternative proposal for the Board prior to the June 2008 meeting. <p>OMB will review the ED’s guidance on assumptions and report if problems are anticipated.</p>
February 2008	Board reviewed draft ED with focus on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Time horizon 2. Minimum required level of disaggregation 3. Options for primary summary display 4. Initial placement within the CFR and phased implementation <p>Other required information,</p>	<p>Board decisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Both finite and infinite projections will be required. Summary data for the time horizon not presented in the primary display will be presented in the narrative section. 2. Minimum disaggregation: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Receipts: Social Security, Medicare and rest of

Attachment 2 – History of Board Decisions – Fiscal Sustainability Reporting

Board Meeting Date	Question/Item for Discussion	Board Decision
	especially the “impact of delay”	<p>government</p> <p>b. Spending: Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, rest of government</p> <p>3. Reached consensus on list of items required to be included in the primary summary display. [Staff note: this list of required items did not include fiscal gap, which appeared at the bottom of Option A.]</p> <p>4. Phased implementation: Primary summary display and required narrative and graphics will be RSI for 3 years starting in FY 2010. Starting in FY 2013, principal financial statement and notes.</p> <p>Added a requirement to address the likely impact of delay (if remedial action is indicated) with illustrative CBO graphic.</p>
December 2007	<p>Board reviewed draft ED with focus on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revised guidance for objectives and assumptions 2. “Per capita” issue 3. Initial discussion of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Draft summary displays <p>Draft requirements for additional narrative and graphics.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Board approved objections and assumptions in substance; staff will incorporate edits. 2. Board decided against including per capita measures. <p>Board requested that actual data be developed for all pro forma displays.</p>
September 2007	<p>Board discussed</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. objectives and 2. assumptions <p>for fiscal sustainability reporting</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Board expressed general agreement on the draft objectives, with some revisions. 2. Board concurred that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Staff should develop broad guidelines for assumptions rather than detailed rules, and Assumptions should be based upon current law, except when

Attachment 2 – History of Board Decisions – Fiscal Sustainability Reporting

Board Meeting Date	Question/Item for Discussion	Board Decision
		current law does not provide for continuance of current levels of spending and taxation.
July 2007	<p>1. Board was briefed on results of the June 19, 2007 “Communications Group” Task Force meeting.</p> <p>2. Topics addressed at the July Board meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Whether to develop reporting objectives versus a definition of “fiscal sustainability”, and (b) Need for user feedback. <p>3. The Board was also provided with an international survey of fiscal sustainability reporting and a draft project plan for this project, including milestone target dates.</p>	<p>Board concurred that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Staff should draft objectives that would be based upon Stewardship objective, and <p>Staff should continue to explore potential avenues for user feedback.</p>
May 2007	Handout for the Board: update on April 2007 Task Force meeting	N/A
March 2007	<p>Board was briefed on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Results of the April 5, 2007 meeting with technical members of the task force. 2. Results of February 22, 2007 meeting with Allen Schick, who could not attend April meeting. <p>Staff meeting with OMB, CBO, GAO and Treasury technical representatives.</p>	N/A
January 2007	<p>Board reviewed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Draft briefing package for Task Force technical members, and <p>Updated list of outside technical members who accepted invitations and</p>	Board approved the briefing package for the task force technical members and asked that a copy of the PV Alternative View document also be sent to them.

Attachment 2 – History of Board Decisions – Fiscal Sustainability Reporting

Board Meeting Date	Question/Item for Discussion	Board Decision
	Federal members who would serve as technical experts for the April 4, 2007 Task Force meeting	
July 2006	Board reviewed: 1. Outline of draft briefing package for the “technical experts” task force members, and List and bios for proposed task force members (technical experts and financial statement users/communication experts)	Board approved, with minor changes: 1. Outline for the briefing package for the “technical expert” task force members and List of proposed task force members.
May 2006	Staff presented a proposal to form a task force to address fiscal sustainability reporting, with technical experts from think tanks, social insurance actuaries, and members of the user community. The Board discussed providing task force representation and/or staff support from the FASAB’s sponsoring agencies (OMB, GAO, CBO and Treasury).	Board concurred that staff should begin to form a task force and draft a project plan.

Deleted: Working



Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government

Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards **XX**

Exposure Draft

Written comments are requested by **January 5, 2009**

Deleted: October 13

Deleted: 8

August 29, 2008

Deleted: July 11

Preballot Draft

Deleted: Staff

Deleted: d

Deleted: - Do Not Circulate

THE FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

The Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Comptroller General, established the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or "the Board") in October 1990. FASAB is responsible for promulgating accounting standards for the United States Government. These standards are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the federal government.

An accounting standard is typically formulated initially as a proposal after considering the financial and budgetary information needs of citizens (including the news media, state and local legislators, analysts from private firms, academe, and elsewhere), Congress, federal executives, federal program managers, and other users of federal financial information. The proposed standards are published in an Exposure Draft for public comment. In some cases, a discussion memorandum, invitation for comment, or preliminary views document may be published before an exposure draft is published on a specific topic. A public hearing is sometimes held to receive oral comments in addition to written comments. The Board considers comments and decides whether to adopt the proposed standard with or without modification. After review by the three officials who sponsor FASAB, the Board publishes adopted standards in a Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards. The Board follows a similar process for Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts, which guide the Board in developing accounting standards and formulating the framework for federal accounting and reporting.

Additional background information is available from the FASAB or its website:

- "Memorandum of Understanding among the General Accounting Office, the Department of the Treasury, and the Office of Management and Budget, on Federal Government Accounting Standards and a Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board."
- "Mission Statement: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board", Exposure drafts, Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Standards and Concepts, FASAB newsletters, and other items of interest are posted on FASAB's website at: www.fasab.gov.

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Mail stop 6K17V
Washington, DC 20548
Telephone 202-512-7350
FAX – 202-512-7366
www.fasab.gov

This is a work of the U. S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. It may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from FASAB. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.



Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

Deleted: July 10

August 29, 2008

TO: ALL WHO USE, PREPARE, AND AUDIT FEDERAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or the Board) is requesting comments on the exposure draft of a proposed Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards entitled, *Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government*. Specific questions for your consideration appear on page 8, but you are welcome to comment on any aspect of this proposal. If you do not agree with the proposed approach, your response would be more helpful to the Board if you explain the reasons for your position and any alternative you propose. Responses are requested by January 5, 2009.

Deleted: 8

Deleted: October 10

Deleted: 8

All comments received by the FASAB are considered public information. Those comments may be posted to the FASAB's website and will be included in the project's public record.

We have experienced delays in mail delivery due to increased screening procedures. Therefore, please provide your comments in electronic form. Responses in electronic form should be sent by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to provide electronic delivery, we urge you to fax the comments to (202) 512-7366. Please follow up by mailing your comments to:

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Mailstop 6K17V
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Washington, DC 20548

The Board's rules of procedure provide that it may hold one or more public hearings on any exposure draft. A public hearing for this exposure draft has been scheduled at 9:00 AM on February 25, 2009, in Room 7C13 at the GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Deleted: October

Deleted: 22

Deleted: 8

Notice of the date and location of this public hearing also will be published in the *Federal Register* and in the FASAB's newsletter.

Tom L. Allen
Chairman

Executive Summary

What is the Board proposing?

The Board is proposing to require that the consolidated financial report of the United States Government (CFR) present information addressing the fundamental question of whether the Government can sustain public services and meet its obligations as they come due.¹ Answering this question requires analyzing current and projected federal spending, federal receipts and federal debt in relation to the economy. Such an analysis is complex and the result is challenging to communicate. Nonetheless, it is the most significant fiscal question regarding the U.S. government and of concern to all citizens.

Deleted: levels of

The Board believes that a comprehensive package is needed, consisting of a narrative that integrates and explains the information that is provided through a basic financial statement and illustrations.² The overall package should:

- 1. convey key projected fiscal measures such as projected receipts, spending, deficits and debt;
- 2. provide context for the measures such as how they relate to the overall economy;
- 3. highlight the major factors contributing to trends;
- 4. help readers understand the projections and their inherent uncertainty as well as possible alternative projections; and
- 5. include information regarding the implications of inaction.

Deleted: primary summary display
Deleted: required
Deleted: disclosures
Inserted: disclosures
Deleted: graphic presentations
Inserted: required

Clear communication of such a complex analysis is critical. The Board recognizes that accounting standards alone will not guarantee success and that the standards must be flexible to facilitate alternative approaches. However, certain information is consistently found in reports published by U.S. entities and many other countries, and supports an understanding of the underlying issue. For example,

- 1. Projections are based on maintaining current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation. This supports an understanding of where the government is headed if it maintains its current course.
- 2. Information on the present value of receipts and spending conveys the magnitude of policy changes that would be required to sustain delivery of goods and services. Information on the change in spending or revenue

Deleted: Most p
Deleted: the
Deleted: current level
Deleted: of
Deleted: effort devoted to federal programs
Deleted: the current framework for

¹ Note that the fiscal year 2007 CFR included certain voluntary presentation of information relevant to this question. The due process relied upon by the Board would ensure the general acceptance of the underlying principles and the continued reporting of this important information.

² The narrative and illustrations would be presented as disclosures.

Deleted: July 11
Deleted: Staff
Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP (referred to in this ED as “fiscal gap”) conveys the magnitude of policy changes that would be required to maintain a given level of public debt. Presenting information on fiscal gap in relation to a meaningful base (e.g., the present value of total projected non-interest spending or of total projected receipts or the gross domestic product (GDP)) assists in understanding large dollar amounts.

- 3. The trajectory of spending and receipts shows the timing of the government’s need for financing and allows for comparison to historic financing needs on an annual basis.
- 4. Presenting the trend in debt-to-GDP ratios in graphic form facilitates an understanding of when the rising drain on financial markets might constrain borrowing. If the debt-to-GDP ratio is rising uncontrollably then there will come a time when the Government cannot pay its bills because it is unable to finance deficit spending.

Deleted: this

Deleted: <#>Most projections are based on maintaining the current level of effort devoted to federal programs and the current framework for taxation. This supports an understanding of where the government is headed if it maintains its current course.¶

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: , and the summary measures “fiscal imbalance” and/or “fiscal gap.”

Deleted: Required d

Deleted: Narrative and graphics

Inserted: Required disclosures

Deleted: T

Deleted: D

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: Required d

Deleted: Narrative and graphics

Inserted: Required disclosures

Deleted: T

Deleted: F

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.19", First line: 0", Numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Tab after: 1" + Indent at: 1", Tabs: 1.19", List tab + Not at 1"

Deleted: P

Inserted: P

Deleted: The range of p

Deleted: assumptions

Deleted: T

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: July 11

Deleted: Staff

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

The proposed standards would require:

- 1. A basic financial statement presenting the present value of projected receipts and spending for all activities of the federal government and how those amounts relate to projected GDP.
- 2. Either the basic financial statement or the disclosures would explain and report the summary measure of fiscal gap, including fiscal gap in relation to meaningful bases.
- 3. Disclosures would explain and illustrate the projected trends in:
 - a. the relationship between receipts and spending,
 - b. deficits or surpluses, and
 - c. Treasury debt as a share of GDP.
- 4. Disclosures also would explain and illustrate:
 - a. the assumptions underlying the projections,
 - b. factors influencing trends,
 - c. explanation of significant changes in the projections,
 - d. possible results using alternative scenarios, and
 - e. the likely impact of delaying corrective action when a fiscal gap exists.

These requirements would be implemented following a three-year transition period during which the information would be presented as Required Supplementary Information (RSI). The Board believes that these projections—although inherently uncertain—will provide meaningful information essential to assessing whether - absent reform - future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.

How would this proposal contribute to meeting the federal financial reporting objectives?

This proposal supports the Stewardship Objective (Objective 3):

Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government’s operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government’s and the nation’s financial condition has changed and may change in the future.³

In particular, this proposal directly addresses sub-objective 3B:

Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to assess whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.⁴

This proposal would provide specific reporting requirements that the Board believes will be useful to readers in assessing the potential future impact of current nt policies without reform for federal, public services and taxation.

Deleted: nt levels of

Deleted: benefits,

Deleted: ,

³ Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, paragraph 134.
⁴ SFFAC 1, paragraphs 135 and 139.

Deleted: July 11

Deleted: Staff

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
What is the Board proposing?	4
How would this proposal contribute to meeting the federal financial reporting objectives?	6
Questions for Respondents	8
Introduction	13
Purpose	13
Objectives of Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Disclosures ..	14
Materiality	15
Effective Date	15
Accounting Standard	17
Definitions	17
Scope	18
Policy, Economic, and Demographic Assumptions	18
Projection Periods	22
Basic Financial Statement	22
Disclosures	23
Supporting Data (Other Accompanying Information)	25
Effective Date	26
Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions	27
Project History	27
Financial Position versus Financial Condition	28
Existing Sustainability Reporting	30
Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)	30
Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI)	32
What would this proposal add to existing reporting?	34
Assumptions	34
International Perspective	40
Basic Financial Statement	41
Per Capita Measures	41
Time Horizon for Projections	42
The Concepts of Fiscal Gap and Fiscal Imbalance	44
Appendix B: Example Formats and Illustrations	46
Basic Financial Statement	46
Examples of Selected Disclosures	47
1. Rising Cost of Health Care	47
2. Demographic Trends	50
3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending	52
4. Trends in Deficit Spending	53
5. Trends in Treasury Debt	54
6. Impact of Delaying Action	55
7. Alternative Scenarios	56
8. Fiscal Gap	56
9. Other Required Information	58
Appendix C: FAQs	59
Appendix D: Abbreviations	62
Appendix E: Glossary	63

Deleted: 16

Deleted: 16

Deleted: 17

Deleted: 17

Deleted: 21

Deleted: 21

Deleted: 22

Deleted: 24

Deleted: 25

Deleted: 26

Deleted: 26

Deleted: 27

Deleted: 29

Deleted: 29

Deleted: 31

Deleted: 33

Deleted: 33

Deleted: July 11

Deleted: Staff

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government

August 29, 2008

Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

Questions for Respondents

The FASAB encourages you to become familiar with all proposals in the Statement before responding to the questions in this section. In addition to the questions below, the Board also would welcome your comments on other aspects of the proposed Statement.

The Board believes that this proposal would improve federal financial reporting and contribute to meeting the federal financial reporting objectives. The Board has considered the perceived costs associated with this proposal. In responding, please consider the expected benefits and perceived costs and communicate any concerns that you may have in regard to implementing this proposal.

Because the proposals may be modified before a final Statement is issued, it is important that you comment on proposals that you favor as well as any that you do not favor. Comments that include the reasons for your views will be especially appreciated.

The questions in this section are available in a Word file for your use at www.fasab.gov/exposure.html. Your responses to the Questions for Respondents should be sent by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to respond electronically, please fax your responses to (202) 512-7366 and follow up by mailing your responses to:

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Mailstop 6K17V
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Washington, DC 20548

All responses are requested by **January 5, 2009.**

Deleted: October 13

Deleted: 8

Q1. This exposure draft proposes reporting that would support FASAB Objective 3, Stewardship, and in particular, Sub-Objective 3B:

Objective 3: Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government's operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government's and the nation's financial condition has changed and may change in the future.⁵

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁵ SFFAC 1, par. 134.

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
August 29, 2008
Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

Sub-Objective 3B: Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.⁶

More detailed discussion of the reporting objective and the objectives of fiscal sustainability reporting can be found in paragraphs 1 through 8.

Do you believe that the proposed reporting supports the above objectives? If not, please explain why you disagree.

Q2. In this proposed Statement, projections are prepared not to predict the future, but rather to depict results that may occur under various conditions. Accordingly, projections require assumptions to be made about the future. This exposure draft proposes broad and general guidance for selecting policy, economic, and demographic assumptions for long-term projections with a primary focus on the future implications of the continuation of current policy without reform for federal government, public services and taxation. The guidance begins at paragraph 18.

Do you believe that the guidance for assumptions is appropriate? If not, please suggest alternative guidance. Please provide the rationale for your response.

Q3. This exposure draft proposes a basic financial statement⁷ and disclosures. (Description begins at paragraph 34 and an illustrative example of the basic financial statement is provided in Appendix B.) The Board has indicated that the primary audiences for the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government (CFR) are citizens and citizen intermediaries such as journalists and public policy analysts.

Do you believe that the basic financial statement and disclosures would be understandable and meaningful for the primary audiences of the CFR? Please note any changes that you believe should be made to the proposed requirements for the basic financial statement and/or the disclosures.

Q4. Finite and infinite time horizons for fiscal projections are discussed in the Basis for Conclusions, paragraphs A53 through A58. This exposure draft proposes the following requirements regarding time horizons for projections: (a) the projections presented in the basic financial statement should be “sufficient to illustrate long-term sustainability” (for example, traditionally the Social Security program has used a projection period of 75 years for long-term projections); (b)

- Deleted: current level
- Deleted: s of
- Deleted: benefits,
- Deleted: ,

- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: in addition to
- Deleted: narrative and graphics
- Deleted: required
- Inserted: required disclosures
- Deleted: 32
- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: proposed
- Deleted: , together with the
- Inserted: , together with the required disclosures
- Deleted: required
- Deleted: is display
- Deleted: a primary summary display
- Deleted: required
- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate
- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: primary summary display

⁶ SFFAC 1, par. 139.
⁷ The basic financial statement will be presented as RSI for a period of three years and subsequently as a basic financial statement.

projections for both a finite and an infinite horizon should be provided, one in the basic financial statement and the other in the disclosures; and (c) either the basic financial statement or the disclosures should include projections for Social Security and Medicare based on the time horizon used for long-term projections for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI).

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: narrative section

Deleted: a

Deleted: primary display

Deleted: narrative section

a. Do you believe that the above requirements for time horizons are appropriate to meet the reporting objectives of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting? Specifically, do you believe that data for both finite and infinite horizon projection periods should be reported? If not, please explain.

b. Do you believe that there should be a specific time horizon requirement (for example, 75 years) for the basic financial statement for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting and/or the SOSI? If so, what time horizon do you believe should be required?

Deleted: primary summary display

Q5. The Board’s mission is to issue reporting requirements for the federal government’s general purpose financial statements, and not to recommend budget policy. This exposure draft proposes a title for the basic financial statement: “Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government.” An alternative title, “Statement of Fiscal Sustainability,” might imply to some that the Board has established or plans to establish specific rules that define “fiscal sustainability” and/or budget rules that would result in fiscal sustainability. However, others have indicated that the “plain English” meaning of the words “fiscal” and “sustainability” should be adequate, and that the title “Statement of Fiscal Sustainability” might be more appropriate.

Deleted: primary summary display

The Board’s working definition of “fiscal sustainability reporting” is explained in the Basis for Conclusions, paragraph A3. The concept of “Financial Condition” is explained in the Basis for Conclusions, paragraphs A7 and A8.

Do you believe that the basic financial statement should be titled

Deleted: primary summary display

- a. “Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government,”
- b. “Statement of Fiscal Sustainability,”
- c. “Statement of Financial Condition,” or
- d. A title not listed above (please specify).

Please explain the reasons for your choice.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Q6. This exposure draft proposes a minimum level of disaggregation for the basic financial statement. For projected receipts, major programs such as Medicare and Social Security would be shown separately from the rest of government. For projected spending, major programs such as Medicare, Social Security, and Medicaid would be shown separately from the rest of government.

Deleted: primary summary display

a. Do you believe that the above **general guidance provides for an appropriate level of disaggregation** in the **basic financial statement**? Please explain the basis for your views.

b. Do you believe that **specific** line items should be disaggregated in the **basic financial statement**? If so, please identify the line items and explain your reasoning.

Q7. This exposure draft proposes that **disclosures should explain and illustrate the major factors impacting projected receipts and spending** (such as the rising cost of health care) (see paragraph **42(a)**). Illustrative examples in Appendix B **begin** on page **46**.)

a. Do you believe that an explanation and illustration of the major factors impacting projected receipts and spending will be helpful to readers? Please explain the basis for your view and note any recommended changes in the requirements.

b. Do you believe that **a range could be optionally displayed** for major cost drivers and/or major programs, as shown in Illustrations 1a and 1b in Appendix B?

Q8. **This exposure draft proposes that the results of alternative scenarios be provided.** Paragraph 40(d) provides that the present value of projected receipts, spending and the net of receipts and spending be presented for each alternative scenario. **Optionally, projections for alternative scenarios may be displayed in a table format (see Illustration 7 in Appendix B).**

a. **Do you believe that the proposed requirement for alternative scenarios is necessary?** Please explain the basis for your view.

b. **Do you believe that the requirements for additional information regarding alternative scenarios are sufficient?** If **not**, please explain the basis for your view and what additional information you propose.

Q9. This exposure draft proposes **disclosures consisting of** narrative and graphic displays to effectively communicate to the reader historical and projected trends and to help the reader understand the major drivers influencing projected receipts and spending. The requirements begin at paragraph **39** and illustrations begin on page **46**.

a. Do you believe that the **disclosures** would be useful in helping the reader to understand the information that is reported in the **basic financial statement**?

Deleted: projections should be

Deleted: ed

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: additional

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: that a range (high and low) be required in the narrative section for each line item in the primary summary display. It also proposes

Deleted: a range might option (... [1])

Inserted: disclosures

Deleted: narrative

Deleted: for the

Inserted: the

Deleted: i

Deleted: s

Deleted: 44

Deleted: that

Deleted: Do you believe that a (... [2])

Deleted: some of

Deleted: each

Inserted: each

Deleted: all of the

Deleted: financial statement l (... [3])

Inserted: financial statement

Deleted: s

Deleted: should be displayed (... [4])

Inserted: , either

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: financial statement o (... [5])

Inserted: financial statement (... [6])

Inserted: please explain the b (... [7])

Deleted: which line item(s) sh (... [8])

Deleted: in

Deleted: so

Inserted: so, please explain th (... [9])

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Deleted: required

Deleted: required

Deleted: narrative and graphics

Deleted: primary summary display

b. Are there any items that you believe should be added to, or deleted from, the disclosures? If so, please explain.

Q10. The Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) at Appendix C provide a “plain English” explanation of terms and concepts used in long-term projections.

- a. Do you find the FAQs helpful?
- b. Should the Treasury Department be encouraged to include any of the FAQs in the CFR to promote understandability of the terms and concepts? If so, please specify the FAQs that should be considered for inclusion (and/or exclusion).

Q11. Effective Date and Phased Implementation: This proposed Statement would be effective for periods beginning after September 30, 2009 with earlier implementation encouraged. This proposed Statement would require that the basic financial statement and the disclosures be included in Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the first three years of implementation, and basic information (i.e., basic financial statement and disclosures) for all subsequent years.

- a. Do you believe that this implementation date is reasonable and appropriate?
- b. Do you agree with the phased implementation period (3 years)?
- c. Do you believe that some or all of the required information should remain as RSI after the 3-year implementation period? If so, please explain the basis for your view.

Deleted: required disclosures

Deleted: ments for narrative and graphics

Inserted: d disclosures

Deleted: Primary Summary Display

Deleted: additional

Deleted: required disclosures

Inserted: disclosures

Deleted: information including graphics and narrative

Deleted: principal

Deleted: notes

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Introduction

Purpose

- 1. In Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, the Board established four objectives of federal financial reporting. These objectives provide a framework for assessing the existing accountability and financial reporting systems of the federal government and for considering new accounting standards.⁸ The objectives address (1) Budgetary Integrity, (2) Operating Performance, (3) Stewardship, and (4) Systems and Controls.
- 2. Objective 3, Stewardship, is the primary focus for this Statement. Objective 3 states that:
Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government's operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government's and the nation's financial condition has changed and may change in the future.⁹
- 3. Sub-objective 3B states that:
Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services¹⁰ and to meet obligations as they come due.¹¹
- 4. While federal financial reporting is not expected by itself to accomplish the stewardship reporting objective, it can contribute to meeting the objective.¹² Sub-objective 3B is concerned with the future and with the resources expected to be consumed through programs of the federal government in the future.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁸ SFFAC 1, par. 109.
⁹ SFFAC 1, par. 134.
¹⁰ In this standard, "public services" refers to all goods, benefits and services provided by the government. Federal public services include but are not limited to the provision of goods, cash or other financial benefits such as Social Security benefits and loan guarantees, as well as national defense, national security, transportation safety and the operation of national parks.
¹¹ SFFAC 1, par. 139.
¹² SFFAC 1, par. 235.

5. The Board believes that including comprehensive long-term fiscal **projections**¹³ and accompanying **disclosures including** narrative and graphics in the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government (CFR) will contribute to meeting the stewardship objective and especially sub-objective 3B. The more detailed objectives presented below were developed as one means of guiding the Board in selecting from a variety of possible **financial statement** formats as well as in identifying the most important areas to be addressed in **disclosures**.

Deleted: summary display

Deleted: required disclosures

Deleted: narrative and/or graphic format

Inserted: required disclosures

Deleted: Graphics and Narrative ("Fiscal Sustainability Reporting")

Deleted: comprehensive long-term fiscal projections

Deleted: narrative and graphics

Objectives of Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying **Disclosures**

6. In this Statement, "**Fiscal Sustainability Reporting**" is the short term for the **basic financial statement** and accompanying **disclosures** required by this Statement to be provided in the CFR. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should provide information to assist readers of the CFR in assessing whether future budgetary resources of the U.S. Government will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due,¹⁴ assuming that **current policy without reform for federal government, public** services and taxation are continued.¹⁵
7. Assessing whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due is important not only because such an assessment has financial implications but also because it has social and political implications. For example, users of financial reports should be provided with information that is helpful in assessing the likelihood that the government will continue to provide **public** services to constituent groups and to assess whether financial burdens were passed on by current-year taxpayers to future-year taxpayers without related benefits.¹⁶ Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should assist the reader in understanding these financial, social and political implications.

Deleted: current level

Deleted: s of

Deleted: benefits,

Deleted: ,

Deleted: the current level of

Deleted: benefits

Deleted: and

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

¹³ Terms defined in the Glossary are shown in **bold-face** the first time they appear.
¹⁴ SFFAC 1, par. 139.
¹⁵ Note that fiscal sustainability reporting does not extend to supporting a detailed assessment of whether current **policy without reform regarding federal** benefits, services, and taxation are optimal; rather, it addresses the fiscal outlook if current **policy is** continued.
¹⁶ The latter notion is sometimes referred to as "interperiod equity."

Deleted: levels of

Deleted: levels are

- 8. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should be understandable to the intended users of the CFR. The primary intended users of this report are citizens and citizen intermediaries (for example, the media, public interest and advocacy groups, and others). The CFR should be easily understandable to the “average citizen” who has a reasonable understanding of federal government activities and is willing to study the information with reasonable diligence. Moreover, the CFR is a high-level summary report; it tells users where to find additional information in other reports and publications, for example, reports issued by the Department of the Treasury, the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and other agencies.¹⁷
- 9. The Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) at Appendix C provide a “Plain English” explanation of terms and concepts used in this Statement.

Materiality

- 10. The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items. The determination of whether an item is material depends on the degree to which omitting or misstating information about the item makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would have been changed or influenced by the omission or the misstatement.

Effective Date

- 11. This proposal provides for a phased-in implementation, but earlier implementation is encouraged. Information would be reported as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the first three years of implementation (fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012). Beginning in fiscal year 2013, the required information would be presented as a basic financial statement and related disclosures.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

¹⁷ See SFFAC 4, *Intended Audience and Qualitative Characteristics for the Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government*, paragraphs 6-7 and 15-20.

▼ -----

Deleted: ¶

▼ -----

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Accounting Standard

Definitions

12. **Fiscal Gap**

The **fiscal gap** is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP. The **fiscal gap** is the net **present value** of projected spending¹⁸ minus projected receipts, **adjusted by the decrease (or increase) in public debt required to maintain public debt at the target level for the stated projection period**. The **fiscal gap** may be expressed as:

(a) a summary amount in present value dollars,

(b) a share of the present value of the **gross domestic product (GDP)**²⁰ for the projection period, and/or

(c) a share of the present value of projected receipts or projected spending.

Deleted: Imbalance

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: existing federal debt plus

Deleted: The fiscal imbalance illustrates the amount that would be necessary to balance projected receipts, projected spending, and repayment of debt for a stated projection period.

Deleted: imbalance as of a stated valuation date¹⁹

Deleted: ²¹

13. **Policy Assumptions**

Policy assumptions address **the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services provided by the federal government**. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both **mandatory** and **discretionary spending**²² as well as the

Deleted: the level of services provided by the federal government as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees

¹⁸ Since interest is factored into the present value calculation, the **fiscal gap** as a share of spending is expressed as a share of spending excluding interest ("**noninterest spending**"). See FAQ 4 on page 59.

²⁰ GDP is the total market value of goods and services produced domestically during a given period. The components of GDP are consumption (both household and government), gross investment (both private and government), and net exports.

²² **In the federal budget process, "discretionary spending" refers to outlays from budget authority that is controlled by annual appropriation acts. Annual appropriation acts are required to fund the continuing operation of all federal programs that are not "mandatory." "Mandatory spending" includes entitlement authority such as Social Security and Medicare and payment of interest on the national debt. Congress controls mandatory spending by controlling eligibility and setting benefit and payment rules, rather than by annual appropriation legislation. For additional information, see A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process, GAO-05-734SP. Available at: <http://gaoweb.gao.gov/gaoproducts.php>.**

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government

August 29, 2008

Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

framework for assessing taxes and fees.

14. **Current Policy Without Reform**

In this standard, “current policy without reform” refers to the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (i.e., no policy change/reform).

15. **Economic Assumptions**

Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation and growth in GDP).

16. **Demographic Assumptions**

Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).

Scope

17. The reporting requirements in this Statement apply to the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government. They do not apply to financial statements prepared at the component entity level. They also do not affect the reporting in the *Budget of the U.S. Government* or any other special purpose report.

Policy, Economic, and Demographic Assumptions

18. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting for the U.S. Government should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether current policy without reform is likely to produce future budgetary resources sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due. Long-term projections should help the reader to understand the fiscal implications of continuing current policy without reform regarding public services, and taxation along with other factors such as projected economic and demographic trends.

19. Projections of deficits, or surpluses, and debt are a central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting. Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to depict results that may occur under various conditions—for example, what if current policy

Deleted: - - - - Page Break - - - -
¶

Inserted: - - - -Page Break- - - -

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: current levels of federal government services and benefits (for example, current reimbursement rates for Medicare and scheduled benefits for Social Security) combined with current levels of taxation and other receipts.²³

Deleted: type of

Deleted: levels of benefits, services and taxatio

Inserted: levels of benefits, services and taxatio

Deleted: n

Inserted: n

Deleted: policy

Deleted: are

Inserted: are

Deleted: is

Deleted: current levels of

Deleted:

Deleted: of current levels of federal benefits and services and current levels of taxes and other revenues

Deleted: levels of

Deleted: benefits,

Deleted: nd

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

- without reform regarding federal government, public services and taxation are continued in the future? Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.
20. Long-term projections are derived from models that rely heavily on assumptions. There is an expectation that such models will evolve over time. Therefore, this Statement provides guiding principles for making choices among alternative assumptions. The guiding principles address three types of assumptions: policy, economic, and demographic.
21. Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services to be provided by the federal government.
22. Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation and growth in GDP).
23. Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).
24. When combined, policy, economic, and demographic assumptions determine the future projected receipts and spending.
25. To illustrate the distinction between policy, economic and demographic assumptions: the Social Security program provides benefits. Assumptions relating to future Social Security eligibility and benefit formulas represent policy assumptions. Assumptions about productivity growth, inflation, and other factors represent economic assumptions. Assumptions about the future population represent demographic assumptions.
26. Policy assumptions should reflect reasonable assumptions about the future course of receipts and spending assuming the continuation of current policy without reform. The guiding principle for selecting policy assumptions is to base selections on assumptions consistent with the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (i.e., no policy change or reform) while appropriately considering recent trends. Such assumptions
- Deleted:** current levels of

Deleted: benefits,

Deleted: ,
- Deleted:** the level of services provided by the federal government as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees

Deleted: benefits and

Inserted: benefits and services to be provided by the federal government.
- Deleted:** with

Deleted: assumptions

Deleted: level of
- Deleted:** with

Deleted: – *Do Not Circulate*

Deleted: current policies (current levels of federal benefits, services, and taxation)

should be neutral; that is, they should ignore policy reforms. For example, for formula-based benefits, it may be appropriate to apply currently scheduled benefit formulas to projections based on appropriate economic and/or demographic assumptions. For other public services, it may be appropriate to assume a growth in spending based on growth in GDP or inflation, or based on per-capita amounts.

27. Although current law is a reasonable starting point in selecting policy assumptions, a simple projection of "current law" would not always reflect current policy without reform. For example, in some cases current law may expire almost immediately, or not fully support current policies regarding public services, or produce levels of taxation that are significantly different from current policy without reform regarding taxation. In such cases, the preparer should use judgment in selecting policy assumptions that are consistent with current policy without reform as defined in this standard. The following examples demonstrate how a simple projection of current law may be inconsistent with the guiding principle:

- (a) Legislation providing for discretionary spending provides funding that extends at most a few years into the future. Therefore, assumptions will be required in order to prepare a long-range projection. A current-law policy assumption would show discretionary spending falling to zero within a few years.
- (b) Some provisions of tax law do not provide for future taxation consistent with current policy without reform. A current-law policy assumption might show large increases or decreases in future receipts rather than maintaining a consistent level of taxation, for example in relation to GDP or some other constant ratio.
- (c) Current law also may include provisions that have been changed in a consistent direction over a period of time. For example, the statutory limit on federal debt has been consistently raised. A current-law policy assumption would

Deleted: they should ignore

Inserted: they should ignore

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: With some exceptions, projections of future receipts and spending should be based upon policy assumptions consistent with current law.

Deleted: However, in certain instances a simple assumption of "current law" will not provide an adequate basis for long-term projections under current policies.

Deleted: levels

Deleted: of

Deleted: benefits or

Deleted: levels of

Deleted: these

Deleted: applying the general guidelines presented below for

Deleted: ies

Deleted: ¶
¶
When a simple assumption of current law does not provide a basis for projections of future receipts and spending that is consistent with current levels of federal benefits, services and taxation

Deleted: policies

Deleted: , assumptions shou... [10]

Inserted: levels

Deleted: policies

Deleted: " as defined in this ... [11]

Inserted: levels of federal be... [12]

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: ²⁵

Deleted: Such a projection w... [13]

Deleted: (for example the Alt... [14]

Deleted: at current levels

Deleted: Current law would r... [15]

Deleted: would

Deleted: as the AMT eventu... [16]

Deleted: .

Deleted: Such a projection w... [17]

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

assume that Treasury borrowing will never increase beyond the dollar amount of the current statutory limit.

28. Assumption of a uniform growth rate for all types of revenues and spending is not required. Assumptions may be based on, but are not limited to, the notion that spending or revenues are likely to:
- (a) maintain a constant share of GDP,
 - (b) grow with inflation,²⁶ or
 - (c) maintain a constant real²⁷ per capita level²⁸

29. Judgment should be applied in selecting assumptions. Policy assumptions representing the worst case scenario are not required. Rather, the assumptions should be viewed as a whole and individual selections made which result in a reasonable overall projection. The preparer's objective should be to produce unbiased projections.

30. The same economic and demographic assumptions should be used for the basic financial statement for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting and for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance.

Valuation Date

31. All projections and estimates required in this Statement should be made as of a date (the valuation date) as close to the end of the fiscal year being reported on ("current year") as possible and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year. This valuation date should be consistently followed from year to year.
32. If, after the valuation date, but prior to the end of the fiscal year, policy reforms are enacted that could materially affect the basic statement, the projections should be adjusted, if feasible, as if the policy reforms took place as of the valuation date. If not feasible, the entity should disclose an estimate of the magnitude of the effect of the policy reform on the projection or, if not possible, disclose that it was not possible to reasonably estimate the effect. In any case, the nature of the policy reform should be disclosed. If

Deleted: Such an assumption would not support a projection of current levels of benefits, services, or taxation.

Deleted: In those cases where simple assumptions of current law do not provide a basis for projections that is consistent with "current policies" as defined in this standard,

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: a

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: ¶
Changes in Assumptions¶
¶
<#> When year-by-year comparisons are displayed, a table presented in the narrative section should disaggregate the changes attributable to: ¶
<#>valuation period (for example, the beginning of the projection period is one year later); ¶
<#>changes in policies (legislation); and ¶
<#>changes in assumptions. ¶
<#>Narrative should explain the reasons for the changes attributable to each of the three categories.¶

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

²⁶ Inflation is growth in a general measure of prices, usually expressed as an annual rate of change.

²⁷ In economic terms, "real" means adjusted to remove the effects of inflation.

²⁸ As applicable, the characteristics of the population should be considered for expenditures that benefit identifiable subgroups.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

policy reforms are enacted after the end of the fiscal year, but prior to the issuance of the financial statements, the financial statements should disclose the nature of the policy reform and, if known, the estimated effect on the projections.

Projection Periods

33. Projections in the basic financial statement should be for a projection period sufficient to illustrate long-term sustainability.

(a) If the projection period displayed in the basic financial statement is for a finite projection period, disclosures should display summary totals for an infinite horizon projection period and vice versa.

(b) If the projection period in the basic financial statement is not consistent with the projection period used for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI), the disclosures should display the subtotal and total line items of the basic financial statement calculated for the projection period that was used for Social Security and Medicare in the SOSI.²⁹
- Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: the accompanying narrative

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: narrative section

Deleted: primary summary display

Basic Financial Statement

34. This Statement presents the elements that are required to be included in a basic financial statement.

35. An example basic financial statement is shown in Appendix B for illustration only.

36. The basic financial statement, *Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government*, should state the projection period and display the following projected amounts as both present value dollars and as a percentage of the present value of GDP for the projection period indicated:

(a) receipts, disaggregated by major programs such as Medicare, Social Security, and all other revenues, and total receipts;³⁰
- Deleted: <#>Overemphasis on summary measures for a finite projection period, such as a 75-year period, can lead to incorrect perceptions. The accompanying narrative should explain that the trends projected, particularly near the end of the projection period, are important to consider. This Statement also requires information for a time period that extends to the infinite horizon, which provides additional perspective but is subject to much greater uncertainty.¶¶

Deleted: Primary Summary Display

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: primary summary display

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: primary summary display

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: as of the required valuation date(s)

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Deleted: shown

²⁹ The SOSI projection period is required to be “sufficient to illustrate long-term sustainability (for example, traditionally the “Social Security” or OASDI, program has used a projection period of 75 years for long-term projections).” See SFFAS 17, paragraph 27.

³⁰ Full payment of amounts due to Social Security and Medicare HI Trust Funds must be included as revenue for Medicare and Social Security, and outlays for “rest of government.”

- (b) spending, disaggregated by **major programs such as** Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security, and all other **non-interest** spending, **total non-interest spending**,³¹ and
- (c) **the difference between projected receipts and projected non-interest spending.**

37. **After the initial year of implementation, the basic financial statement** should also present comparative amounts for the current year and prior year, and the net change for each line item from the prior year.

38. **Fiscal gap should be explained and reported, either on the face of the financial statement or in the disclosures. Fiscal gap should be presented in dollars and as a percentage of the net present value of (a) GDP, (b) receipts, and (c) noninterest spending during the projection period. Information may be provided for a single target debt to GDP ratio, multiple ratios or over a continuum of ratios (e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs). Illustrative examples are shown in Appendix B for both options: (a) narrative on the face of the illustrative basic financial statement and (b) in the disclosures, as Illustration 8.**

Disclosures

39. **Disclosures** serve a critical role in making economic concepts and projections understandable to a variety of audience segments, and in helping readers to understand long-term projections by explaining the significant factors that are driving projected trends, by illustrating trends graphically, and by providing context for the information provided.

40. **Disclosures** should include an explanation of the following limitations.

- (a) Forward-looking projections require assumptions and estimates relating to future events, conditions, and trends;

³¹ See footnote 18.

³⁴ For example, a link to a more detailed report such as the President's Budget, a Congressional Budget Office report, or the Trustees Report (*Status of the Social Security and Medicare Program*) may be provided. Note that the Trustees Report is available at: <http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/TR/>.

Deleted: sub
Deleted: of
Deleted: before debt held by the public, and total spending plus repayment of debt
Deleted: ³²
Deleted: shortfall/surplus
Deleted: fiscal imbalance the net amount needed to balance
Deleted: receipts and projected
Inserted: projected
Deleted: total
Deleted: spending
Deleted: plus repayment of debt ³³
Deleted: T
Deleted: primary summary display
Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.38" + Tab after: 1.63" + Indent at: 1.63"
Deleted: ¶ the shortfall/surplus
Inserted: the shortfall/surplus
Deleted: fiscal imbalance
Deleted: as a percentage of total projected receipts and as a percentage of total projected spending, and¶ ... [18]
Deleted: of the above
Deleted: s
Deleted: ¶ ... [19]
Deleted: Required
Inserted: ed Disclosures
Deleted: ements for Narrativ(... [20]
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
Deleted: Required d
Inserted: Required disclosures
Deleted: Narrative and graphics
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering
Deleted: :
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial
Deleted: 17
Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

- actual results may differ materially from those that are projected.
- (b) Where indicated, forward-looking projections may also encompass hypothetical future trends or events that are not necessarily deemed probable (for example, the assumed ability to continue issuing new public debt indefinitely), for which financial projections may be appropriate.
 - (c) Fiscal Sustainability Reporting is limited to the activity of the federal government, and does not include activities of state and local governments.
 - (d) Overemphasis on summary measures for a finite projection period, such as a 75-year period, can lead to incorrect perceptions. Disclosures should explain that the trends projected, particularly near the end of the projection period, are important to consider (for example, the disclosures could note the trend at the end of the period in Illustration 3b).
41. Disclosures should include:
- (a) a “plain English” explanation of present value and interest rates used to calculate present value;
 - (b) significant policy assumptions for all scenarios presented;
 - (c) an explanation of the most significant departures from current law—for example, if the spending assumptions allow for exceeding the statutory limit on federal debt;
 - (d) when year-by-year comparisons are displayed, the most significant reasons for the changes. For example, significant changes may be attributable to:
 - 1. valuation period (for example, the beginning of the projection period is one year later);
 - 2. changes in policies (legislation); and
 - 3. changes in assumptions or estimates.
 - (e) an explanation of the significance of the data presented that puts the information into context. Options for context may include but are not limited to:
 - 1. comparison of the data/trend with past U.S. trends and trends in other developed nations, and/or
 - 2. where to find information about outside organizations that use similar data to assess the long-term implications for an entity or sovereign government, for example the role of rating organizations and/or European Union rules for member nations.
42. Disclosures should explain and illustrate:

Deleted: Narrative
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: The narrative should
Deleted: in

Deleted: in
Deleted: and

Deleted: that of

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

- (a) the major factors that are expected to have a significant impact upon future receipts and spending. For example, two such factors may be (1) the rising cost of health care and (2) demographic trends. Information about how these factors have changed and are expected to change over time is necessary to assist the reader in understanding the factors that influence fiscal projections. (See Illustrations 1a, 1b, and 2 in Appendix B.)
- (b) historical and projected trends for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and extending to all projected future years for:
- 1. historical and projected receipts and spending,
 - 2. historical and projected deficits, and
 - 3. historical and projected Treasury debt as a share of GDP. (See illustrations 3, 4 and 5 in Appendix B.)
- (c) if a fiscal gap is indicated by the projections, the likely impact of delaying action. For example, graphics could illustrate the progressive increase in the change that would be needed to close the fiscal gap by (1) reducing noninterest spending and alternatively (2) by increasing receipts. (See Illustration 6 in appendix B.)
- (d) the results of alternative scenarios that are consistent with current policy without reform. Alternative scenarios are projections in which one or more significant assumptions are varied from the assumptions used in the projections presented in the basic financial statement. The choice of alternative scenarios presented should consider both those that result in larger as well as those that result in smaller net differences between the present value of projected receipts and spending. For each alternative scenario, the net present value of projected receipts, spending, and the difference between projected spending and receipts should be presented. Projections for individual programs may be presented. (See (a) above) Projections for alternative scenarios may be displayed in a table format. (See illustration 7 in Appendix B.) The major causes of the differences between the results of the alternative scenarios and the basic financial statement should be disclosed.

Deleted: a

Deleted: b

Deleted: imbalances

Deleted: b

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: a

Deleted: b

Deleted: might reasonably be expected to occur

Supporting Data (Other Accompanying Information)

43. The quantitative data supporting the basic financial statement and

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: primary summary display

the disclosures may be provided in or referenced as other accompanying information.³⁴

44. The preparer is encouraged to provide information that may be helpful to readers in assessing whether financial burdens were passed on by current-year taxpayers to future-year taxpayers without related benefits. This notion is sometimes referred to as “inter-period equity” or “intergenerational equity.”

Deleted: required disclosures

Deleted: additional narrative and graphics

Inserted: required disclosures

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Effective Date

45. The following phase-in of reporting requirements as basic information provides for full implementation for reporting periods beginning after September 30, 2012.
- (a) These standards are effective for periods beginning after September 30, 2009.
 - (b) Information should be reported as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the first three years of implementation (fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012).
 - (c) Beginning in fiscal year 2013, the required information should be presented as a basic financial statement and related disclosures.
 - (d) Earlier implementation is encouraged.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions

This appendix discusses some factors considered significant by Board members in reaching the conclusions in this Statement. It includes the reasons for accepting certain approaches and rejecting others. Individual members gave greater weight to some factors than to others. The standards enunciated in this Statement—not the material in this appendix—should govern the accounting for specific transactions, events, or conditions.

Project History

- A1. Many believe that federal financial reports currently do not adequately address the federal financial reporting objective, titled “stewardship,” presented below.
Objective 3: Stewardship
Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government’s operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government’s and the nation’s financial condition has changed and may change in the future. Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether
 - the government’s financial position improved or deteriorated over the period,
 - future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due, and
 - government operations have contributed to the nation’s current and future well-being.³⁵
- A2. In particular, existing reporting may not adequately address sub-objective 3b above. The FASAB considered what information would be most likely to help readers of the CFR to assess whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due. Ultimately, this may enhance the public’s understanding of long-term fiscal issues.
- A3. Discussion of such long-term fiscal issues has been described in terms such as “fiscal sustainability.” In this proposed Statement, the Board’s working definition of “fiscal sustainability” is the federal government’s ability to continue, both now and in the future, to [continue](#)

³⁵Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, pars. 134-145, available at <http://www.fasab.gov/codifica.html>.

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
August 29, 2008
Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

current policy without reform regarding public services and taxation without resulting in debt continuously rising as a share of GDP.³⁶

Deleted: provide current levels of
Deleted: benefits
Deleted: and
Deleted: while maintaining current levels of federal taxation

A4. Throughout this project, the Board considered expert comments from a Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force (“task force”) whose participants have technical knowledge relevant to the issues and/or communication expertise relevant to the challenge of how to effectively communicate complex information on long-term fiscal issues.

Deleted: s

A5. The task force participants included representatives from the American Enterprise Institute, the Cato Institute, the Brookings Institution, and the Urban Institute; the Chief Actuaries for Social Security and Medicare; technical experts from the OMB, the CBO, the Treasury Department, and the GAO; members of Congress; and academics in the areas of public policy and communication.

Deleted: s

A6. FASAB staff also researched existing reporting on comprehensive government-wide long-term projections published in English by other countries (for example, the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, and Canada) and studies by the European Commission, and conferred with staff of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board (IPSASB). The IPSASB is currently undertaking a project with similar objectives.

Deleted: by other developed,
Deleted: English-speaking

Financial Position versus Financial Condition

A7. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting is focused on the financial condition of the federal government as a whole. Financial condition is forward-looking and multi-dimensional. Assessing financial condition requires financial and non-financial information related to the long-term fiscal outlook for the federal government. Therefore, Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should provide information about the future to help readers assess the magnitude of future spending and receipts and the burden that any resulting deficits might place on future taxpayers.³⁷

Deleted: revenues

A8. Indicators of financial position, for example, the balance sheet, are the starting point for reporting on financial condition but must be supplemented in a variety of ways. For example, trends in financial position may assist readers in assessing the overall direction of the federal

³⁶ Determining precisely how much a government can depart—in magnitude and/or duration—from this general notion of fiscal sustainability is beyond the scope of the Board’s efforts.
³⁷ SFFAC 1, par. 262.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

government’s finances. However, readers may find, among other things, a budget projection under a range of alternative assumptions³⁸ to be helpful in assessing the financial condition of the U.S. Government. Presenting information about the overall size of the economy relative to the budget projections may assist readers in assessing whether the projected budget amounts are reasonable in comparison to past experience or the experience of other countries. Thus, reporting on financial condition requires financial and nonfinancial information about the national economy and society, as well as about the government itself.³⁹ Table 1 summarizes the distinguishing characteristics of financial position and financial condition.

Table 1: Comparison of Financial Position and Financial Condition

Financial Position	Financial Condition
Entity perspective	Broad perspective including reporting on the nation’s economy and other external trends
Accrual-based data	Additional, forward-based information
Financial data	Financial and nonfinancial data
Assets, liabilities, and net position	Future effects of: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• current demands, risks, and uncertainties; and• anticipated future events, conditions, and trends
Example: Balance Sheet	Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Projections of receipts, spending, and debt<ul style="list-style-type: none">• in present value dollars• as a share of GP• Nonfinancial data, such as demographic trends

Deleted: revenue

A9. SFFAC 3, *Management’s Discussion and Analysis* (MD&A), addressed many of the elements of financial condition. SFFAC 3 says that the MD&A should answer questions such as the following, to the extent that they are relevant and important for the entity:

What is the potential effect of changed circumstances, and of expected future trends? In other words, to the extent that it is feasible to project

³⁸ SFFAC 1, par. 145.
³⁹ SFFAC 1, par. 144.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

the effects of these factors, will future financial position, condition, and results, as reflected in future financial statements, probably be different from this year's and, if yes, why? (Any such discussion should acknowledge that the future is unpredictable and will be influenced by factors outside the reporting entity's control, including actions by Congress.)⁴⁰

Existing Sustainability Reporting

A10. Existing reports provide relevant information regarding fiscal sustainability. Annual financial reports—both from individual agencies and the CFR—provide forward-looking information and extensive sustainability information regarding social insurance programs such as Social Security and Medicare. Central agencies such as the GAO, OMB, and CBO provide projections of receipts and outlays based on various policy assumptions. However, the Board believes that establishing requirements for a basic financial statement and accompanying narrative and graphics will ensure that the information is included in the annual CFR and that it presents projections based on current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation.

A11. While many of the proposals included in this exposure draft were voluntarily adopted in the fiscal year 2007 CFR and the recent summary report, *The Federal Government's Financial Health: A Citizen's Guide to the 2007 Financial Report of the United States Government*, voluntary adoption is not a guarantee of continued reporting; nor is voluntary reporting an adequate substitute for reporting that is developed through due process. Some of the existing information sources are described below to aid respondents in evaluating the changes required by this proposal.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

A12. Current reporting requirements for the U.S. Government's long-term fiscal outlook are contained in paragraphs 3 and 6 of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 15, *Management's Discussion and Analysis*, as follows:

Deleted: Required

Deleted: levels of

Inserted: levels of federal

Deleted: benefits,

Inserted: benefits, services and taxation

Deleted: policies

⁴⁰ SFFAC 3, par. 14.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

[3] MD&A should include forward-looking information regarding the possible future effects of the most important existing, currently-known demands, risks, uncertainties, events, conditions and trends. MD&A may also include forward-looking information about the possible effects of anticipated future demands, events, conditions, and trends.³ Forward-looking information may comprise a separate section of MD&A or may be incorporated with the sections listed above.

³The word "anticipated" is used in a broad, generic sense in this document. In this context the term may encompass both "probable" losses arising from events that have occurred, which should be recognized on the face of the basic or "principal" financial statements, as well as "reasonably possible" losses arising from events that have occurred, which should be disclosed in notes to those statements. "Anticipated" may include the effects of future events that are deemed probable, for which a financial forecast would be appropriate. The term may also encompass hypothetical future trends or events that are not necessarily deemed probable, for which financial projections may be appropriate. Such information about the possible effects of anticipated future demands, events, conditions and trends, if presented, should include the term or label "projected" or "projection," and the key hypothetical underlying assumptions should be explained. As with other information presented in MD&A, no examination of this information by the auditor is now routinely included within the scope of an audit of a federal entity's financial statements; however, preparers and auditors may find useful background information in the AICPA's Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements Nos. 1 and 4, codified as section 200, "Financial Forecasts and Projections," of the AICPA's *Codification of Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements*.

[6] MD&A should deal with the "vital few" matters; i.e., the most important matters that will probably affect the judgments and decisions of people who rely on the general purpose Federal financial report (GPFFR) as a source of information. (The specific topics mentioned in *Concepts for Management's Discussion and Analysis* are examples of items that might be relevant for MD&A of a given entity.) Matters to be discussed and analyzed are those that management of the reporting entity believes it is reasonable to assume could:

- lead to significant actions or proposals by top management of the reporting unit;
- be significant to the managing, budgeting, and oversight functions of Congress and the Administration; or
- significantly affect the judgment of citizens about the efficiency and effectiveness of their federal government.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

A13. The FASAB elaborated on the above requirements in its companion concept statement, SFFAC 3, Management’s Discussion and Analysis, which explains the Board’s expectations regarding the description of future effects of both existing and anticipated events, conditions, and trends.⁴¹

Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI)

A14. The Statement of Social Insurance is based on projections of future scheduled expenditures and future revenues for the major social insurance programs: Federal Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance (Social Security), Medicare Parts A, B, and D, Railroad Retirement benefits, and Black Lung benefits.

A15. For the social insurance programs listed in the preceding paragraph, the SOSI presents the actuarial present value for the projection period of:

- (a) all future contributions and tax income (excluding interest) received from or on behalf of all current and future participants,
- (b) estimated future scheduled benefits to be paid to or on behalf of current and future participants, and
- (c) the estimated future excess of future benefit payments over future contributions (or excess of future contributions over future benefit payments).

A16. The SOSI (the information required by paragraphs 27(3) and 32(3) of SFFAS 17) is presented as a basic financial statement, and the underlying significant assumptions are included in notes that are presented as an integral part of the basic financial statements.⁴² The basic (or principal)⁴³ financial statements and notes are those on which the auditor expresses an opinion as to whether the information is presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). For fiscal year (FY) 2007, for the first time, the GAO issued an unqualified or “clean” opinion on the SOSI [that was presented in the FY 2007 CFR](#).

A17. The SOSI is accompanied by RSI that provides the following information:

- (a) the projected annual cash flows—both inflows and outflows—in nominal

⁴¹ See SFFAC 3, paragraphs 31-36.

⁴² See SFFAS 26, paragraphs 5-6.

⁴³ The terms “basic financial statements” and “principal financial statements” have been used synonymously in federal accounting. See SFFAS 25, paragraph 34.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

- dollars for at least every fifth year in the projection period,
- (b) the relationship of the total cash outflow and net receipts⁴⁴ to taxable payroll and GDP, and
- (c) sensitivity analysis for the most significant individual assumptions.

A18. The SOSI, notes, and related RSI are program specific. No government-wide projections are provided. While social insurance programs are presently a significant part of an assessment of fiscal sustainability, the Board believes that the context provided by government-wide projections is essential to meeting fiscal sustainability reporting objectives.

The Trustees of the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds

A19. The two largest programs reported in the SOSI are Social Security and Medicare. Each year, the Trustees of the Social Security and Medicare trust funds report on the current and projected financial status of the two programs. There are six trustees: the Secretaries of the Treasury (managing trustee), Health and Human Services, and Labor; the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, and two public trustees who are generally appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate for a 4-year term. By law, the public trustees are members of two different political parties.

A20. The annual Trustees report addresses the trust funds that Congress established in the U.S. Treasury to account for all program income and disbursements. Social Security and Medicare taxes, premiums, and other income are credited to the funds. Disbursements from the funds can be made only to pay benefits and program administrative costs.

A21. The Department of the Treasury invests program revenues not needed in the current year to pay benefits and administrative costs in special non-marketable securities of the U.S. Government on which a market rate of interest is credited. Thus, the trust funds represent the accumulated value, including interest, of all prior program annual surpluses and deficits, and provide automatic authority to pay benefits.

A22. The annual reports provide short-range (10-year) and long-range (75-year) projections for all Social Security and Medicare funds. Estimates are based on current law and assumptions about factors that

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁴⁴ Net receipts are cash inflows from all sources less net interest on intragovernmental borrowing/lending.

affect the income and outflow of each trust fund. Assumptions include economic growth, wage growth, inflation, unemployment, fertility, immigration, and mortality, as well as factors relating to disability incidence and the cost of hospital, medical, and prescription drug services.

A23. Because the future is inherently uncertain, three alternative sets of economic and demographic assumptions are used to show a range of possibilities. The intermediate assumptions reflect the Trustees' best estimate of future experience. The low-cost alternative is more optimistic for trust fund financing, and the high-cost alternative is more pessimistic; they show trust fund projections for more and less favorable economic and demographic conditions for trust fund financing than the best estimate. The assumptions are reexamined each year in light of recent experience and new information about future trends, and are revised as warranted. In general, greater confidence can be placed in the assumptions and estimates for earlier projection years than for later years. The statistics and analysis presented in the Summary of the annual Trustees' Reports for Social Security and Medicare are based on the intermediate assumptions.⁴⁵

What would this proposal add to existing reporting?

A24. This proposal adds to existing reporting in the CFR by proposing requirements for:

- (a) a basic financial statement of comprehensive long-term projections for all federal government receipts and spending, and

(b) disclosures that will help readers to understand the long-term projections, for example, by explaining the significant factors that are driving projected trends, illustrating trends graphically, and providing context for the information provided.

- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: required disclosures
- Inserted: required disclosures
- Deleted: narrative and graphics
- Deleted: understand the
- Deleted: , by

Assumptions

Limitations of “Current Law” Assumptions

A25. Projections are the central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting and require that assumptions be made. The Board believes that the most useful projections will reflect current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation.

- Deleted: levels of
- Deleted: spending
- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁴⁵ A Summary of the 2007 Annual Reports, Social Security and Medicare Boards of Trustees, pages 3-6. Available at: <http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/TRSUM/trsummary.html>.

A26. Although current law is a reasonable starting point in selecting policy assumptions, a simple projection of “current law” would not always reflect current policy without reform regarding public services or taxation. The Board’s proposal includes a guiding principle for selecting policy assumptions but acknowledges the role of judgment in filling voids in current law or departing from current law provisions.

Deleted: levels of
Deleted: benefits,
Deleted: ,
Deleted: central guidance
Deleted: in

A27. Major provisions of current law often do not extend far into the future to be used as a basis for a long-range projection. Discretionary spending is primarily based upon annual appropriation acts, and even some mandatory spending programs are subject to authorizing legislation that expires in the near future. For example, the legislation authorizing several mandatory programs (such as Food Stamps, student assistance for higher education, and agricultural price supports) expires and would require legislative action for the programs to continue past the expiration date.

Deleted: (see note 23)
Deleted: for example,

A28. Current law may contain a provision that restricts spending on certain social insurance programs, for example, Social Security and Part A of Medicare, to the amounts available in the Social Security or Medicare Trust Funds, respectively, plus inflows of **earmarked revenues**. However, current law does not provide for any specific reductions in Social Security scheduled benefits or Medicare reimbursement rates that would occur due to lack of funding. Thus, current law does not address what will happen when the trust fund balances are exhausted, although this event may reasonably be expected to occur.⁴⁶

A29. Current law also may include tax provisions that expire within several years, along with a historical trend of extending those tax provisions before they expire—but only for a short period, such as one year. In such situations, current law would indicate that the tax provisions will expire on schedule, while a projection based upon current policy without reform for taxation, together with reasonable expectations based on recent historical trends may indicate that the tax provisions will be extended.

Deleted: (for example, tax cuts)
Deleted: generally
Deleted: levels of
Deleted: ,
Deleted: and
Deleted: ,

⁴⁶ According to the 2007 Trustees Reports, the Social Security Trust Fund is expected to be exhausted in 2041, and Medicare’s Hospital Insurance Trust Fund is expected to be exhausted in 2019. For the first time, a “Medicare funding warning” was triggered in 2007, signaling that non-dedicated sources of revenues—primarily general revenues—will soon account for more than 45 percent of Medicare’s outlays. By law, this warning requires that the President propose, and Congress consider, remedial action. However, until remedial action is taken, it is difficult to determine how to project future spending for Medicare. A similar situation exists for Social Security, although the amounts are smaller and the expected date for trust fund exhaustion is much further in the future.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Fiscal Sustainability Task Force Input Regarding Policy Assumptions

A30. A majority of the task force technical experts agreed that policy assumptions for the basic financial statement that are consistent with current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation would be useful for readers of the CFR in assessing whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: levels of

Deleted: benefits,

Deleted: ,

A31. A majority of the task force technical experts believe that for mandatory spending on social insurance programs, a modified version of current law (ignoring the exhaustion of the Social Security and Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Funds—see paragraph A28), which might also be termed “current services,” represents the most useful assumption for projecting spending for social insurance programs. However, a minority believe that any deviation from current law requires a subjective judgment that can be biased.

A32. The technical experts also acknowledged that projections for discretionary spending are more uncertain than projections for mandatory spending, since current law often only addresses the next one or two years. However, there was some agreement among the group that projecting discretionary spending growth at the same rate as assumed GDP per capita would be an example of a reasonable option for some programs.

A33. A recent report issued by the GAO⁴⁷ illustrates the tension between choosing current law versus current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxes. The report’s primary display contains two different projections in a single graphic presentation: the 10-year CBO baseline, which is then projected into the future (called “baseline extended”) and a different projection (called an “alternative simulation”), which includes modifications that are described in the narrative. The “baseline extended” projection is based on assumptions that focus on current law. Those assumptions are changed in the GAO’s “alternative simulation” to reflect historical trends and recent policy preferences.

Deleted: level of

A34. The GAO’s approach of showing two different sets of numbers provides a more complete picture than selecting one or the other.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁴⁷ *The Nation’s Long-Term Fiscal Outlook* August 2007 Update (GAO-07-1261R).

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
August 29, 2008
Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

However, this approach does not achieve one of the most important characteristics of effective communication. All of the communication experts and many of the technical experts on the task force strongly emphasized the importance of simplicity of presentation. The Board noted that one of the greatest challenges inherent in Fiscal Sustainability Reporting is the tension between technical rigor and simplicity of presentation.

Deleted: s

A35. A majority of the technical experts agreed with the substance of the proposed guidance in the ED—that the basic financial statement should present current policy without reform regarding public services and taxation⁴⁸—but noted that it is difficult to coin a term to refer to this concept without implying something else. A majority of the technical experts recommended the term “modified current law.” However, the Board believes that using the term “modified current law” throughout the exposure draft would make many sentences unclear or misleading, because the emphasis on continuing current policy regarding federal public services and taxation would be unclear. A compromise phrase, “current policy without reform” was selected as a short term for the policy assumptions in the ED.

Deleted: ¶
<#>The term “current policy” as defined in this Statement is not intended to be used as the title for any display, but rather as an abbreviated term to assist the overall readability of this exposure draft. An abbreviated term is useful, particularly in sentences where the term “current levels of benefits, services, and taxation” would make the sentence difficult to read and understand.¶

Deleted: primary summary display
Deleted: levels of
Deleted: spending
Deleted: ” as being preferable to the term “current policy
Deleted: substituting
Deleted: for “current policy”

Basis for the Board's Proposal Regarding Policy Assumptions

A36. The Board believes that the most useful reporting on fiscal sustainability would illustrate the long-term effects of current policy without reform regarding public services and taxation. However, there are numerous ways of projecting current policy into the future. For example, it could be assumed that discretionary spending will continue as a constant share of GDP. Another alternative would be to assume constant real spending per capita (which could give a different result from assuming growth at a constant share of GDP). Yet another alternative would be to assume constant growth at the rate of inflation, which may be different than the growth of GDP.⁴⁹ (Historically, nondefense discretionary spending has grown roughly with GDP while defense discretionary

Deleted: levels of
Deleted: benefits,
Deleted: ,
Deleted: levels of
Deleted: benefits or
Deleted: revenues
Deleted: levels

⁴⁸ “Current policy without reform,” as defined in this proposed Statement is not equivalent to constant dollar amounts. Current policy without reform is to be considered with respect to the service or benefit being provided (or scheduled to be provided) and the general relationship of taxation to the economy (for example, taxable income, GDP, or some other base).

⁴⁹ For example, the CBO projects that the rate of inflation will be lower than the rate of GDP growth for 2007-2017. See page xi, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017* (January 2007). Available at: <http://www.cbo.gov>.

Deleted: levels
Deleted: levels measured in dollars
Deleted: In the broader context
Deleted: t
Deleted: of current policy
Deleted: , c
Deleted: levels
Deleted: are
Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

spending has grown slightly faster than inflation but less than GDP, often in a nonlinear pattern.)

A37. The Board believes that the details of the assumptions for projecting current policy without reform should be left to the judgment of the preparer and auditor. Regardless of which assumptions are used for the basic financial statement, the disclosures should include an explanation of the assumptions used and alternative scenarios. Readers will have access to important explanatory material.

- Deleted: "current level of service" or "current level of taxation"
- Deleted: a primary presentation
- Deleted: narrative

A38. Current law may not address events that may reasonably be expected to occur (for example the exhaustion of the Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Fund). As noted previously, although current law limits spending to the amounts available in the trust funds and current earmarked revenue, current law does not provide for any specific reductions in benefit payments or reimbursement rates due to lack of funds. Thus, current law is inconsistent and does not provide an answer.

A39. When current law is inconsistent, the Board believes that in selecting assumptions, the projections should indicate current policy regarding federal government public services and taxation, and should answer the question "what if current policy without reform were continued over time?" The resulting projection should be accompanied by a narrative that explains what would happen if an alternative event occurs (in the example in paragraph A38, the narrative would explain what percentage of Medicare reimbursements could not be paid if legislation does not provide for maintaining current benefit formulas).

- Deleted: levels of
- Deleted: benefits,
- Deleted: ,
- Deleted: levels were
- Deleted: levels

Economic and Demographic Assumptions

A40. Economic and demographic assumptions are somewhat broader in scope than policy assumptions, since they include such factors as economic growth, inflation, birth rates, net immigration, and longevity. The elements of economic and demographic assumptions are generally influenced more by a variety of external factors than by direct legislative impact.

- Deleted: population demographics and

A41. There was no consensus guidance from the task force technical experts for economic and demographic projections, although none objected strongly to either CBO, OMB, or the economic and demographic assumptions currently used for the Social Security and Medicare portions of the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI).

- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

A42. Table 2 displays representative elements of CBO and OMB assumptions, with a comparison with the assumptions currently used for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance.

Table 2: Major Elements of CBO and OMB Economic and Demographic Assumptions, Compared with Assumptions used in the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI)

	CBO Baseline (2008-2018)	OMB <u>Current Forecast</u> <u>Extended for</u> <u>Stewardship</u> <u>Reporting</u>	SOSI assumptions for Social Security and Medicare	
Economic/Demographic Assumptions:				<div>Deleted: 7</div> <div>Deleted: 7</div> <div>Deleted: Stewardship Reporting</div>
Consumer Price Index inflation	2.8% in 2008; average 2.1% per year for 2009-2018	3.8% in 2008; average 2.3% in 2009-2018 and beyond	Trustees Reports intermediate assumption: 2.8%	<div>Deleted: 5</div> <div>Deleted: 7</div> <div>Deleted: 2007-2017: Administration projections used for the budget, constant thereafter⁵⁰</div> <div>Deleted: Intermediate</div>
Population demographics (birth/death/immigration)	Intermediate Trustees reports assumptions	Administration budget assumptions used for 2008-218; Trustees Reports intermediate assumptions thereafter	Trustees Reports intermediate assumptions	<div>Deleted: 2</div> <div>Deleted: 8</div> <div>Deleted: 7</div> <div>Deleted: 2007-2017: Administration projections used for the budget, Intermediate Trustees Reports assumptions thereafter</div>
Real GDP growth ⁵¹	Average 2.1% in 2008-2009; average 3.2% in 2010-2013; average 2.5% 2014-2018	Average 1.9% in 2008-2009; average 3.2% in 2010-2013; average 2.6% in 2014-2018; average 2.6% thereafter	Trustees Reports intermediate assumption: 2.2%	<div>Deleted: Intermediate</div> <div>Deleted: 2007-2017: Administration productivity projections used for the budget period, constant thereafter at 2.3%, with Trustees Intermediate assumptions for labor force growth</div> <div>Deleted: Intermediate</div> <div>Deleted: 9</div> <div>Deleted: 12</div> <div>Deleted: 1.7</div> <div>Deleted: 2.9% ¶ 2013-2017: 2.5%</div> <div>Deleted: Baseline: The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017 (January 2007)</div> <div>Deleted: Stewardship Reporting:</div> <div>Deleted: 8</div> <div>Deleted: /FR:</div> <div>Deleted: 6</div> <div>Deleted: – Do Not Circulate</div>
<div>Sources: CBO's most recent economic projections were released on February 15, 2008. Available at: http://www.cbo.gov. OMB 2009 Mid-Session Review and Chapter 13, "Stewardship" of Analytical Perspectives, U.S. Budget, FY 2009. SOSI, FY 2007, Financial Report of the U.S. Government.</div>				

⁵¹ There are two major components of projections for real GDP growth: productivity (real GDP per capita) and labor supply. While productivity growth is typically assumed to be constant, labor force growth varies over time with the demographic assumptions.

- A43. One of the technical experts noted that there are several advantages to using the economic and demographic assumptions used for Social Security and Medicare in the preparation of the SOSI:
- Since the SOSI is now a basic financial statement, auditors are bound by generally accepted government auditing standards to examine and assess the reasonableness of the assumptions. Since the SOSI is generally derived from the Trustees Report, the result is that the assumptions used in the Medicare and Social Security Trustees Reports are subject to audit.
 - In contrast, the CBO and OMB economic and demographic assumptions are not subject to audit.
 - If the economic and demographic assumptions used for Social Security and Medicare in the preparation of the SOSI are used, there would be consistency between the economic and demographic assumptions used for the SOSI and for the Fiscal Sustainability Reporting.
- A44. Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 17, *Accounting for Social Insurance*, does not prescribe specific economic or demographic assumptions for Social Security and Medicare in the SOSI. Accordingly, the Board concurred that the reporting requirements for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should not dictate specific economic and demographic assumptions, but should require that the primary displays for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should use economic and demographic assumptions that are consistent with the economic and demographic assumptions for Social Security and Medicare in the SOSI. In addition, the narrative should include information about how different assumptions would impact the projections.

International Perspective

- A45. Other nations have issued reports addressing “fiscal sustainability.” While a precise definition has not been developed, countries generally describe fiscal sustainability in a manner consistent with the following:
- Fiscal sustainability is the government’s ability to manage its finances so it can meet its spending commitments, both now and in the future. It ensures future generations of taxpayers do not face an unmanageable bill for government services provided to the current generation.⁵²

⁵² Australia, Intergenerational Report 2002-3, page 2. Available at: http://www.budget.gov.au/2002-03/bp5/html/02_BP5Overview.html#P23_3643

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
August 29, 2008
Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Basic Financial Statement

A46. The basic financial statement reports present value amounts in dollars as well as in relation to the present value of GDP for the projection period. The basic financial statement will be presented as RSI for a period of three years and will then become a basic financial statement.

Deleted: Primary Summary Display

Deleted: primary summary display
Deleted: is a financial statement presenting
Deleted: primary summary display

A47. Elements considered for inclusion as mandatory requirements for the basic financial statement were:

Deleted: primary summary display

- (a) total projected spending and receipts, disaggregated by major programs such as Medicare and Social Security
- (b) the net total of all projected receipts and spending
- (c) amounts displayed as both (present value) dollars and percent of GDP,
- (d) year-to-year (for example, side-by-side) comparison with prior year,
- (e) net change from year-to-year as a separate column, and
- (f) alternative scenario information.

Deleted: (including debt held by the public) presented as the fiscal imbalance,

Deleted: <#>fiscal imbalance as a percent of total projected receipts and total projected spending,¶

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: <#>disaggregation of major programs funded by earmarked funds (Social Security and Medicare Part A), and¶

Deleted: range

Formatted: Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Tab after: 1" + Indent at: 1"

Deleted: f

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted:

A48. A majority of the members decided that (a) through (e) above should be included as minimum requirements for the basic financial statement, with the format of the elements left to the discretion of the preparer. An illustrative statement is included in Appendix B. In addition, the Board concluded that fiscal gap should be explained and reported, either on the face of the financial statement or in the disclosures. Fiscal gap should be presented in dollars and as a percentage of the net present value of (a) GDP, (b) receipts, and (c) noninterest spending during the projection period. Information may be provided for a single target debt to GDP ratio, multiple ratios or over a continuum of ratios (e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs). Illustrative examples are shown in Appendix B for both options: (a) on the face of the illustrative basic financial statement and (b) in the disclosures, as Illustration 8.

A49. The Board concluded that disaggregation of specific major programs would be left to the discretion of the preparer. In addition, the Board decided to require disclosure regarding the possible alternative scenarios, but not to require presentation of the range on the face of the basic financial statement.

Deleted: beyond the categories of Social Security and Medicare for receipts and Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid

Deleted: for spending

Deleted: narrative and graphics

Deleted: range of outcomes

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: Such additional items of information can be added by the preparer but are not required.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Per Capita Measures

A50. The Board considered whether to include per capita measures in the summary display. The technical experts serving on the Fiscal

Sustainability Task Force did not come to agreement regarding the display of summary numbers on a per capita, per worker, and/or per household basis.

- A51. A majority of the technical experts on the task force recommended against per capita measures, for the following reasons:
- (a) Several technical experts strongly objected to the use of per capita summary numbers using current-year population for the denominator. They said that such measures would imply that the current-year population is solely responsible for funding program shortfalls into the distant future. They believe that any changes needed to address the shortfalls projected through, for example, the next 75 years, should be spread across the population throughout that 75-year period.
 - (b) Other technical experts noted that per capita measures may be useful in conveying the magnitude of projected fiscal imbalances and could be displayed if summary amounts are divided by the population that parallels the horizon indicated and a narrative is included that explains present value and the nature of the numerator and denominator.
 - (c) Per capita measures for infinite-horizon projection periods present special problems. It is uncertain how a reasonable per capita denominator for an infinite horizon ratio would be selected and explained, especially if the denominator includes an estimate of all individuals that enter the population during the projection period.
 - (d) Two technical experts believe that even present value per capita amounts can be misinterpreted, because the reader will compare the amount with current salary levels and not understand the role of potential future productivity increases.
 - (e) One technical expert objects to per capita amounts because they represent amounts distributed equally among individuals with widely different abilities to pay.

A52. After a discussion of the above issues, the Board decided not to include per capita measures in the proposed reporting requirements.

Time Horizon for Projections

A53. There was strong disagreement among the task force participants regarding the selection of a time horizon for projections, in particular a finite horizon (for example, 75-year) versus an infinite horizon. One task force participant believes that only infinite-horizon projections should be

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

displayed but others believe that infinite-horizon projections should not be shown. Some participants suggested that information using both finite and infinite-horizon projections be included.

A54. A majority of the communication experts believe that information for both finite and infinite-horizon projections should be provided to readers, but not necessarily both within a primary display.

Deleted: s

A55. Below are arguments for the finite and infinite-horizon projection periods for the basic financial statement that the Board discussed.

Deleted: primary summary display

A56. Arguments in favor of a finite horizon:

- (a) A finite period would be sufficient to cover essentially all of the working and retirement years for current participants.
- (b) A finite period is subject to less uncertainty than an infinite horizon.
- (c) A finite period is meaningful to readers. For example, readers can relate to a time period that will include the retirement of the youngest members of the current workforce. An infinite horizon is less meaningful to readers. Readers are less likely to relate to or be concerned about the U.S. Government’s fiscal condition in 200, 500 or 1,000 years in the future.
- (d) Infinite-horizon projections are no more informative to policymakers than 75-year projections, in part because projections beyond the 75-year horizon are subject to significant uncertainty. A more detailed version of this argument is made in an article in the National Tax Journal:

Deleted: huge

...many people already believe that the 75-year horizon is too distant to be meaningful, and that detailed projections over longer horizons suggest a false precision. A simpler projection assumption is that after 75 years (or some other interval, T), the system will have settled into a steady state in which rates of growth of costs and tax revenues are thereafter constant, although not necessarily equal.⁵³

A57. Arguments in favor of an infinite horizon:

- (a) Unless trends are level towards the end of the period, projections may be subject to the “moving window” effect, where shortfalls (or surpluses) increase significantly from one reporting year to the next due to the change in the projection period. For example, if a projection period is 75 years, the activity in “year 76” is outside the projection period for that year, but will be included in the projection period for the

⁵³ *Sustainable Social Security- What Would It Cost?* National Tax Journal, Vol. LVI, No. 1, Part 1, March 2003, page 34. Available at [http://ntj.tax.org/wwwtax/ntjrec.nsf/5DC000487120304885256D8E0054C858/\\$FILE/Lee.pdf](http://ntj.tax.org/wwwtax/ntjrec.nsf/5DC000487120304885256D8E0054C858/$FILE/Lee.pdf)

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

following year. An infinite horizon would avoid the “moving window” effect that occurs when there are significant changes to an estimate from one year to the next that are caused by the passage of time.

- (b) Some have argued that a finite projection period essentially assumes zero for years beyond the projection period. Infinite-horizon projections would not assume zero for years beyond the cutoff point for projections.

A58. The Board believes that the advantages of both finite and infinite horizons are sufficiently compelling that both finite and infinite-horizon information should be provided, although only one projection period should be used for the basic financial statement. Whichever type of projection period is selected for the primary display, the other type of projection period should be presented with the disclosures.

- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: required narrative and graphics

A59. The Board also believes that one of the projection periods used (in either the basic financial statement or the narrative section) should be consistent with that used for the SOSI. This will ensure consistency between major line items in the SOSI (for example, projected earmarked receipts and spending for Social Security and Medicare) and corresponding line items in the basic financial statement or the disclosures.

- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: required disclosures
- Inserted: disclosures
- Deleted: narrative

The Concepts of Fiscal Gap and Fiscal Imbalance

A60. The Board considered two potential summary measures for presentation below the other required elements on the basic financial statement or separate disclosure; fiscal gap and fiscal imbalance.

- Deleted: “bottom line”
- Deleted: the
- Deleted: primary summary display
- Deleted: fiscal imbalance and

- (a) The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain federal debt as a constant percentage of GDP.
- (b) The fiscal imbalance is the net present value of existing federal debt plus projected spending,⁵⁴ minus projected receipts. In other words, it is the fiscal gap when the target level of federal debt at the end of the projection period is zero. The fiscal imbalance illustrates the amount that would be necessary to balance projected receipts, projected spending, and repayment of debt for a stated projection period.

- Deleted: <#>The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain federal debt as a constant percentage of GDP.¶
- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate
- Deleted: imbalance

⁵⁴ Since interest is factored into the present value calculation, the fiscal gap as a share of spending is expressed as a share of spending excluding interest. See FAQ 4 in Appendix C of the draft ED.

A61. Several of the Task Force technical experts believe that the fiscal imbalance, as defined above, overstates the size of the problem over any finite time period such as 75 years. The fiscal imbalance is defined as the existing federal debt plus projected spending less projected receipts. If projected receipts are large enough to set the fiscal imbalance to zero after 75 years (or any other fixed time period), this would imply the debt was paid off at the end of the period. Many of the technical experts argued that this is not necessary for continued solvency provided the economy is expected to last longer than 75 years. A positive level of debt is viewed by many to be fiscally acceptable at the end of the projection period, provided it is not too large or growing too fast.

A62. The fiscal gap measure does not require a target debt level of zero; instead, it allows for a positive level of debt at the end of the forecast horizon. In order to report the fiscal gap as a single amount (in present value dollars or as a percentage of GDP, projected receipts or projected spending), a target debt level relative to GDP must be selected. Such a measure would show the magnitude of increases in receipts or cuts in spending that would be needed to achieve that target. However, any specific limit selected may be considered arbitrary. In the United States, there is currently no legislated goal for debt as a share of GDP or a legislated limit on borrowing other than the statutory debt limit, which historically has been frequently raised.

A63. Since the Board has no objective basis for selecting a debt-to-GDP limit or goal, the Board is proposing that information regarding the fiscal gap be required. Fiscal gap should be explained and reported, either on the face of the financial statement or in the disclosures. Fiscal gap should be presented in dollars and as a percentage of the net present value of (a) GDP, (b) receipts, and (c) noninterest spending during the projection period. Information may be provided for a single target debt to GDP ratio, multiple ratios or over a continuum of ratios (e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs). This requirement is illustrated both ways in Appendix B: as a continuation of the basic financial statement and as Illustration 8. Alternative approaches may be used.

Deleted: T

Deleted: A different measure, often called t

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: , but it generally sets a limit arbitrarily on how large that debt should be relative to the economy, and

Deleted: s

Deleted: how

Deleted: ¶
Any measure that provides for a positive level of debt at the end of the projection period would also need to state a limit as to the size and growth rate of the debt.

Deleted: selected the

Deleted: the bottom line of the basic financial statement should be the net of projected receipts and spending

Inserted: the bottom line of the basic financial statement should be the net of projected receipts and spending

Deleted: "fiscal imbalance" concept

Deleted: rather than either the fiscal imbalance or the the fiscal ga

Inserted: either the fiscal imbalance or the

Deleted: The information may be presented as a continuation of the basic financial statement with explanatory text or as a disclosure.

Inserted: The information may be presented as a continuation of the basic financial statement with explanatory text or as a disclosure. This requirement is illustrated both ways in Appendix B: as a continuation of the basic financial statement and as Illustration 8. Alternative approaches may be used.

Deleted: p

Deleted: concept for the primary summary display

Deleted: . The Board is also proposing that the fiscal imbalance and fiscal gap measures should be addressed in the required disclosures

Inserted: The Board is also proposing that the fiscal imbalance and fiscal gap measures shou... [21]

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Appendix B: Example Formats and Illustrations

The examples in this Appendix are illustrative only; they do not represent authoritative guidance.

Basic Financial Statement
Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government

Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
Amounts projected to 75 years

	As of January 1, 20XX (Current Year)		As of January 1, 20XX (Prior Year)		Change from Prior Year	
	PV Dollars in trillions	% GDP*	PV Dollars in trillions	% GDP*	PV Dollars in trillions	% GDP *
Receipts						
Medicare	\$ 10.7	1.5%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Social Security	36.3	5.1%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
All Other Receipts	91.0	12.8%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
Total Receipts	\$ 137.9	19.4%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Spending						
Medicare	\$ 44.8	6.3%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Medicaid	15.6	2.2%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
Social Security	40.5	5.7%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
Rest of Federal Government**	73.9	10.4%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
Total Spending	\$ 174.9	24.6%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Spending in excess of receipts	\$ 37.0	5.2%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%

To avoid the unsustainable levels of federal debt that would result from these large projected deficits, actions would need to be taken to increase revenues or decrease noninterest spending by a net present value of \$55 trillion or X% of GDP. To accomplish this reduction, annual revenues would need to increase by 36% or annual non-interest spending would have to decrease by 27% (or some combination of these two options).

Note: Amounts are estimated based upon guidance for selecting assumptions provided in this Statement. Receipts and spending include repayment of Social Security Trust Fund (estimated 0.3 percent of GDP).

* GDP (Gross domestic product) can be roughly defined as all of the nation's income or everything the country produces.
** Rest of government: The repayment of borrowings from the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds are included in Receipts for Social Security and Medicare, and Outlays for Rest of government. (If material, these amounts should be displayed on separate sublines.)

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Font: 8 pt

Deleted: Primary Summary Display

Deleted: Part I -

Inserted: Part I -

Deleted: ¶

Formatted: Font: 8 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Formatted Table

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Deleted: Subtotal-

Deleted: Add: Debt Held by the Public

Deleted: 5.0

Deleted: 7%

Formatted: Centered

Deleted: X.X

Deleted: X.X%

Deleted: X.X

Deleted: X.X%

...

[22]

...

[23]

Deleted: Fiscal imbalance is calculated as a percentage of projected receipts and spending net of intragovernmental receipts ... [24]

Deleted: Descriptions of th ... [25]

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: basic financial statement:¶

Inserted: basic financial statement

Deleted: should be

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Examples of Selected Disclosures

The following examples display and/or describe narrative and graphics that might supplement the basic financial statement in a manner consistent with the standard.⁵⁵

These illustrations are illustrative only and do not represent authoritative guidance. Illustrations are not provided for all requirements.

Examples are provided in this appendix for the following selected disclosures:

1. Rising Cost of Health Care	47
2. Demographic Trends	50
3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending	52
4. Trends in Deficit Spending	53
5. Trends in Treasury Debt	54
6. Impact of Delaying Action	55
7. Alternative Scenarios	56
8. Fiscal Gap	56
9. Other Required Information	58

1. Rising Cost of Health Care

Paragraph 42(a) provides that ranges may optionally be displayed for major cost factors. For example, if the rising cost of federal spending on health care is a major factor in the long-term spending projections, the disclosure might include the following:

- (a) If the growth in health care costs exceeds the growth in GDP, a narrative might explain that the growth in any spending program cannot continue indefinitely to exceed the growth in the economy, because at some point, the costs would exceed the resources that can be extracted from the economy.
- (b) A range encompassing projections for major cost factors such as the rising cost of health care might be presented in a graphic as a percentage of GDP. The graphic could use the example format in Illustration 1a or other formats

⁵⁵ See paragraphs 39-42 of this standard.

Deleted: *** The fiscal imbalance is the amount of present value dollars that would be necessary to balance future outlays and receipts and repay existing debt. ¶
Analysis of changes¶
¶
Paragraph 38 provides that after the initial year of implementation, comparative amounts for the current year and prior year, and the net change for each line item of the primary summary display be provided. Paragraph 31 requires that when year-by-year comparisons are displayed, a table disaggregate the changes from one year to the ... [26]

Deleted: ¶ ... [27]

Deleted: Required Disclosures

Deleted: illustrations

Deleted: primary summary display

Deleted: As noted on page 46, t

Deleted: h

Deleted: . The requirements(... [28]

Deleted: required disclosures

Deleted: ¶ ... [29]

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: ¶

Formatted ... [30]

Deleted: 45

Deleted: 41(a)

Deleted: individual programs

Deleted: narrative section ... [31]

Deleted: the

Deleted: alternative scenarios

Deleted: (for example, basel(... [32]


Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Deleted: 37

Illustration 1a: Major Cost Drivers for Federal Spending

Deleted: Range of Alternative Assumptions Graphic

Federal Spending for Medicare and Medicaid as a Percentage of Gross Domestic Product Under Different Assumptions About Excess Cost Growth



Source: Congressional Budget Office, *The Long-Term Outlook for Health Care Spending* (November 2007) Figure 5, page 15. Available at: <http://www.cbo.gov/>.

A narrative might describe the assumptions involved in the basic statement and in the alternative scenarios.

Deleted: low, intermediate, and high projections, and if applicable a fourth, "most likely" projection.¶¶


In addition, a graphic might display the relative contribution of two or more major cost drivers. For example, Illustration 1b displays the effect of the aging of the population on federal spending on Medicare and Medicaid versus excess cost growth.⁵⁶

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁵⁶ Excess cost growth refers to the number of percentage points by which the growth of annual health care spending per beneficiary is assumed to exceed the growth of nominal gross domestic product per capita.

Illustration 1b: Relative Contribution of Two Major Cost Drivers

Sources of Growth in Projected Federal Spending on Medicare and Medicaid



Source: Congressional Budget Office, *Op. Cit.*, page 14.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

2. Demographic Trends

Paragraph 42(a) requires that disclosures explain and illustrate the major factors that are expected to have a significant impact upon future receipts and spending of the federal government. The narrative might describe demographic trends and briefly explain the major drivers of change in demographic trends, for example, trends in longevity and birth rates, and refer the reader to more extensive coverage of the topic in other existing reports, for example, the Social Security and Medicare Trustees Reports. The narrative could describe the change in the ratio of workers to retirees and how this change relates to long-term fiscal outlook for social insurance programs.

Deleted: 46

Deleted: 41(a)


Deleted: narrative and graphics

A simple graphic to accompany and illustrate the narrative may follow the format of the example shown below. The illustrative sample format below is called an “age/gender pyramid.” The graphic could display two or three age/gender pyramids side-by-side, for example:

- (1) the current (or other baseline) year minus at least 50 years;
- (2) the current year (or other baseline year, for example, 2000); and
- (3) a projection of the current (or other baseline) year plus at least 50 years.

Illustration 2: Age-Gender Pyramid

The Changing Shape of the United States’ Population



Source: Social Security Administration, Area Population Statistics.

Alternatively, simple age demographics rather than workforce participation could be used (i.e., “over 64 instead of “retired”) provided that they are used consistently.⁵⁷

The narrative could also discuss the “total dependency” ratio (dependent children plus retirees per worker) for each “worker-to-retiree” ratio that is provided in the narrative.

The narrative also could provide perspective by explaining that similar demographic trends are occurring in other developed countries, and provide examples of developed nation(s) projected to have a greater number of retirees per worker than the United States, and developed nation(s) projected to have fewer retirees per worker.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁵⁷ The European Commission defines the total dependency ratio as the “Population under 15 and over 64 as a percentage of the population aged 15-64.” *European Economy: Special Report 1/2006*, page 313.

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government

August 29, 2008

Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

Paragraph 42(b) requires that disclosures explain and illustrate the historical and projected trends for a progression of years. Illustrations 3, 4 and 5 display how this might be accomplished.

Deleted: 41(b)

Deleted: 47(a)-(c)

Deleted: narrative ad graphics


3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending

The narrative section could include a graphic of the relationship between projected receipts and spending for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and future years projected to 75 years after the current year.

Deleted: at least

Below is an example.

Projected U.S. Government Receipts and Spending
(As a percent of GDP)



Source: FY 2007 *Financial Report of the U.S. Government*, Chart H, page 18. Available at <http://fms.treas.gov/fr/index.html>.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate


4. Trends in Deficit Spending

The trends in deficit spending could be graphically displayed as a percentage of GDP for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and future years projected to 75 years after the current year.

Deleted: at least

Illustration 3a: Projected Deficit/Surplus as a Percentage of GDP

Projected Deficit (Surplus) as a Percentage of GDP



Data source: Office of Management and Budget, Table 13-2, Chapter 13, "Stewardship," *Analytical Perspectives*, FY 2008 Budget.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate


5. Trends in Treasury Debt

A graphic could display the projected trends in Treasury debt as a percentage of GDP, for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and future years projected to at 75 years after the current year. This graphic could illustrate the assumption that increased borrowing would occur to finance the difference between projected receipts and spending.

Deleted: least

Deleted: be substituted for increased taxes and/or reduced spending.¶

Illustration 3b- Increase in Federal Debt Held by the Public



Data source: Office of Management and Budget, Table 13-2, Chapter 13, "Stewardship," *Analytical Perspectives*, FY 2008 Budget.


Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

6. Impact of Delaying Action

Paragraph 42(c) provides that if a fiscal gap is indicated by the projections, a disclosure should explain and illustrate the likely impact of delaying action. Two graphics could display the progressive increase in the change that would be needed to close the fiscal gap by (a) reducing spending or alternatively (b) by increasing taxes. Alternatively, either (a) or (b) could be displayed in a graph while the narrative describes the impact of delay on the item not displayed. An example of graphic presentation of (a) is shown below.

- Deleted: 48
- Deleted: 41(c)
- Deleted: imbalance
- Deleted: (shortfall)
- Deleted: the narrative section would include a graphic that shows
- Deleted: imbalance
- Deleted: and

Reductions in Noninterest Spending Needed to Close the Fiscal Gap in Various Years Under CBO’s Alternative Fiscal Scenario



Source: Congressional Budget Office.

Notes: The fiscal gap is a measure of federal shortfalls over a given period. It represents the extent to which the government would need to immediately and permanently either raise tax revenues or cut spending—or do both, to some degree—to make the government’s debt the same size (in relation to the economy) at the end of that period as it was at the beginning.

The alternative fiscal scenario deviates from CBO’s baseline projections during the next 10 years, incorporating changes in policy that are widely expected to occur and that policymakers have regularly made in the past.

Source: Congressional Budget Office, *The Long-Term Budget Outlook* (December 2007) Table 1-3, page 16.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

7. Alternative Scenarios

Paragraph 42(d) provides for the explanation and illustration of alternative scenarios. It indicates that a table might be used to display alternative scenarios. The following illustration is an example of how such a table might be displayed.

	Statement	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
Receipts:			
Medicare			
Social Security			
All Other			
Total Receipts			
Spending			
Medicare			
Medicaid			
Social Security			
Rest of Government			
Total Spending			
Spending in excess of receipts			

8. Fiscal Gap

The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP.

How much public debt is sustainable? While many experts agree that some level of public debt is reasonable and acceptable, there is no universally agreed-upon “sustainable” percentage of debt to GDP. However, all experts agree that a continually increasing level of debt to GDP is not sustainable. The chart in Note X⁵⁸ displays how the debt as a percentage of GDP has varied over time. It shows that debt is currently 36.8% of GDP but has risen as high as 109% of GDP (during World War II). Many economists believe that persistent debt-to-GDP levels over 100% are unhealthy.

Since the fiscal gap varies based on the level of debt that is to be maintained, the graph below illustrates the fiscal gap over a continuum of target ratios of debt to GDP. The

⁵⁸ See Illustration 5 on page 54 of this exposure draft.


Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
August 29, 2008
Preballot Draft Exposure Draft

- Deleted: Range information
- Deleted: 45
- Deleted: 41(d)
- Deleted: range of possible results, and requires
- Deleted: showing the range for each line item presented in the primary summary display.
- Deleted:
- Formatted: Not Highlight
- Formatted Table
- Deleted: High
- Deleted: Statement
- Deleted: Low
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Formatted: Highlight
- Deleted: Add: Debt Held by the Public ... [33]
- Deleted: Fiscal Imbalance
- Deleted: The “fiscal gap” is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt as a constant percentage of GDP.
- Deleted: /
- Inserted: / GDP levels over 100% are unhealthy.¶
- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

fiscal gap is shown in both present value dollars (see Note X for an explanation of present value) and as a percentage of GDP on the vertical axis. Note that the graph is truncated at debt of 120% of GDP, based on a range of debt-to-GDP levels from zero to 120%. While the line continues in theory and could be graphed, going beyond the 120% level did not seem reasonable since the highest level of debt to GDP was 109%.

Fiscal Gap

in \$ trillions
(% of GDP)




Source: GAO's April 2008 Alternative simulation. Fiscal gap expressed in present value 2008 dollars.

In addition, the percentage increase in revenue and decrease in non-interest spending necessary to close the fiscal gap can be displayed over the continuum of debt as a percentage of GDP that might be targeted. The graph below presents the percentage increase in revenue and decrease in non-interest spending to close a fiscal gap over these different debt levels. An alternative not presented is to resolve the fiscal gap through some combination of these two options.

For example, to achieve a debt level of zero, revenue would have to increase by 38% or noninterest spending would have to decrease by 28%. Also, for example, to maintain debt at the level as of September 30, 2007 (36.8%), revenue would have to increase by 36% or noninterest spending would have to decrease by 27%. Similar to the above

graph, this graph also illustrates that, across a range of debt levels, the changes in revenue and/or spending remains significant.



- Deleted: g
- Formatted: Underline
- Deleted: i
- Deleted: r
- Inserted: required
- Formatted: Space Before: 12 pt, After: 3 pt
- Deleted: narrative
- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate
- Deleted: narrative
- Deleted: 38
- Deleted: 31 and 40
- Deleted: 48
- Deleted: 41
- Deleted: s
- Deleted: 41-
- Deleted: 39
- Deleted: 43
- Deleted: 40(e)
- Deleted: 44
- Deleted: graphics

9. Other Required Information

Additional information is required by paragraphs 39-42 but is not explicitly described or illustrated in this appendix. For example, paragraph 40 requires an explanation of the nature and limitations of projections. Paragraph 41(e) requires that the narrative should explain the significance of the data presented and put the information into context.

Appendix C: FAQs

FAQ 1. What is “Fiscal Sustainability Reporting”?
“Fiscal Sustainability Reporting” is the short term for “Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Narrative and Graphics in the Financial Report of the U.S. Government.”

FAQ 2. What is GDP?
A nation’s gross domestic product, or GDP, is one of the ways for measuring the size of its economy. The GDP of a nation is defined as the market value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given period of time. The most common approach to measuring and understanding GDP is the expenditure method:
 $GDP = \text{consumption} + \text{investment} + \text{government spending} + (\text{exports} - \text{imports})$

FAQ 3. (a) What is the **debt-to-GDP** ratio? (b) Why does the debt-to-GDP ratio matter?

(a) The debt-to-GDP ratio, for the purposes of federal financial reporting, is the amount of federal (Treasury) debt held by the public divided by GDP. [An alternative ratio would be the amount of total public debt (federal, state, and local) divided by GDP.]

(b) The debt-to-GDP ratio provides an indication of a nation’s ability to repay its public debt by comparing the size of its debt to the size of its economy. For example, during the formation of the European Union (EU), one of the conditions for initial membership in the EU, which included eligibility to convert its currency to the Euro, was that each nation had to meet certain conditions, including debt-to-GDP ratio. Generally, higher debt-to-GDP ratios are believed to result in lower economic growth and private investment as well as higher interest costs. Many economists believe that persistent debt-to-GDP levels over 100% are unhealthy. In addition, debt-to-GDP ratios cannot continue to rise indefinitely, because at some point (although the precise point at which this would occur is unknown), the world’s financial markets would likely cease lending to the United States.

FAQ 4. What is present value?
Present value is an adjusted amount that takes the “time value of money” into consideration. The “time value of money” is illustrated by a question such as: “At ten percent interest (compounded annually), how much do I need to put into the bank today in order to have \$110 one year from today?” The amount you would need today would be \$100. Therefore, the present value of \$110 in this example would be \$100.

- Deleted: – Do Not Circulate
- Deleted: annual
- Deleted: 0
- Deleted: Clearly, t
- Deleted: less than

In present value calculations, the further out in the future the needed amount, the smaller the amount you would need today. In the first year, you earn interest on the amount that you deposit (the “principal” amount). In the second year, you earn interest on both the original principal amount and the amount of interest that was earned in year one. In year three, you would earn interest on:

- the original principal amount, plus
- the interest earned in year one on the principal amount,
- the interest earned in year two on the principal amount, and
- the interest earned in year two on year one’s interest earnings.

This is colloquially called “the magic of compounding.” If inflation is less than the rate of interest earned (in this example, ten percent per year), the “magic of compounding” is an advantage to the party that is earning the interest.

FAQ 5. What are projections?

Projections of deficits, or surpluses, and debt are a central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting. Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to depict results that may occur under various conditions—for example, what if current policy without reform regarding federal government public services and taxation are continued in the future? Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.

FAQ 6. What factors affect projections?

Projections are affected by three kinds of assumptions: policy assumptions, economic assumptions, and demographic assumptions.

Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services to be provided by the federal government. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both mandatory and discretionary spending as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees.

Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation and growth in GDP).

Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).

Projections are also affected by uncertainty. The uncertainty may be demonstrated by providing alternative scenarios consistent with current policy without reform.

Deleted: <#>What is the fiscal imbalance measure? ¶
The fiscal imbalance illustrates the amount of present value dollars that would be necessary to balance future spending and receipts and repay existing debt. ¶

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to ask the question “what if?” For example, possible “what ifs” may include that tax cuts are (a) allowed to expire or (b) extended. Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.¶

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.25"

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Deleted: Policy assumptions address the level of services provided by the federal government as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees. Policy assumptions include projected changes in the framework for assessing taxes and fees that will be collected, and projected spending rules (for example, benefit formulas) for both mandatory and discretionary programs. ¶

Deleted: benefits and

Inserted: benefits and services to be provided by the federal government. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both mandatory and discretionary spending as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees. ¶

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Deleted: – **Do Not Circulate**

Deleted: Economic and demographic assumptions are generally expressed in a range of possible results. Policy assumptions are generally expressed by providing alternative scenarios that show more than one possible broad direction in which policy might proceed.

FAQ 7. What is the difference between earmarked revenue and other revenue, and what is the nature of **federal trust funds**?

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

“Earmarked revenue” is revenue that comes from a source that is distinct from general tax revenues and may be used only for the purpose for which it is collected. Examples of earmarked revenue are: Social Security taxes, Medicare taxes, Federal Unemployment taxes, and federal excise taxes on gasoline.

Earmarked revenue is generally accounted for in the budget separately, in accounts categorized as “special funds” or “trust funds.” Examples include the Social Security Trust Fund, the Medicare Trust Funds, the Unemployment Trust Fund, and the Highway Trust Fund. The distinction of whether an earmarked fund is categorized in the budget as a “special fund” or a “trust fund” is determined by the applicable legislation. In order to reduce confusion between accounts designated as “trust funds” in the budget and private-sector trust funds, FASAB’s Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 27, *Identifying and Reporting Earmarked Funds*, prohibits the use of the term “trust fund” for earmarked funds except when referring to the legal title of the fund, and requires the following note disclosure to explain the nature of federal trust funds:

Investments in Treasury securities for earmarked funds should be accompanied by a note that explains the following issues:

- The U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with earmarked funds. Instead, the cash generated from earmarked funds is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes.
- Treasury securities are issued to the earmarked fund as evidence of earmarked receipts and provide the fund with the authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury for future authorized expenditures (although for some funds, this is subject to future appropriation).
- Treasury securities held by an earmarked fund are an asset of the fund and a liability of the U.S. Treasury, so they are eliminated in consolidation for the U.S. Government-wide financial statements.
- When the earmarked fund redeems its Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures.⁵⁹

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

⁵⁹ SFFAS 27, paragraph 27.

Appendix D: Abbreviations

CBO	Congressional Budget Office
CFR	Consolidated Financial Report of the U.S. Government
FAQ	Frequently Asked Question
FASAB	Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
FY	Fiscal Year
GAO	Government Accountability Office (formerly, General Accounting Office)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
RSI	Required Supplementary Information
SFFAC	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts
SFFAS	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards
SOSI	Statement of Social Insurance
U.S.	United States

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Appendix E: Glossary

Current Policy Without Reform - In federal financial reporting, “current policy without reform” refers to the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (ie., no policy change/reform)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Debt-to-GDP Ratio - The debt-to-GDP ratio, for the purposes of federal financial reporting, is the amount of federal (Treasury) debt held by the public divided by gross domestic product.

Demographic Assumptions - Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).

Discretionary Spending - In the federal budget process, “discretionary spending” refers to outlays from budget authority that is controlled by annual appropriation acts. Annual appropriation acts are required for the continuing operation of all federal programs that are not “**mandatory**.” “Mandatory spending” includes entitlement authority (for example, Social Security and Medicare and payment of interest on the national debt). Congress controls mandatory spending by controlling eligibility and setting benefit and payment rules, rather than by annual appropriation legislation. For additional information, see *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP.

Earmarked Revenue – Earmarked revenue is revenue that comes from a source that is distinct from general tax revenues and may be used only for the purpose for which it is collected. Examples of earmarked revenue are: Social Security taxes, Medicare taxes, Federal Unemployment taxes, and federal excise taxes on gasoline.

Earmarked revenue is generally accounted for in the budget separately, in accounts categorized as “special funds” or “trust funds.” The distinction of whether an earmarked fund is categorized in the budget as a “special fund” or a “trust fund” is determined by the applicable legislation. In order to reduce confusion between accounts designated as “trust funds” in the budget and private-sector trust funds, FASAB’s Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 27, *Identifying and Reporting Earmarked Funds*, prohibits the term “trust fund” for earmarked funds except when referring to the legal title of the fund, and requires the following note disclosure to explain the nature of federal trust funds:

Investments in Treasury securities for earmarked funds should be accompanied by a note that explains the following issues:

- The U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with earmarked funds. Instead, the cash generated from earmarked funds is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

- Treasury securities are issued to the earmarked fund as evidence of earmarked receipts and provide the fund with the authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury for future authorized expenditures (although for some funds, this is subject to future appropriation).
- Treasury securities held by an earmarked fund are an asset of the fund and a liability of the U.S. Treasury, so they are eliminated in consolidation for the U.S. Government-wide financial statements.
When the earmarked fund redeems its Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures.⁶⁰

Economic Assumptions - Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation, and growth in GDP).

Federal “trust funds” - Earmarked revenue is generally accounted for in the budget separately, in accounts categorized as “special funds” or “trust funds.” Examples include the Social Security Trust Fund, the Medicare Trust Funds, the Unemployment Trust Fund, and the Highway Trust Fund. The distinction of whether an earmarked fund is categorized in the budget as a “special fund” or a “trust fund” is determined by the applicable legislation. In order to reduce confusion between accounts designated as “trust funds” in the budget and private-sector trust funds, FASAB’s Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 27, *Identifying and Reporting Earmarked Funds*, prohibits the use of the term “trust fund” for earmarked funds except when referring to the legal title of the fund, and requires the following note disclosure to explain the nature of federal trust funds:

Investments in Treasury securities for earmarked funds should be accompanied by a note that explains the following issues:

- The U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with earmarked funds. Instead, the cash generated from earmarked funds is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes.
- Treasury securities are issued to the earmarked fund as evidence of earmarked receipts and provide the fund with the authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury for future authorized expenditures (although for some funds, this is subject to future appropriation).
- Treasury securities held by an earmarked fund are an asset of the fund and a liability of the U.S. Treasury, so they are eliminated in consolidation for the U.S. Government-wide financial statements.

When the earmarked fund redeems its Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures.⁶¹

⁶⁰ SFFAS 27, paragraph 27.

⁶¹ SFFAS 27, paragraph 27.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Fiscal Gap - The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP. The fiscal gap is the net present value of existing federal debt plus projected spending⁶² minus projected receipts, adjusted by the decrease (or increase) in public debt required to maintain public debt at the target level for the stated projection period. The fiscal gap may be expressed as:

- (a) a summary amount in present value dollars,
- (b) a share of the present value of the GDP⁶⁴ for the projection period, and/or
- (c) a share of the present value of projected receipts or projected spending.

Fiscal Sustainability Reporting – In federal financial reporting, “Fiscal Sustainability Reporting” is the short term for “Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Graphics and Narrative in the Financial Report of the U.S. Government.”

Gross Domestic Produce (GDP) - A nation’s gross domestic product is one of the ways for measuring the size of its economy. The GDP of a nation is defined as the market value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given period of time. The most common approach to measuring and understanding GDP is the expenditure method:

GDP = consumption + investment + government spending + (exports – imports)

Mandatory Spending - “Mandatory spending” includes entitlement authority (for example, Social Security and Medicare and payment of interest on the national debt). Congress controls mandatory spending by controlling eligibility and setting benefit and payment rules, rather than by annual appropriation legislation. For additional information, see *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP.

Policy Assumptions - Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services provided by the federal government. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both mandatory and discretionary spending as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees.

⁶² Since interest is factored into the present value calculation, the fiscal gap as a share of spending is expressed as a share of spending excluding interest. See FAQ 4 on page 59.

⁶⁴ GDP is the total market value of goods and services produced domestically during a given period. The components of GDP are consumption (both household and government), gross investment (both private and government), and net exports.

Deleted: s

Deleted: constant

Deleted: ¶
Fiscal Imbalance -

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: .

Deleted: The fiscal imbalance illustrates the amount that would be necessary to balance projected receipts, projected spending, and repayment of debt for a stated projection period.

Deleted: imbalance as of a stated valuation date⁶³

Deleted: ⁶⁵

Deleted: Policy assumptions address the level of services provided by the federal government for both mandatory and discretionary spending as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees.

Deleted: imbalance

Deleted: 58

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Present Value - Present value is an adjusted amount that takes the “time value of money” into consideration. The “time value of money” is illustrated by a question such as: “At ten percent annual interest, how much do I need to put into the bank to have \$100 one year from today?” Clearly, the amount you would need today would be less than \$100.

Projections – A projection is the calculation of future data based upon the application of trends to present data. Projections of deficits, or surpluses, and debt are a central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting. Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to depict results that may occur under various conditions—for example, what if current policy without reform regarding federal government public services and taxation are continued in the future? Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.

Deleted: Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to ask the question “what if?” For example, possible “what ifs” may include that tax cuts are (a) allowed to expire or (b) extended. Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force

The FASAB acknowledges with gratitude the invaluable expertise and support of the Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force participants.

The views expressed in this exposure draft represent the views of the FASAB members and should not be attributed to the Task Force participants or to their organizations.

Members of Congress

The Honorable James Cooper, D-TN

The Honorable K. Michael Conaway, R-TX

Federal Government Participants

James Duggan, PhD, Senior Economic Advisor for Social Security, Office of Economic Policy, Department of the Treasury

Patrick Locke, Chief, Budget Analysis Branch, Office of Management and Budget

Robert B. Anderson, Senior Economist, Office of Management and Budget

Stephen Goss, Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration

Richard Foster, Chief Actuary, Center for Medicare and Medicaid

Thomas McCool, PhD, Director, Center for Economics, Government Accountability Office

Benjamin R. Page, PhD, Principal Analyst, Macroeconomic Analysis Division, Congressional Budget Office

Non-Government Participants

Joseph Antos, PhD, Wilson H. Taylor Scholar in Health Care and Retirement Policy, American Enterprise Institute

Allen Schick, PhD, Visiting Fellow in Governance Studies, Brookings Institution

Jagadeesh Gokhale, PhD, Senior Fellow, Cato Institute

Robert Bixby, JD, Executive Director, Concord Coalition

Paul Posner, PhD, Director, Master's in Public Administration Program, George Mason University

Gary Kreps, PhD, Chair, Department of Communication, George Mason University

Sheila Weinberg, CEO and Founder, Institute for Truth in Accounting

C. Eugene Steuerle, PhD, Senior Fellow, Urban Institute

Deleted: – Do Not Circulate

FASAB Board Members

Tom L. Allen, Chair

Robert F. Dacey

John A. Farrell

Norwood J. Jackson, Jr.

Robert P. Murphy

James M. Patton

Robert N. Reid

Alan H. Schumacher

Harold I. Steinberg

Danny Werfel

FASAB Staff

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director

Project Staff

Eileen W. Parlow

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
441 G Street NW, Suite 6814
Mail Stop 6K17V
Washington, DC 20548
Telephone 202-512-7350
FAX 202-512-7366
www.fasab.gov

Page 11: [1] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:32 PM
a range might optionally be displayed in the disclosures		
Page 11: [2] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:40 PM
Do you believe that a range of possible results for		
Page 11: [3] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:40 PM
financial statement line item		
Page 11: [4] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:40 PM
should be displayed, either on the face the		
Page 11: [5] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:40 PM
financial statement or in the disclosures? If so, please explain the basis for your view.		
Page 11: [6] Inserted	GAO	7/10/2008 8:55 AM
financial statement or in the disclosures		
Page 11: [7] Inserted	GAO	7/16/2008 2:00 PM
please explain the basis for your view.		
Page 11: [8] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:40 PM
which line item(s) should display a range of results?		
Page 11: [9] Inserted	GAO	7/21/2008 1:42 PM
so, please explain the basis for your view and what additional information you propose.		
Page 20: [10] Deleted	GAO	7/17/2008 12:15 PM
, assumptions should reflect “current levels		
Page 20: [11] Deleted	GAO	7/17/2008 12:15 PM
” as defined in this standard. ¹ Following are examples:		
Page 20: [12] Inserted	GAO	7/16/2008 2:30 PM
levels of federal benefits, services and taxation		
Page 20: [13] Deleted	GAO	7/17/2008 12:15 PM
Such a projection would not be meaningful or useful, since it would not reflect current levels of benefits or services.		
Page 20: [14] Deleted	GAO	7/10/2008 9:37 AM
(for example the Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT), which is not indexed)		
Page 20: [15] Deleted	GAO	7/10/2008 9:37 AM
Current law would result in the AMT negatively impacting many more taxpayers in the future.		
Page 20: [16] Deleted	GAO	7/10/2008 9:38 AM
as the AMT eventually impacts 100 percent of taxpayers		

¹ See paragraph 14.

Page 20: [17] Deleted	GAO	7/17/2008 12:16 PM
-----------------------	-----	--------------------

Such a projection would not realistically reflect current levels of taxation.

Page 23: [18] Deleted	GAO	7/25/2008 7:42 AM
-----------------------	-----	-------------------

as a percentage of total projected receipts and as a percentage of total projected spending, and after the initial year of implementation,

Page 23: [19] Deleted	GAO	7/10/2008 3:46 PM
-----------------------	-----	-------------------

Additional requirements for narrative and graphics are provided in “Requirements for Narrative, Graphics and Supporting Data,” beginning at paragraph 40.

Page 23: [20] Deleted	GAO	7/10/2008 9:15 AM
-----------------------	-----	-------------------

Requirements for Narrative and Graphics

Page 45: [21] Inserted	GAO	7/11/2008 10:33 AM
------------------------	-----	--------------------

The Board is also proposing that the fiscal imbalance and fiscal gap measures should be addressed in the required disclosures

Page 46: [22] Deleted	GAO	7/11/2008 10:41 AM
-----------------------	-----	--------------------

Total Projected Spending plus Repayment of Debt	\$ 179.9	25.3%	\$ XX.X	XX.X%	\$ XX.X	XX.X%
---	----------	-------	---------	-------	---------	-------

Page 46: [23] Deleted	GAO	7/11/2008 10:48 AM
-----------------------	-----	--------------------

Fiscal Imbalance***	\$ 41.9	5.9%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
---------------------	---------	------	---------	------	--------	------

Page 46: [24] Deleted	GAO	7/11/2008 10:45 AM
-----------------------	-----	--------------------

Fiscal imbalance is calculated as a percentage of projected receipts and spending net of intragovernmental receipts and spending estimated at 0.3 percent of GDP.

Page 46: [25] Deleted	GAO	7/21/2008 2:01 PM
-----------------------	-----	-------------------

Descriptions of the following columns/line items should appear directly below the

Page 47: [26] Deleted	GAO	7/25/2008 7:53 AM
-----------------------	-----	-------------------

*** The fiscal imbalance is the amount of present value dollars that would be necessary to balance future outlays and receipts and repay existing debt.

Analysis of changes

Paragraph 38 provides that after the initial year of implementation, comparative amounts for the current year and prior year, and the net change for each line item of the primary summary display be provided. Paragraph 31 requires that when year-by-year comparisons are displayed, a table disaggregate the changes from one year to the next attributable to:

valuation period
changes in policies (legislation), and
changes in assumptions.

The following illustrates how such a table might be displayed. The analysis could be displayed on the face of the primary summary display or in the narrative section.

Analysis of change in fiscal imbalance

PV Dollars (in trillions)

% GDP

Fiscal Imbalance, Prior Year	\$ XX.X	X.X%
Valuation period	X.X	X.X
Legislation	X.X	X.X
Changes in assumptions	X.X	X.X
Fiscal Imbalance, Current Year	\$ XX.X	X.X%

Page 47: [27] Deleted

GAO

7/11/2008 10:17 AM

In addition, paragraph 31 requires that narrative explain the reasons for the changes attributable to each of the three categories above.

Accompanying Narrative and Graphics

Page 47: [28] Deleted

GAO

7/16/2008 10:39 AM

. The requirements for narrative and graphics are in paragraphs 31 and 40- 48.

Page 47: [29] Deleted

GAO

7/25/2008 8:00 AM

1. Rising Cost of Health Care	
2. Demographic Trends	
3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending	
4. Trends in Deficit Spending.....	
5. Trends in Treasury Debt	
6. Impact of Delaying Action	
7. Alternative Scenarios	
8. Other narrative information	

1. Rising Cost of Health Care	47
2. Demographic Trends	50
3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending	52
4. Trends in Deficit Spending.....	53
5. Trends in Treasury Debt	54
6. Impact of Delaying Action	55
7. Alternative Scenarios	56
8. Fiscal Gap.....	56
9. Other Required Information	58

Page 47: [30] Formatted

GAO

7/25/2008 2:33 PM

Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt

Page 47: [31] Deleted

GAO

7/16/2008 12:17 PM

narrative section accompanying the primary summary display

Page 47: [32] Deleted

GAO

7/16/2008 12:19 PM

(for example, baseline, high, and low estimates) along with a potential “most likely” trajectory if different from “intermediate,”

Page 56: [33] Deleted

GAO

7/11/2008 11:30 AM

Add: Debt Held by the Public

Total Spending plus Repayment of Debt



Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

**Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the
U.S. Government**

Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards XX

Exposure Draft

Written comments are requested by **January 5, 2009**

August 29, 2008

Preballot Draft

THE FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

The Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Comptroller General, established the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or "the Board") in October 1990. FASAB is responsible for promulgating accounting standards for the United States Government. These standards are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the federal government.

An accounting standard is typically formulated initially as a proposal after considering the financial and budgetary information needs of citizens (including the news media, state and local legislators, analysts from private firms, academe, and elsewhere), Congress, federal executives, federal program managers, and other users of federal financial information. The proposed standards are published in an Exposure Draft for public comment. In some cases, a discussion memorandum, invitation for comment, or preliminary views document may be published before an exposure draft is published on a specific topic. A public hearing is sometimes held to receive oral comments in addition to written comments. The Board considers comments and decides whether to adopt the proposed standard with or without modification. After review by the three officials who sponsor FASAB, the Board publishes adopted standards in a Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards. The Board follows a similar process for Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts, which guide the Board in developing accounting standards and formulating the framework for federal accounting and reporting.

Additional background information is available from the FASAB or its website:

- "Memorandum of Understanding among the General Accounting Office, the Department of the Treasury, and the Office of Management and Budget, on Federal Government Accounting Standards and a Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board."
- "Mission Statement: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board", Exposure drafts, Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Standards and Concepts, FASAB newsletters, and other items of interest are posted on FASAB's website at: www.fasab.gov.

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Mail stop 6K17V
Washington, DC 20548
Telephone 202-512-7350
FAX – 202-512-7366
www.fasab.gov

This is a work of the U. S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. It may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from FASAB. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.



Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

August 29, 2008

TO: ALL WHO USE, PREPARE, AND AUDIT FEDERAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or the Board) is requesting comments on the exposure draft of a proposed Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards entitled, *Reporting Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government*. Specific questions for your consideration appear on page 8 but you are welcome to comment on any aspect of this proposal. If you do not agree with the proposed approach, your response would be more helpful to the Board if you explain the reasons for your position and any alternative you propose. Responses are requested by January 5, 2009.

All comments received by the FASAB are considered public information. Those comments may be posted to the FASAB's website and will be included in the project's public record.

We have experienced delays in mail delivery due to increased screening procedures. Therefore, please provide your comments in electronic form. Responses in electronic form should be sent by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to provide electronic delivery, we urge you to fax the comments to (202) 512-7366. Please follow up by mailing your comments to:

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Mailstop 6K17V
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Washington, DC 20548

The Board's rules of procedure provide that it may hold one or more public hearings on any exposure draft. A public hearing for this exposure draft has been scheduled at 9:00 AM on February 25, 2009, in Room 7C13 at the GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Notice of the date and location of this public hearing also will be published in the *Federal Register* and in the FASAB's newsletter.

Tom L. Allen
Chairman

Executive Summary

What is the Board proposing?

The Board is proposing to require that the consolidated financial report of the United States Government (CFR) present information addressing the fundamental question of whether the Government can sustain public services and meet its obligations as they come due.¹ Answering this question requires analyzing current and projected federal spending, federal receipts and federal debt in relation to the economy. Such an analysis is complex and the result is challenging to communicate. Nonetheless, it is the most significant fiscal question regarding the U.S. government and of concern to all citizens.

The Board believes that a comprehensive package is needed, consisting of a narrative that integrates and explains the information that is provided through a basic financial statement and illustrations.² The overall package should:

1. convey key projected fiscal measures such as projected receipts, spending, deficits and debt;
2. provide context for the measures such as how they relate to the overall economy;
3. highlight the major factors contributing to trends;
4. help readers understand the projections and their inherent uncertainty as well as possible alternative projections; and
5. include information regarding the implications of inaction.

Clear communication of such a complex analysis is critical. The Board recognizes that accounting standards alone will not guarantee success and that the standards must be flexible to facilitate alternative approaches. However, certain information is consistently found in reports published by U.S. entities and many other countries, and supports an understanding of the underlying issue. For example,

1. Projections are based on maintaining current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation. This supports an understanding of where the government is headed if it maintains its current course.
2. Information on the present value of receipts and spending conveys the magnitude of policy changes that would be required to sustain delivery of goods and services. Information on the change in spending or revenue

¹ Note that the fiscal year 2007 CFR included certain voluntary presentation of information relevant to this question. The due process relied upon by the Board would ensure the general acceptance of the underlying principles and the continued reporting of this important information.

² The narrative and illustrations would be presented as disclosures.

that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP (referred to in this ED as “fiscal gap”) conveys the magnitude of policy changes that would be required to maintain a given level of public debt. Presenting information on fiscal gap in relation to a meaningful base (e.g., the present value of total projected non-interest spending or of total projected receipts or the gross domestic product (GDP)) assists in understanding large dollar amounts.

3. The trajectory of spending and receipts shows the timing of the government’s need for financing and allows for comparison to historic financing needs on an annual basis.
4. Presenting the trend in debt-to-GDP ratios in graphic form facilitates an understanding of when the rising drain on financial markets might constrain borrowing. If the debt-to-GDP ratio is rising uncontrollably then there will come a time when the Government cannot pay its bills because it is unable to finance deficit spending.

The proposed standards would require:

1. A basic financial statement presenting the present value of projected receipts and spending for all activities of the federal government and how those amounts relate to projected GDP.
2. Either the basic financial statement or the disclosures would explain and report the summary measure of fiscal gap, including fiscal gap in relation to meaningful bases.
3. Disclosures would explain and illustrate the projected trends in:
 - a. the relationship between receipts and spending,
 - b. deficits or surpluses, and
 - c. Treasury debt as a share of GDP.
4. Disclosures also would explain and illustrate:
 - a. the assumptions underlying the projections,
 - b. factors influencing trends,
 - c. explanation of significant changes in the projections,
 - d. possible results using alternative scenarios, and
 - e. the likely impact of delaying corrective action when a fiscal gap exists.

These requirements would be implemented following a three-year transition period during which the information would be presented as Required Supplementary Information (RSI). The Board believes that these projections—although inherently uncertain—will provide meaningful information essential to assessing whether - absent reform - future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.

How would this proposal contribute to meeting the federal financial reporting objectives?

This proposal supports the Stewardship Objective (Objective 3):

Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government's operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government's and the nation's financial condition has changed and may change in the future.³

In particular, this proposal directly addresses sub-objective 3B:

Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to assess whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.⁴

This proposal would provide specific reporting requirements that the Board believes will be useful to readers in assessing the potential future impact of current policies without reform for federal public services and taxation.

³ Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, paragraph 134.

⁴ SFFAC 1, paragraphs 135 and 139.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4	
What is the Board proposing?	4	
How would this proposal contribute to meeting the federal financial reporting objectives?	6	
Questions for Respondents	8	
Introduction	13	
Purpose	13	
Objectives of Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Disclosures ..	14	
Materiality	15	
Effective Date	15	
Accounting Standard	16	
Definitions	16	
Scope	17	
<i>Policy, Economic, and Demographic Assumptions</i>	17	
<i>Projection Periods</i>	20	Deleted: 21
Basic Financial Statement	21	
Disclosures	22	
Supporting Data (Other Accompanying Information)	24	
Effective Date	25	
Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions	26	
Project History	26	
Financial Position versus Financial Condition	27	
Existing Sustainability Reporting	29	
<i>Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)</i>	29	
<i>Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI)</i>	31	
<i>What would this proposal add to existing reporting?</i>	33	Deleted: 40
Assumptions	33	Deleted: 41
International Perspective	39	Deleted: 41
Basic Financial Statement	40	Deleted: 41
<i>Per Capita Measures</i>	40	Deleted: 42
<i>Time Horizon for Projections</i>	41	Deleted: 44
<i>The Concepts of Fiscal Gap and Fiscal Imbalance</i>	43	Deleted: 46
Appendix B: Example Formats and Illustrations	45	Deleted: 46
Basic Financial Statement	45	Deleted: 46
Examples of Selected Disclosures	46	Deleted: 47
1. <i>Rising Cost of Health Care</i>	46	Deleted: 47
2. <i>Demographic Trends</i>	49	Deleted: 50
3. <i>Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending</i>	51	Deleted: 52
4. <i>Trends in Deficit Spending</i>	52	Deleted: 53
5. <i>Trends in Treasury Debt</i>	53	Deleted: 54
6. <i>Impact of Delaying Action</i>	54	Deleted: 55
7. <i>Alternative Scenarios</i>	55	Deleted: 56
8. <i>Fiscal Gap</i>	55	Deleted: 56
9. <i>Other Required Information</i>	57	Deleted: 59
Appendix C: FAQs	58	Deleted: 62
Appendix D: Abbreviations	61	Deleted: 63
Appendix E: Glossary	62	

Questions for Respondents

The FASAB encourages you to become familiar with all proposals in the Statement before responding to the questions in this section. In addition to the questions below, the Board also would welcome your comments on other aspects of the proposed Statement.

The Board believes that this proposal would improve federal financial reporting and contribute to meeting the federal financial reporting objectives. The Board has considered the perceived costs associated with this proposal. In responding, please consider the expected benefits and perceived costs and communicate any concerns that you may have in regard to implementing this proposal.

Because the proposals may be modified before a final Statement is issued, it is important that you comment on proposals that you favor as well as any that you do not favor. Comments that include the reasons for your views will be especially appreciated.

The questions in this section are available in a Word file for your use at www.fasab.gov/exposure.html. Your responses to the Questions for Respondents should be sent by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to respond electronically, please fax your responses to (202) 512-7366 and follow up by mailing your responses to:

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Mailstop 6K17V
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Washington, DC 20548

All responses are requested by **January 5, 2009**.

Q1. This exposure draft proposes reporting that would support FASAB Objective 3, Stewardship, and in particular, Sub-Objective 3B:

Objective 3: Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government's operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government's and the nation's financial condition has changed and may change in the future.⁵

⁵ SFFAC 1, par. 134.

Sub-Objective 3B: Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.⁶

More detailed discussion of the reporting objective and the objectives of fiscal sustainability reporting can be found in paragraphs 1 through 8.

Do you believe that the proposed reporting supports the above objectives? If not, please explain why you disagree.

Q2. In this proposed Statement, projections are prepared not to predict the future, but rather to depict results that may occur under various conditions. Accordingly, projections require assumptions to be made about the future. This exposure draft proposes broad and general guidance for selecting policy, economic, and demographic assumptions for long-term projections with a primary focus on the future implications of the continuation of current policy without reform for federal government public services and taxation. The guidance begins at paragraph 18.

Do you believe that the guidance for assumptions is appropriate? If not, please suggest alternative guidance. Please provide the rationale for your response.

Q3. This exposure draft proposes a basic financial statement⁷ and disclosures. (Description begins at paragraph 34 and an illustrative example of the basic financial statement is provided in Appendix B.) The Board has indicated that the primary audiences for the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government (CFR) are citizens and citizen intermediaries such as journalists and public policy analysts.

Do you believe that the basic financial statement and disclosures would be understandable and meaningful for the primary audiences of the CFR? Please note any changes that you believe should be made to the proposed requirements for the basic financial statement and/or the disclosures.

Q4. Finite and infinite time horizons for fiscal projections are discussed in the Basis for Conclusions, paragraphs A53 through A58. This exposure draft proposes the following requirements regarding time horizons for projections: (a) the projections presented in the basic financial statement should be “sufficient to illustrate long-term sustainability” (for example, traditionally the Social Security program has used a projection period of 75 years for long-term projections); (b)

⁶ SFFAC 1, par. 139.

⁷ The basic financial statement will be presented as RSI for a period of three years and subsequently as a basic financial statement.

projections for both a finite and an infinite horizon should be provided, one in the basic financial statement and the other in the disclosures; and (c) either the basic financial statement or the disclosures should include projections for Social Security and Medicare based on the time horizon used for long-term projections for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI).

- a. Do you believe that the above requirements for time horizons are appropriate to meet the reporting objectives of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting? Specifically, do you believe that data for both finite and infinite horizon projection periods should be reported? If not, please explain.
- b. Do you believe that there should be a specific time horizon requirement (for example, 75 years) for the basic financial statement for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting and/or the SOSI? If so, what time horizon do you believe should be required?

Q5. The Board's mission is to issue reporting requirements for the federal government's general purpose financial statements, and not to recommend budget policy. This exposure draft proposes a title for the basic financial statement: "Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government." An alternative title, "Statement of Fiscal Sustainability," might imply to some that the Board has established or plans to establish specific rules that define "fiscal sustainability" and/or budget rules that would result in fiscal sustainability. However, others have indicated that the "plain English" meaning of the words "fiscal" and "sustainability" should be adequate, and that the title "Statement of Fiscal Sustainability" might be more appropriate.

The Board's working definition of "fiscal sustainability reporting" is explained in the Basis for Conclusions, paragraph A3. The concept of "Financial Condition" is explained in the Basis for Conclusions, paragraphs A7 and A8.

Do you believe that the basic financial statement should be titled

- a. "Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government,"
- b. "Statement of Fiscal Sustainability,"
- c. "Statement of Financial Condition," or
- d. A title not listed above (please specify).

Please explain the reasons for your choice.

Q6. This exposure draft proposes a minimum level of disaggregation for the basic financial statement. For projected receipts, major programs such as Medicare and Social Security would be shown separately from the rest of government. For projected spending, major programs such as Medicare, Social Security, and Medicaid would be shown separately from the rest of government.

- a. Do you believe that the above general guidance provides for an appropriate level of disaggregation in the basic financial statement? Please explain the basis for your views.
- b. Do you believe that specific line items should be disaggregated in the basic financial statement? If so, please identify the line items and explain your reasoning.

Q7. This exposure draft proposes that disclosures should explain and illustrate the major factors impacting projected receipts and spending (such as the rising cost of health care) (see paragraph 42(a). Illustrative examples in Appendix B begin on page 45).

- a. Do you believe that an explanation and illustration of the major factors impacting projected receipts and spending will be helpful to readers? Please explain the basis for your view and note any recommended changes in the requirements.
- b. Do you believe that a range could be optionally displayed for major cost drivers and/or major programs, as shown in Illustrations 1a and 1b in Appendix B?

Q8. This exposure draft proposes that the results of alternative scenarios be provided. Paragraph 40(d) provides that the present value of projected receipts, spending and the net of receipts and spending be presented for each alternative scenario. Optionally, projections for alternative scenarios may be displayed in a table format (see Illustration 7 in Appendix B).

- a. Do you believe that the proposed requirement for alternative scenarios is necessary? Please explain the basis for your view.
- b. Do you believe that the requirements for additional information regarding alternative scenarios are sufficient? If not, please explain the basis for your view and what additional information you propose.

Q9. This exposure draft proposes disclosures consisting of narrative and graphic displays to effectively communicate to the reader historical and projected trends and to help the reader understand the major drivers influencing projected receipts and spending. The requirements begin at paragraph 39 and illustrations begin on page 45.

- a. Do you believe that the disclosures would be useful in helping the reader to understand the information that is reported in the basic financial statement?

- b. Are there any items that you believe should be added to, or deleted from, the disclosures? If so, please explain.

Q10. The Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) at Appendix C provide a “plain English” explanation of terms and concepts used in long-term projections.

- a. Do you find the FAQs helpful?
- b. Should the Treasury Department be encouraged to include any of the FAQs in the CFR to promote understandability of the terms and concepts? If so, please specify the FAQs that should be considered for inclusion (and/or exclusion).

Q11. Effective Date and Phased Implementation: This proposed Statement would be effective for periods beginning after September 30, 2009 with earlier implementation encouraged. This proposed Statement would require that the basic financial statement and the disclosures be included in Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the first three years of implementation, and basic information (i.e., basic financial statement and disclosures) for all subsequent years.

- a. Do you believe that this implementation date is reasonable and appropriate?
- b. Do you agree with the phased implementation period (3 years)?
- c. Do you believe that some or all of the required information should remain as RSI after the 3-year implementation period? If so, please explain the basis for your view.

Introduction

Purpose

1. In Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, the Board established four objectives of federal financial reporting. These objectives provide a framework for assessing the existing accountability and financial reporting systems of the federal government and for considering new accounting standards.⁸ The objectives address (1) Budgetary Integrity, (2) Operating Performance, (3) Stewardship, and (4) Systems and Controls.
2. Objective 3, Stewardship, is the primary focus for this Statement. Objective 3 states that:

Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government's operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government's and the nation's financial condition has changed and may change in the future.⁹
3. Sub-objective 3B states that:

Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services¹⁰ and to meet obligations as they come due.¹¹
4. While federal financial reporting is not expected by itself to accomplish the stewardship reporting objective, it can contribute to meeting the objective.¹² Sub-objective 3B is concerned with the future and with the resources expected to be consumed through programs of the federal government in the future.

⁸ SFFAC 1, par. 109.

⁹ SFFAC 1, par. 134.

¹⁰ In this standard, "public services" refers to all goods, benefits and services provided by the government. Federal public services include but are not limited to the provision of goods, cash or other financial benefits such as Social Security benefits and loan guarantees, as well as national defense, national security, transportation safety and the operation of national parks.

¹¹ SFFAC 1, par. 139.

¹² SFFAC 1, par. 235.

5. The Board believes that including comprehensive long-term fiscal **projections**¹³ and accompanying disclosures including narrative and graphics in the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government (CFR) will contribute to meeting the stewardship objective and especially sub-objective 3B. The more detailed objectives presented below were developed as one means of guiding the Board in selecting from a variety of possible financial statement formats as well as in identifying the most important areas to be addressed in disclosures.

Objectives of Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Disclosures

6. In this Statement, “**Fiscal Sustainability Reporting**” is the short term for the basic financial statement and accompanying disclosures required by this Statement to be provided in the CFR. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should provide information to assist readers of the CFR in assessing whether future budgetary resources of the U.S. Government will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due,¹⁴ assuming that current policy without reform for federal government public services and taxation are continued.¹⁵
7. Assessing whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due is important not only because such an assessment has financial implications but also because it has social and political implications. For example, users of financial reports should be provided with information that is helpful in assessing the likelihood that the government will continue to provide public services to constituent groups and to assess whether financial burdens were passed on by current-year taxpayers to future-year taxpayers without related benefits.¹⁶ Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should assist the reader in understanding these financial, social and political implications.

¹³ Terms defined in the Glossary are shown in **bold-face** the first time they appear.

¹⁴ SFFAC 1, par. 139.

¹⁵ Note that fiscal sustainability reporting does not extend to supporting a detailed assessment of whether current policy without reform regarding federal benefits, services, and taxation are optimal; rather, it addresses the fiscal outlook if current policy is continued.

¹⁶ The latter notion is sometimes referred to as “interperiod equity.”

8. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should be understandable to the intended users of the CFR. The primary intended users of this report are citizens and citizen intermediaries (for example, the media, public interest and advocacy groups, and others). The CFR should be easily understandable to the “average citizen” who has a reasonable understanding of federal government activities and is willing to study the information with reasonable diligence. Moreover, the CFR is a high-level summary report; it tells users where to find additional information in other reports and publications, for example, reports issued by the Department of the Treasury, the Government Accountability Office (GAO), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and other agencies.¹⁷
9. The Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) at Appendix C provide a “Plain English” explanation of terms and concepts used in this Statement.

Materiality

10. The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items. The determination of whether an item is material depends on the degree to which omitting or misstating information about the item makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would have been changed or influenced by the omission or the misstatement.

Effective Date

11. This proposal provides for a phased-in implementation, but earlier implementation is encouraged. Information would be reported as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the first three years of implementation (fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012). Beginning in fiscal year 2013, the required information would be presented as a basic financial statement and related disclosures.

¹⁷ See SFFAC 4, *Intended Audience and Qualitative Characteristics for the Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government*, paragraphs 6-7 and 15-20.

Accounting Standard

Definitions

12. Fiscal Gap

The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP. The fiscal gap is the net **present value** of projected spending¹⁸ minus projected receipts, adjusted by the decrease (or increase) in public debt required to maintain public debt at the target level for the stated projection period. The fiscal gap may be expressed as:

- (a) a summary amount in present value dollars,
- (b) a share of the present value of the **gross domestic product** (GDP)¹⁹ for the projection period, and/or
- (c) a share of the present value of projected receipts or projected spending.

13. Policy Assumptions

Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services provided by the federal government. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both **mandatory** and **discretionary spending**²⁰ as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees.

14. Current Policy Without Reform

In this standard, “current policy without reform” refers to the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (i.e., no policy change/reform).

¹⁸ Since interest is factored into the present value calculation, the fiscal gap as a share of spending is expressed as a share of spending excluding interest (“noninterest spending”). See FAQ 4 on page 7.

¹⁹ GDP is the total market value of goods and services produced domestically during a given period. The components of GDP are consumption (both household and government), gross investment (both private and government), and net exports.

²⁰ In the federal budget process, “discretionary spending” refers to outlays from budget authority that is controlled by annual appropriation acts. Annual appropriation acts are required to fund the continuing operation of all federal programs that are not “mandatory.” “Mandatory spending” includes entitlement authority such as Social Security and Medicare and payment of interest on the national debt. Congress controls mandatory spending by controlling eligibility and setting benefit and payment rules, rather than by annual appropriation legislation. For additional information, see *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP. Available at: <http://gaoweb.gao.gov/gaoproducts.php>.

Deleted: 58

15. **Economic Assumptions**

Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation and growth in GDP).

16. **Demographic Assumptions**

Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).

Scope

17. The reporting requirements in this Statement apply to the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government. They do not apply to financial statements prepared at the component entity level. They also do not affect the reporting in the *Budget of the U.S. Government* or any other special purpose report.

Policy, Economic, and Demographic Assumptions

18. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting for the U.S. Government should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether current policy without reform is likely to produce future budgetary resources sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due. Long-term projections should help the reader to understand the fiscal implications of continuing current policy without reform regarding public services, and taxation along with other factors such as projected economic and demographic trends.
19. Projections of deficits, or surpluses, and debt are a central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting. Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to depict results that may occur under various conditions—for example, what if current policy without reform regarding federal government public services and taxation are continued in the future? Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.
20. Long-term projections are derived from models that rely heavily on assumptions. There is an expectation that such models will evolve over time. Therefore, this Statement provides guiding principles for making choices among alternative assumptions. The

guiding principles address three types of assumptions: policy, economic, and demographic.

21. Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services to be provided by the federal government..
22. Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation and growth in GDP).
23. Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).
24. When combined, policy, economic, and demographic assumptions determine the future projected receipts and spending.
25. To illustrate the distinction between policy, economic and demographic assumptions: the Social Security program provides benefits. Assumptions relating to future Social Security eligibility and benefit formulas represent policy assumptions. Assumptions about productivity growth, inflation, and other factors represent economic assumptions. Assumptions about the future population represent demographic assumptions.
26. Policy assumptions should reflect reasonable assumptions about the future course of receipts and spending assuming the continuation of current policy without reform. The guiding principle for selecting policy assumptions is to base selections on assumptions consistent with the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (i.e., no policy change or reform) while appropriately considering recent trends. Such assumptions should be neutral; that is, they should ignore policy reforms. For example, for formula-based benefits, it may be appropriate to apply currently scheduled benefit formulas to projections based on appropriate economic and/or demographic assumptions. For other public services, it may be appropriate to assume a growth in spending based on growth in GDP or inflation, or based on per-capita amounts.

27. Although current law is a reasonable starting point in selecting policy assumptions, a simple projection of “current law” would not always reflect current policy without reform. For example, in some cases current law may expire almost immediately, or not fully support current policies regarding public services, or produce levels of taxation that are significantly different from current policy without reform regarding taxation. In such cases, the preparer should use judgment in selecting policy assumptions that are consistent with current policy without reform as defined in this standard. The following examples demonstrate how a simple projection of current law may be inconsistent with the guiding principle:

- (a) Legislation providing for discretionary spending provides funding that extends at most a few years into the future. Therefore, assumptions will be required in order to prepare a long-range projection. A current-law policy assumption would show discretionary spending falling to zero within a few years.
- (b) Some provisions of tax law do not provide for future taxation consistent with current policy without reform. A current-law policy assumption might show large increases or decreases in future receipts rather than maintaining a consistent level of taxation, for example in relation to GDP or some other constant ratio.
- (c) Current law also may include provisions that have been changed in a consistent direction over a period of time. For example, the statutory limit on federal debt has been consistently raised. A current-law policy assumption would assume that Treasury borrowing will never increase beyond the dollar amount of the current statutory limit.

28. Assumption of a uniform growth rate for all types of revenues and spending is not required. Assumptions may be based on, but are not limited to, the notion that spending or revenues are likely to:

- (a) maintain a constant share of GDP,
- (b) grow with inflation,²¹ or
- (c) maintain a constant real²² per capita level²³

²¹ Inflation is growth in a general measure of prices, usually expressed as an annual rate of change.

²² In economic terms, “real” means adjusted to remove the effects of inflation.

29. Judgment should be applied in selecting assumptions. Policy assumptions representing the worst case scenario are not required. Rather, the assumptions should be viewed as a whole and individual selections made which result in a reasonable overall projection. The preparer's objective should be to produce unbiased projections.
30. The same economic and demographic assumptions should be used for the basic financial statement for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting and for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance.

Valuation Date

31. All projections and estimates required in this Statement should be made as of a date (the valuation date) as close to the end of the fiscal year being reported on ("current year") as possible **and no more than one year prior to the end of the current year**. This valuation date should be consistently followed from year to year.
32. If, after the valuation date, but prior to the end of the fiscal year, policy reforms are enacted that could materially affect the basic statement, the projections should be adjusted, if feasible, as if the policy reforms took place as of the valuation date. If not feasible, the entity should disclose an estimate of the magnitude of the effect of the policy reform on the projection or, if not possible, disclose that it was not possible to reasonably estimate the effect. In any case, the nature of the policy reform should be disclosed. If policy reforms are enacted after the end of the fiscal year, but prior to the issuance of the financial statements, the financial statements should disclose the nature of the policy reform and, if known, the estimated effect on the projections.

Projection Periods

33. Projections in the basic financial statement should be for a projection period sufficient to illustrate long-term sustainability.

²³ As applicable, the characteristics of the population should be considered for expenditures that benefit identifiable subgroups.

- (a) If the projection period displayed in the basic financial statement is for a finite projection period, disclosures should display summary totals for an infinite horizon projection period and vice versa.
- (b) If the projection period in the basic financial statement is not consistent with the projection period used for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI), the disclosures should display the subtotal and total line items of the basic financial statement calculated for the projection period that was used for Social Security and Medicare in the SOSI.²⁴

Basic Financial Statement

- 34. This Statement presents the elements that are required to be included in a basic financial statement.
- 35. An example basic financial statement is shown in Appendix B for illustration only.
- 36. The basic financial statement, *Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government*, should state the projection period and display the following projected amounts as both present value dollars and as a percentage of the present value of GDP for the projection period indicated:
 - (a) receipts, disaggregated by major programs such as Medicare, Social Security, and all other revenues, and total receipts;²⁵
 - (b) spending, disaggregated by major programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, Social Security, and all other non-interest spending, total non-interest spending;²⁶ and
 - (c) the difference between projected receipts and projected non-interest spending.
- 37. After the initial year of implementation, the basic financial statement should also present comparative amounts for the

²⁴ The SOSI projection period is required to be "sufficient to illustrate long-term sustainability (for example, traditionally the "Social Security" or OASDI, program has used a projection period of 75 years for long-term projections)." See SFFAS 17, paragraph 27.

²⁵ Full payment of amounts due to Social Security and Medicare HI Trust Funds must be included as revenue for Medicare and Social Security, and outlays for "rest of government."

²⁶ See footnote 18.

Deleted: 17

current year and prior year, and the net change for each line item from the prior year.

38. Fiscal gap should be explained and reported, either on the face of the financial statement or in the disclosures. Fiscal gap should be presented in dollars and as a percentage of the net present value of (a) GDP, (b) receipts, and (c) noninterest spending during the projection period. Information may be provided for a single target debt to GDP ratio, multiple ratios or over a continuum of ratios (e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs). Illustrative examples are shown in Appendix B for both options: (a) narrative on the face of the illustrative basic financial statement and (b) in the disclosures, as Illustration 8.

Disclosures

39. Disclosures serve a critical role in making economic concepts and projections understandable to a variety of audience segments, and in helping readers to understand long-term projections by explaining the significant factors that are driving projected trends, by illustrating trends graphically, and by providing context for the information provided.
40. Disclosures should include an explanation of the following limitations.
- (a) Forward-looking projections require assumptions and estimates relating to future events, conditions, and trends; actual results may differ materially from those that are projected.
 - (b) Where indicated, forward-looking projections may also encompass hypothetical future trends or events that are not necessarily deemed probable (for example, the assumed ability to continue issuing new public debt indefinitely), for which financial projections may be appropriate.
 - (c) Fiscal Sustainability Reporting is limited to the activity of the federal government, and does not include activities of state and local governments.
 - (d) Overemphasis on summary measures for a finite projection period, such as a 75-year period, can lead to incorrect perceptions. Disclosures should explain that the trends projected, particularly near the end of the projection period, are important to consider (for example, the disclosures could note the trend at the end of the period in Illustration 3b).

41. Disclosures should include:

- (a) a “plain English” explanation of present value and interest rates used to calculate present value;
- (b) significant policy assumptions for all scenarios presented;
- (c) an explanation of the most significant departures from current law—for example, if the spending assumptions allow for exceeding the statutory limit on federal debt;
- (d) when year-by-year comparisons are displayed, the most significant reasons for the changes. For example, significant changes may be attributable to:
 - 1. valuation period (for example, the beginning of the projection period is one year later);
 - 2. changes in policies (legislation); and
 - 3. changes in assumptions or estimates.
- (e) an explanation of the significance of the data presented that puts the information into context. Options for context may include but are not limited to:
 - 1. comparison of the data/trend with past U.S. trends and trends in other developed nations, and/or
 - 2. where to find information about outside organizations that use similar data to assess the long-term implications for an entity or sovereign government, for example the role of rating organizations and/or European Union rules for member nations.

42. Disclosures should explain and illustrate:

- (a) the major factors that are expected to have a significant impact upon future receipts and spending. For example, two such factors may be (1) the rising cost of health care and (2) demographic trends. Information about how these factors have changed and are expected to change over time is necessary to assist the reader in understanding the factors that influence fiscal projections. (See Illustrations 1a, 1b, and 2 in Appendix B.)
- (b) historical and projected trends for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and extending to all projected future years for:
 - 1. historical and projected receipts and spending,
 - 2. historical and projected deficits, and
 - 3. historical and projected Treasury debt as a share of GDP. (See illustrations 3, 4 and 5 in Appendix B.)

- (c) if a fiscal gap is indicated by the projections, the likely impact of delaying action. For example, graphics could illustrate the progressive increase in the change that would be needed to close the fiscal gap by (1) reducing noninterest spending and alternatively (2) by increasing receipts. (See Illustration 6 in appendix B.)
- (d) the results of alternative scenarios that are consistent with current policy without reform. Alternative scenarios are projections in which one or more significant assumptions are varied from the assumptions used in the projections presented in the basic financial statement. The choice of alternative scenarios presented should consider both those that result in larger as well as those that result in smaller net differences between the present value of projected receipts and spending. For each alternative scenario, the net present value of projected receipts, spending, and the difference between projected spending and receipts should be presented. Projections for individual programs may be presented. (See (a) above) Projections for alternative scenarios may be displayed in a table format. (See illustration 7 in Appendix B.) The major causes of the differences between the results of the alternative scenarios and the basic financial statement should be disclosed.

Supporting Data (Other Accompanying Information)

- 43. The quantitative data supporting the basic financial statement and the disclosures may be provided in or referenced as other accompanying information.²⁷
- 44. The preparer is encouraged to provide information that may be helpful to readers in assessing whether financial burdens were passed on by current-year taxpayers to future-year taxpayers without related benefits. This notion is sometimes referred to as “inter-period equity” or “intergenerational equity.”

²⁷ For example, a link to a more detailed report such as the President’s Budget, a Congressional Budget Office report, or the Trustees Report (*Status of the Social Security and Medicare Program*) may be provided. Note that the Trustees Report is available at: <http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/TR/>.

Effective Date

45. The following phase-in of reporting requirements as basic information provides for full implementation for reporting periods beginning after September 30, 2012.
- (a) These standards are effective for periods beginning after September 30, 2009.
 - (b) Information should be reported as Required Supplementary Information (RSI) for the first three years of implementation (fiscal years 2010, 2011, and 2012).
 - (c) Beginning in fiscal year 2013, the required information should be presented as a basic financial statement and related disclosures.
 - (d) Earlier implementation is encouraged.

<p>The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items.</p>
--

Appendix A: Basis for Conclusions

This appendix discusses some factors considered significant by Board members in reaching the conclusions in this Statement. It includes the reasons for accepting certain approaches and rejecting others. Individual members gave greater weight to some factors than to others. The standards enunciated in this Statement—not the material in this appendix—should govern the accounting for specific transactions, events, or conditions.

Project History

- A1. Many believe that federal financial reports currently do not adequately address the federal financial reporting objective, titled “stewardship,” presented below.

Objective 3: Stewardship

Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government’s operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government’s and the nation’s financial condition has changed and may change in the future. Federal financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine whether

- a) the government’s financial position improved or deteriorated over the period,
- b) future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due, and
- c) government operations have contributed to the nation’s current and future well-being.²⁸

- A2. In particular, existing reporting may not adequately address sub-objective 3b above. The FASAB considered what information would be most likely to help readers of the CFR to assess whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due. Ultimately, this may enhance the public’s understanding of long-term fiscal issues.

- A3. Discussion of such long-term fiscal issues has been described in terms such as “fiscal sustainability.” In this proposed Statement, the Board’s working definition of “fiscal sustainability” is the federal government’s ability to continue, both now and in the future, to continue

²⁸ *Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1*, pars. 134-145, available at <http://www.fasab.gov/codifica.html>.

current policy without reform regarding public services and taxation without resulting in debt continuously rising as a share of GDP.²⁹

- A4. Throughout this project, the Board considered expert comments from a Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force (“task force”) whose participants have technical knowledge relevant to the issues and/or communication expertise relevant to the challenge of how to effectively communicate complex information on long-term fiscal issues.
- A5. The task force participants included representatives from the American Enterprise Institute, the Cato Institute, the Brookings Institution, and the Urban Institute; the Chief Actuaries for Social Security and Medicare; technical experts from the OMB, the CBO, the Treasury Department, and the GAO; members of Congress; and academics in the areas of public policy and communication.
- A6. FASAB staff also researched existing reporting on comprehensive government-wide long-term projections published in English by other countries (for example, the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, and Canada) and studies by the European Commission, and conferred with staff of the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board (IPSASB). The IPSASB is currently undertaking a project with similar objectives.

Financial Position versus Financial Condition

- A7. Fiscal Sustainability Reporting is focused on the financial condition of the federal government as a whole. Financial condition is forward-looking and multi-dimensional. Assessing financial condition requires financial and non-financial information related to the long-term fiscal outlook for the federal government. Therefore, Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should provide information about the future to help readers assess the magnitude of future spending and receipts and the burden that any resulting deficits might place on future taxpayers.³⁰
- A8. Indicators of financial position, for example, the balance sheet, are the starting point for reporting on financial condition but must be supplemented in a variety of ways. For example, trends in financial position may assist readers in assessing the overall direction of the federal

²⁹ Determining precisely how much a government can depart—in magnitude and/or duration—from this general notion of fiscal sustainability is beyond the scope of the Board’s efforts.

³⁰ SFFAC 1, par. 262.

government's finances. However, readers may find, among other things, a budget projection under a range of alternative assumptions³¹ to be helpful in assessing the financial condition of the U.S. Government. Presenting information about the overall size of the economy relative to the budget projections may assist readers in assessing whether the projected budget amounts are reasonable in comparison to past experience or the experience of other countries. Thus, reporting on financial condition requires financial and nonfinancial information about the national economy and society, as well as about the government itself.³² Table 1 summarizes the distinguishing characteristics of financial position and financial condition.

Table 1: Comparison of Financial Position and Financial Condition

Financial Position	Financial Condition
Entity perspective	Broad perspective including reporting on the nation's economy and other external trends
Accrual-based data	Additional, forward-based information
Financial data	Financial and nonfinancial data
Assets, liabilities, and net position	Future effects of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • current demands, risks, and uncertainties; and • anticipated future events, conditions, and trends
Example: Balance Sheet	Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projections of receipts, spending, and debt <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in present value dollars • as a share of GP • Nonfinancial data, such as demographic trends

A9. SFFAC 3, *Management's Discussion and Analysis* (MD&A), addressed many of the elements of financial condition. SFFAC 3 says that the MD&A should answer questions such as the following, to the extent that they are relevant and important for the entity:

What is the potential effect of changed circumstances, and of expected future trends? In other words, to the extent that it is feasible to project

³¹ SFFAC 1, par. 145.

³² SFFAC 1, par. 144.

the effects of these factors, will future financial position, condition, and results, as reflected in future financial statements, probably be different from this year's and, if yes, why? (Any such discussion should acknowledge that the future is unpredictable and will be influenced by factors outside the reporting entity's control, including actions by Congress.)³³

Existing Sustainability Reporting

A10. Existing reports provide relevant information regarding fiscal sustainability. Annual financial reports—both from individual agencies and the CFR—provide forward-looking information and extensive sustainability information regarding social insurance programs such as Social Security and Medicare. Central agencies such as the GAO, OMB, and CBO provide projections of receipts and outlays based on various policy assumptions. However, the Board believes that establishing requirements for a basic financial statement and accompanying narrative and graphics will ensure that the information is included in the annual CFR and that it presents projections based on current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation.

A11. While many of the proposals included in this exposure draft were voluntarily adopted in the fiscal year 2007 CFR and the recent summary report, *The Federal Government's Financial Health: A Citizen's Guide to the 2007 Financial Report of the United States Government*, voluntary adoption is not a guarantee of continued reporting; nor is voluntary reporting an adequate substitute for reporting that is developed through due process. Some of the existing information sources are described below to aid respondents in evaluating the changes required by this proposal.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

A12. Current reporting requirements for the U.S. Government's long-term fiscal outlook are contained in paragraphs 3 and 6 of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 15, *Management's Discussion and Analysis*, as follows:

³³ SFFAC 3, par. 14.

[3] MD&A should include forward-looking information regarding the possible future effects of the most important existing, currently-known demands, risks, uncertainties, events, conditions and trends. MD&A may also include forward-looking information about the possible effects of anticipated future demands, events, conditions, and trends.³ Forward-looking information may comprise a separate section of MD&A or may be incorporated with the sections listed above.

³The word "anticipated" is used in a broad, generic sense in this document. In this context the term may encompass both "probable" losses arising from events that have occurred, which should be recognized on the face of the basic or "principal" financial statements, as well as "reasonably possible" losses arising from events that have occurred, which should be disclosed in notes to those statements. "Anticipated" may include the effects of future events that are deemed probable, for which a financial forecast would be appropriate. The term may also encompass hypothetical future trends or events that are not necessarily deemed probable, for which financial projections may be appropriate. Such information about the possible effects of anticipated future demands, events, conditions and trends, if presented, should include the term or label "projected" or "projection," and the key hypothetical underlying assumptions should be explained. As with other information presented in MD&A, no examination of this information by the auditor is now routinely included within the scope of an audit of a federal entity's financial statements; however, preparers and auditors may find useful background information in the AICPA's Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements Nos. 1 and 4, codified as section 200, "Financial Forecasts and Projections," of the AICPA's *Codification of Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements*.

[6] MD&A should deal with the "vital few" matters; i.e., the most important matters that will probably affect the judgments and decisions of people who rely on the general purpose Federal financial report (GPFFR) as a source of information. (The specific topics mentioned in *Concepts for Management's Discussion and Analysis* are examples of items that might be relevant for MD&A of a given entity.) Matters to be discussed and analyzed are those that management of the reporting entity believes it is reasonable to assume could:

- lead to significant actions or proposals by top management of the reporting unit;
- be significant to the managing, budgeting, and oversight functions of Congress and the Administration; or
- significantly affect the judgment of citizens about the efficiency and effectiveness of their federal government.

- A13. The FASAB elaborated on the above requirements in its companion concept statement, SFFAC 3, Management's Discussion and Analysis, which explains the Board's expectations regarding the description of future effects of both existing and anticipated events, conditions, and trends.³⁴

Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI)

- A14. The Statement of Social Insurance is based on projections of future scheduled expenditures and future revenues for the major social insurance programs: Federal Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance (Social Security), Medicare Parts A, B, and D, Railroad Retirement benefits, and Black Lung benefits.

- A15. For the social insurance programs listed in the preceding paragraph, the SOSI presents the actuarial present value for the projection period of:
- (a) all future contributions and tax income (excluding interest) received from or on behalf of all current and future participants,
 - (b) estimated future scheduled benefits to be paid to or on behalf of current and future participants, and
 - (c) the estimated future excess of future benefit payments over future contributions (or excess of future contributions over future benefit payments).

- A16. The SOSI (the information required by paragraphs 27(3) and 32(3) of SFFAS 17) is presented as a basic financial statement, and the underlying significant assumptions are included in notes that are presented as an integral part of the basic financial statements.³⁵ The basic (or principal)³⁶ financial statements and notes are those on which the auditor expresses an opinion as to whether the information is presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). For fiscal year (FY) 2007, for the first time, the GAO issued an unqualified or "clean" opinion on the SOSI that was presented in the FY 2007 CFR.

- A17. The SOSI is accompanied by RSI that provides the following information:
- (a) the projected annual cash flows—both inflows and outflows—in nominal

³⁴ See SFFAC 3, paragraphs 31-36.

³⁵ See SFFAS 26, paragraphs 5-6.

³⁶ The terms "basic financial statements" and "principal financial statements" have been used synonymously in federal accounting. See SFFAS 25, paragraph 34.

- dollars for at least every fifth year in the projection period,
- (b) the relationship of the total cash outflow and net receipts³⁷ to taxable payroll and GDP, and
- (c) sensitivity analysis for the most significant individual assumptions.

A18. The SOSI, notes, and related RSI are program specific. No government-wide projections are provided. While social insurance programs are presently a significant part of an assessment of fiscal sustainability, the Board believes that the context provided by government-wide projections is essential to meeting fiscal sustainability reporting objectives.

The Trustees of the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds

A19. The two largest programs reported in the SOSI are Social Security and Medicare. Each year, the Trustees of the Social Security and Medicare trust funds report on the current and projected financial status of the two programs. There are six trustees: the Secretaries of the Treasury (managing trustee), Health and Human Services, and Labor; the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, and two public trustees who are generally appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate for a 4-year term. By law, the public trustees are members of two different political parties.

A20. The annual Trustees report addresses the trust funds that Congress established in the U.S. Treasury to account for all program income and disbursements. Social Security and Medicare taxes, premiums, and other income are credited to the funds. Disbursements from the funds can be made only to pay benefits and program administrative costs.

A21. The Department of the Treasury invests program revenues not needed in the current year to pay benefits and administrative costs in special non-marketable securities of the U.S. Government on which a market rate of interest is credited. Thus, the trust funds represent the accumulated value, including interest, of all prior program annual surpluses and deficits, and provide automatic authority to pay benefits.

A22. The annual reports provide short-range (10-year) and long-range (75-year) projections for all Social Security and Medicare funds. Estimates are based on current law and assumptions about factors that

³⁷ Net receipts are cash inflows from all sources less net interest on intragovernmental borrowing/lending.

affect the income and outflow of each trust fund. Assumptions include economic growth, wage growth, inflation, unemployment, fertility, immigration, and mortality, as well as factors relating to disability incidence and the cost of hospital, medical, and prescription drug services.

A23. Because the future is inherently uncertain, three alternative sets of economic and demographic assumptions are used to show a range of possibilities. The intermediate assumptions reflect the Trustees' best estimate of future experience. The low-cost alternative is more optimistic for trust fund financing, and the high-cost alternative is more pessimistic; they show trust fund projections for more and less favorable economic and demographic conditions for trust fund financing than the best estimate. The assumptions are reexamined each year in light of recent experience and new information about future trends, and are revised as warranted. In general, greater confidence can be placed in the assumptions and estimates for earlier projection years than for later years. The statistics and analysis presented in the Summary of the annual Trustees' Reports for Social Security and Medicare are based on the intermediate assumptions.³⁸

What would this proposal add to existing reporting?

A24. This proposal adds to existing reporting in the CFR by proposing requirements for:

- (a) a basic financial statement of comprehensive long-term projections for all federal government receipts and spending, and
- (b) disclosures that will help readers to understand the long-term projections, for example, by explaining the significant factors that are driving projected trends, illustrating trends graphically, and providing context for the information provided.

Assumptions

Limitations of "Current Law" Assumptions

A25. Projections are the central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting and require that assumptions be made. The Board believes that the most useful projections will reflect current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation.

³⁸ A Summary of the 2007 Annual Reports, Social Security and Medicare Boards of Trustees, pages 3-6. Available at: <http://www.ssa.gov/OACT/TRSUM/trsummary.html>.

- A26. Although current law is a reasonable starting point in selecting policy assumptions, a simple projection of “current law” would not always reflect current policy without reform regarding public services or taxation. The Board’s proposal includes a guiding principle for selecting policy assumptions but acknowledges the role of judgment in filling voids in current law or departing from current law provisions.
- A27. Major provisions of current law often do not extend far into the future to be used as a basis for a long-range projection. Discretionary spending is primarily based upon annual appropriation acts, and even some mandatory spending programs are subject to authorizing legislation that expires in the near future. For example, the legislation authorizing several mandatory programs (such as Food Stamps, student assistance for higher education, and agricultural price supports) expires and would require legislative action for the programs to continue past the expiration date.
- A28. Current law may contain a provision that restricts spending on certain social insurance programs, for example, Social Security and Part A of Medicare, to the amounts available in the Social Security or Medicare Trust Funds, respectively, plus inflows of **earmarked revenues**. However, current law does not provide for any specific reductions in Social Security scheduled benefits or Medicare reimbursement rates that would occur due to lack of funding. Thus, current law does not address what will happen when the trust fund balances are exhausted, although this event may reasonably be expected to occur.³⁹
- A29. Current law also may include tax provisions that expire within several years, along with a historical trend of extending those tax provisions before they expire—but only for a short period, such as one year. In such situations, current law would indicate that the tax provisions will expire on schedule, while a projection based upon current policy without reform for taxation together with reasonable expectations based on recent historical trends may indicate that the tax provisions will be extended.

³⁹ According to the 2007 Trustees Reports, the Social Security Trust Fund is expected to be exhausted in 2041, and Medicare’s Hospital Insurance Trust Fund is expected to be exhausted in 2019. For the first time, a “Medicare funding warning” was triggered in 2007, signaling that non-dedicated sources of revenues—primarily general revenues—will soon account for more than 45 percent of Medicare’s outlays. By law, this warning requires that the President propose, and Congress consider, remedial action. However, until remedial action is taken, it is difficult to determine how to project future spending for Medicare. A similar situation exists for Social Security, although the amounts are smaller and the expected date for trust fund exhaustion is much further in the future.

Fiscal Sustainability Task Force Input Regarding Policy Assumptions

- A30. A majority of the task force technical experts agreed that policy assumptions for the basic financial statement that are consistent with current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxation would be useful for readers of the CFR in assessing whether future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due.
- A31. A majority of the task force technical experts believe that for mandatory spending on social insurance programs, a modified version of current law (ignoring the exhaustion of the Social Security and Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Funds—see paragraph A28), which might also be termed “current services,” represents the most useful assumption for projecting spending for social insurance programs. However, a minority believe that any deviation from current law requires a subjective judgment that can be biased.
- A32. The technical experts also acknowledged that projections for discretionary spending are more uncertain than projections for mandatory spending, since current law often only addresses the next one or two years. However, there was some agreement among the group that projecting discretionary spending growth at the same rate as assumed GDP per capita would be an example of a reasonable option for some programs.
- A33. A recent report issued by the GAO⁴⁰ illustrates the tension between choosing current law versus current policy without reform regarding federal public services and taxes. The report’s primary display contains two different projections in a single graphic presentation: the 10-year CBO baseline, which is then projected into the future (called “baseline extended”) and a different projection (called an “alternative simulation”), which includes modifications that are described in the narrative. The “baseline extended” projection is based on assumptions that focus on current law. Those assumptions are changed in the GAO’s “alternative simulation” to reflect historical trends and recent policy preferences.
- A34. The GAO’s approach of showing two different sets of numbers provides a more complete picture than selecting one or the other.

⁴⁰ *The Nation’s Long-Term Fiscal Outlook* August 2007 Update (GAO-07-1261R).

However, this approach does not achieve one of the most important characteristics of effective communication. All of the communication experts and many of the technical experts on the task force strongly emphasized the importance of simplicity of presentation. The Board noted that one of the greatest challenges inherent in Fiscal Sustainability Reporting is the tension between technical rigor and simplicity of presentation.

- A35. A majority of the technical experts agreed with the substance of the proposed guidance in the ED—that the basic financial statement should present current policy without reform regarding public services and taxation⁴¹—but noted that it is difficult to coin a term to refer to this concept without implying something else. A majority of the technical experts recommended the term “modified current law.” However, the Board believes that using the term “modified current law” throughout the exposure draft would make many sentences unclear or misleading, because the emphasis on continuing current policy regarding federal public services and taxation would be unclear. A compromise phrase, “current policy without reform” was selected as a short term for the policy assumptions in the ED.

Basis for the Board’s Proposal Regarding Policy Assumptions

- A36. The Board believes that the most useful reporting on fiscal sustainability would illustrate the long-term effects of current policy without reform regarding public services and taxation. However, there are numerous ways of projecting current policy into the future. For example, it could be assumed that discretionary spending will continue as a constant share of GDP. Another alternative would be to assume constant real spending per capita (which could give a different result from assuming growth at a constant share of GDP). Yet another alternative would be to assume constant growth at the rate of inflation, which may be different than the growth of GDP.⁴² (Historically, nondefense discretionary spending has grown roughly with GDP while defense discretionary

⁴¹ “Current policy without reform” as defined in this proposed Statement is not equivalent to constant dollar amounts. Current policy without reform is to be considered with respect to the service or benefit being provided (or scheduled to be provided) and the general relationship of taxation to the economy (for example, taxable income, GDP, or some other base).

⁴² For example, the CBO projects that the rate of inflation will be lower than the rate of GDP growth for 2007-2017. See page xi, *The Budget and Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2008 to 2017* (January 2007). Available at: <http://www.cbo.gov>.

spending has grown slightly faster than inflation but less than GDP, often in a nonlinear pattern.)

A37. The Board believes that the details of the assumptions for projecting current policy without reform should be left to the judgment of the preparer and auditor. Regardless of which assumptions are used for the basic financial statement, the disclosures should include an explanation of the assumptions used and alternative scenarios. Readers will have access to important explanatory material.

A38. Current law may not address events that may reasonably be expected to occur (for example the exhaustion of the Medicare Hospital Insurance Trust Fund). As noted previously, although current law limits spending to the amounts available in the trust funds and current earmarked revenue, current law does not provide for any specific reductions in benefit payments or reimbursement rates due to lack of funds. Thus, current law is inconsistent and does not provide an answer.

A39. When current law is inconsistent, the Board believes that in selecting assumptions, the projections should indicate current policy regarding federal government public services and taxation, and should answer the question “what if current policy without reform were continued over time?” The resulting projection should be accompanied by a narrative that explains what would happen if an alternative event occurs (in the example in paragraph A38, the narrative would explain what percentage of Medicare reimbursements could not be paid if legislation does not provide for maintaining current benefit formulas).

Economic and Demographic Assumptions

A40. Economic and demographic assumptions are somewhat broader in scope than policy assumptions, since they include such factors as economic growth, inflation, birth rates, net immigration, and longevity. The elements of economic and demographic assumptions are generally influenced more by a variety of external factors than by direct legislative impact.

A41. There was no consensus guidance from the task force technical experts for economic and demographic projections, although none objected strongly to either CBO, OMB, or the economic and demographic assumptions currently used for the Social Security and Medicare portions of the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI).

A42. Table 2 displays representative elements of CBO and OMB assumptions, with a comparison with the assumptions currently used for Social Security and Medicare in the Statement of Social Insurance.

Table 2: Major Elements of CBO and OMB Economic and Demographic Assumptions, Compared with Assumptions used in the Statement of Social Insurance (SOSI)

	CBO Baseline (2008-2018)	OMB Current Forecast Extended for Stewardship Reporting	SOSI assumptions for Social Security and Medicare
Economic/Demographic Assumptions:			
Consumer Price Index inflation	2.8% in 2008; average 2.1% per year for 2009-2018	3.8% in 2008; average 2.3% in 2009-2018 and beyond	Trustees Reports intermediate assumption: 2.8%
Population demographics (birth/death/immigration)	Intermediate Trustees reports assumptions	Administration budget assumptions used for 2008-218; Trustees Reports intermediate assumptions thereafter	Trustees Reports intermediate assumptions
Real GDP growth ⁴³	Average 2.1% in 2008-2009; average 3.2% in 2010-2013; average 2.5% 2014-2018	Average 1.9% in 2008-2009; average 3.2% in 2010-2013; average 2.6% in 2014-2018; average 2.6% thereafter	Trustees Reports intermediate assumption: 2.2%

Sources:

CBO's most recent economic projections were released on February 15, 2008. Available at:

<http://www.cbo.gov>.

OMB 2009 Mid-Session Review and Chapter 13, "Stewardship" of *Analytical Perspectives*, U.S. Budget, FY 2009.

SOSI FY 2007 Financial Report of the U.S. Government.

⁴³ There are two major components of projections for real GDP growth: productivity (real GDP per capita) and labor supply. While productivity growth is typically assumed to be constant, labor force growth varies over time with the demographic assumptions.

- A43. One of the technical experts noted that there are several advantages to using the economic and demographic assumptions used for Social Security and Medicare in the preparation of the SOSI:
- Since the SOSI is now a basic financial statement, auditors are bound by generally accepted government auditing standards to examine and assess the reasonableness of the assumptions. Since the SOSI is generally derived from the Trustees Report, the result is that the assumptions used in the Medicare and Social Security Trustees Reports are subject to audit.
 - In contrast, the CBO and OMB economic and demographic assumptions are not subject to audit.
 - If the economic and demographic assumptions used for Social Security and Medicare in the preparation of the SOSI are used, there would be consistency between the economic and demographic assumptions used for the SOSI and for the Fiscal Sustainability Reporting.
- A44. Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 17, *Accounting for Social Insurance*, does not prescribe specific economic or demographic assumptions for Social Security and Medicare in the SOSI. Accordingly, the Board concurred that the reporting requirements for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should not dictate specific economic and demographic assumptions, but should require that the primary displays for Fiscal Sustainability Reporting should use economic and demographic assumptions that are consistent with the economic and demographic assumptions for Social Security and Medicare in the SOSI. In addition, the narrative should include information about how different assumptions would impact the projections.

International Perspective

- A45. Other nations have issued reports addressing “fiscal sustainability.” While a precise definition has not been developed, countries generally describe fiscal sustainability in a manner consistent with the following:
- Fiscal sustainability is the government’s ability to manage its finances so it can meet its spending commitments, both now and in the future. It ensures future generations of taxpayers do not face an unmanageable bill for government services provided to the current generation.⁴⁴

⁴⁴ Australia, Intergenerational Report 2002-3, page 2. Available at: http://www.budget.gov.au/2002-03/bp5/html/02_BP5Overview.html#P23_3643

Basic Financial Statement

A46. The basic financial statement reports present value amounts in dollars as well as in relation to the present value of GDP for the projection period. The basic financial statement will be presented as RSI for a period of three years and will then become a basic financial statement.

A47. Elements considered for inclusion as mandatory requirements for the basic financial statement were:

- (a) total projected spending and receipts, disaggregated by major programs such as Medicare and Social Security
- (b) the net total of all projected receipts and spending
- (c) amounts displayed as both (present value) dollars and percent of GDP,
- (d) year-to-year (for example, side-by-side) comparison with prior year,
- (e) net change from year-to-year as a separate column, and
- (f) alternative scenario information.

A48. A majority of the members decided that (a) through (e) above should be included as minimum requirements for the basic financial statement, with the format of the elements left to the discretion of the preparer. An illustrative statement is included in Appendix B. In addition, the Board concluded that fiscal gap should be explained and reported, either on the face of the financial statement or in the disclosures. Fiscal gap should be presented in dollars and as a percentage of the net present value of (a) GDP, (b) receipts, and (c) noninterest spending during the projection period. Information may be provided for a single target debt to GDP ratio, multiple ratios or over a continuum of ratios (e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs). Illustrative examples are shown in Appendix B for both options: (a) on the face of the illustrative basic financial statement and (b) in the disclosures, as Illustration 8.

A49. The Board concluded that disaggregation of specific major programs would be left to the discretion of the preparer. In addition, the Board decided to require disclosure regarding the possible alternative scenarios but not to require presentation of the range on the face of the basic financial statement.

Per Capita Measures

A50. The Board considered whether to include per capita measures in the summary display. The technical experts serving on the Fiscal

Sustainability Task Force did not come to agreement regarding the display of summary numbers on a per capita, per worker, and/or per household basis.

- A51. A majority of the technical experts on the task force recommended against per capita measures, for the following reasons:
- (a) Several technical experts strongly objected to the use of per capita summary numbers using current-year population for the denominator. They said that such measures would imply that the current-year population is solely responsible for funding program shortfalls into the distant future. They believe that any changes needed to address the shortfalls projected through, for example, the next 75 years, should be spread across the population throughout that 75-year period.
 - (b) Other technical experts noted that per capita measures may be useful in conveying the magnitude of projected fiscal imbalances and could be displayed if summary amounts are divided by the population that parallels the horizon indicated and a narrative is included that explains present value and the nature of the numerator and denominator.
 - (c) Per capita measures for infinite-horizon projection periods present special problems. It is uncertain how a reasonable per capita denominator for an infinite horizon ratio would be selected and explained, especially if the denominator includes an estimate of all individuals that enter the population during the projection period.
 - (d) Two technical experts believe that even present value per capita amounts can be misinterpreted, because the reader will compare the amount with current salary levels and not understand the role of potential future productivity increases.
 - (e) One technical expert objects to per capita amounts because they represent amounts distributed equally among individuals with widely different abilities to pay.

- A52. After a discussion of the above issues, the Board decided not to include per capita measures in the proposed reporting requirements.

Time Horizon for Projections

- A53. There was strong disagreement among the task force participants regarding the selection of a time horizon for projections, in particular a finite horizon (for example, 75-year) versus an infinite horizon. One task force participant believes that only infinite-horizon projections should be

displayed but others believe that infinite-horizon projections should not be shown. Some participants suggested that information using both finite and infinite-horizon projections be included.

A54. A majority of the communication experts believe that information for both finite and infinite-horizon projections should be provided to readers, but not necessarily both within a primary display.

A55. Below are arguments for the finite and infinite-horizon projection periods for the basic financial statement that the Board discussed.

A56. Arguments in favor of a finite horizon:

- (a) A finite period would be sufficient to cover essentially all of the working and retirement years for current participants.
- (b) A finite period is subject to less uncertainty than an infinite horizon.
- (c) A finite period is meaningful to readers. For example, readers can relate to a time period that will include the retirement of the youngest members of the current workforce. An infinite horizon is less meaningful to readers. Readers are less likely to relate to or be concerned about the U.S. Government's fiscal condition in 200, 500 or 1,000 years in the future.
- (d) Infinite-horizon projections are no more informative to policymakers than 75-year projections, in part because projections beyond the 75-year horizon are subject to significant uncertainty. A more detailed version of this argument is made in an article in the *National Tax Journal*:

...many people already believe that the 75-year horizon is too distant to be meaningful, and that detailed projections over longer horizons suggest a false precision. A simpler projection assumption is that after 75 years (or some other interval, T), the system will have settled into a steady state in which rates of growth of costs and tax revenues are thereafter constant, although not necessarily equal.⁴⁵

A57. Arguments in favor of an infinite horizon:

- (a) Unless trends are level towards the end of the period, projections may be subject to the "moving window" effect, where shortfalls (or surpluses) increase significantly from one reporting year to the next due to the change in the projection period. For example, if a projection period is 75 years, the activity in "year 76" is outside the projection period for that year, but will be included in the projection period for the

⁴⁵ *Sustainable Social Security- What Would It Cost?* National Tax Journal, Vol. LVI, No. 1, Part 1, March 2003, page 34. Available at [http://ntj.tax.org/wwwtax/ntjrec.nsf/5DC000487120304885256D8E0054C858/\\$FILE/Lee.pdf](http://ntj.tax.org/wwwtax/ntjrec.nsf/5DC000487120304885256D8E0054C858/$FILE/Lee.pdf)

following year. An infinite horizon would avoid the “moving window” effect that occurs when there are significant changes to an estimate from one year to the next that are caused by the passage of time.

- (b) Some have argued that a finite projection period essentially assumes zero for years beyond the projection period. Infinite-horizon projections would not assume zero for years beyond the cutoff point for projections.

A58. The Board believes that the advantages of both finite and infinite horizons are sufficiently compelling that both finite and infinite-horizon information should be provided, although only one projection period should be used for the basic financial statement. Whichever type of projection period is selected for the primary display, the other type of projection period should be presented with the disclosures.

A59. The Board also believes that one of the projection periods used (in either the basic financial statement or the narrative section) should be consistent with that used for the SOSI. This will ensure consistency between major line items in the SOSI (for example, projected earmarked receipts and spending for Social Security and Medicare) and corresponding line items in the basic financial statement or the disclosures.

The Concepts of Fiscal Gap and Fiscal Imbalance

A60. The Board considered two potential summary measures for presentation below the other required elements on the basic financial statement or separate disclosure: fiscal gap and fiscal imbalance.

(a) The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain federal debt as a constant percentage of GDP.

(b) The fiscal imbalance is the net present value of existing federal debt plus projected spending,⁴⁶ minus projected receipts. In other words, it is the fiscal gap when the target level of federal debt at the end of the projection period is zero. The fiscal imbalance illustrates the amount that would be necessary to balance projected receipts, projected spending, and repayment of debt for a stated projection period.

⁴⁶ Since interest is factored into the present value calculation, the fiscal gap as a share of spending is expressed as a share of spending excluding interest. See FAQ 4 in Appendix C of the draft ED.

- A61. Several of the Task Force technical experts believe that the fiscal imbalance, as defined above, overstates the size of the problem over any finite time period such as 75 years. The fiscal imbalance is defined as the existing federal debt plus projected spending less projected receipts. If projected receipts are large enough to set the fiscal imbalance to zero after 75 years (or any other fixed time period), this would imply the debt was paid off at the end of the period. Many of the technical experts argued that this is not necessary for continued solvency provided the economy is expected to last longer than 75 years. A positive level of debt is viewed by many to be fiscally acceptable at the end of the projection period, provided it is not too large or growing too fast.
- A62. The fiscal gap measure does not require a target debt level of zero; instead, it allows for a positive level of debt at the end of the forecast horizon. In order to report the fiscal gap as a single amount (in present value dollars or as a percentage of GDP, projected receipts or projected spending), a target debt level relative to GDP must be selected. Such a measure would show the magnitude of increases in receipts or cuts in spending that would be needed to achieve that target. However, any specific limit selected may be considered arbitrary. In the United States, there is currently no legislated goal for debt as a share of GDP or a legislated limit on borrowing other than the statutory debt limit, which historically has been frequently raised.
- A63. Since the Board has no objective basis for selecting a debt-to-GDP limit or goal, the Board is proposing that information regarding the fiscal gap be required. Fiscal gap should be explained and reported, either on the face of the financial statement or in the disclosures. Fiscal gap should be presented in dollars and as a percentage of the net present value of (a) GDP, (b) receipts, and (c) noninterest spending during the projection period. Information may be provided for a single target debt to GDP ratio, multiple ratios or over a continuum of ratios (e.g., in the form of a graph or graphs). This requirement is illustrated both ways in Appendix B: as a continuation of the basic financial statement and as Illustration 8. Alternative approaches may be used.

Appendix B: Example Formats and Illustrations

The examples in this Appendix are illustrative only; they do not represent authoritative guidance.

Basic Financial Statement Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government

Long-Term Fiscal Projections for the U.S. Government
Amounts projected to 75 years

	As of January 1, 20XX (Current Year)		As of January 1, 20XX (Prior Year)		Change from Prior Year	
	PV Dollars in trillions	% GDP*	PV Dollars in trillions	% GDP*	PV Dollars in trillions	% GDP *
Receipts						
Medicare	\$ 10.7	1.5%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Social Security	36.3	5.1%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
All Other Receipts	<u>91.0</u>	<u>12.8%</u>	<u>XX.X</u>	<u>X.X%</u>	<u>X.X</u>	<u>X.X%</u>
Total Receipts	\$ 137.9	19.4%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Spending						
Medicare	\$ 44.8	6.3%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Medicaid	15.6	2.2%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
Social Security	40.5	5.7%	XX.X	X.X%	X.X	X.X%
Rest of Federal Government**	<u>73.9</u>	<u>10.4%</u>	<u>XX.X</u>	<u>X.X%</u>	<u>X.X</u>	<u>X.X%</u>
Total Spending	\$ 174.9	24.6%	\$ XX.X	X.X%	\$ X.X	X.X%
Spending in excess of receipts	<u>\$ 37.0</u>	<u>5.2%</u>	<u>\$ XX.X</u>	<u>X.X%</u>	<u>\$ X.X</u>	<u>X.X%</u>

To avoid the unsustainable levels of federal debt that would result from these large projected deficits, actions would need to be taken to increase revenues or decrease noninterest spending by a net present value of \$55 trillion or X% of GDP. To accomplish this reduction, annual revenues would need to increase by 36% or annual non-interest spending would have to decrease by 27% (or some combination of these two options).

Note: Amounts are estimated based upon guidance for selecting assumptions provided in this Statement. Receipts and spending include repayment of Social Security Trust Fund (estimated 0.3 percent of GDP).

* GDP (Gross domestic product) can be roughly defined as all of the nation's income or everything the country produces.

** Rest of government: The repayment of borrowings from the Social Security and Medicare Trust Funds are included in Receipts for Social Security and Medicare, and Outlays for Rest of government. (If material, these amounts should be displayed on separate sublines.)

Examples of Selected Disclosures

The following examples display and/or describe narrative and graphics that might supplement the basic financial statement in a manner consistent with the standard.⁴⁷

These illustrations are illustrative only and do not represent authoritative guidance. Illustrations are not provided for all requirements.

Examples are provided in this appendix for the following selected disclosures:

1. Rising Cost of Health Care	46
2. Demographic Trends	49
3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending	51
4. Trends in Deficit Spending	52
5. Trends in Treasury Debt	53
6. Impact of Delaying Action	54
7. Alternative Scenarios	55
8. Fiscal Gap	55
9. Other Required Information	57

1. Rising Cost of Health Care


Paragraph 42(a) provides that ranges may optionally be displayed for major cost factors. For example, if the rising cost of federal spending on health care is a major factor in the long-term spending projections, the disclosure might include the following:

- (a) If the growth in health care costs exceeds the growth in GDP, a narrative might explain that the growth in any spending program cannot continue indefinitely to exceed the growth in the economy, because at some point, the costs would exceed the resources that can be extracted from the economy.
- (b) A range encompassing projections for major cost factors such as the rising cost of health care might be presented in a graphic as a percentage of GDP. The graphic could use the example format in Illustration 1a or other formats

⁴⁷ See paragraphs 39-42 of this standard.

Illustration 1a: Major Cost Drivers for Federal Spending

Federal Spending for Medicare and Medicaid as a Percentage of Gross Domestic Product Under Different Assumptions About Excess Cost Growth



Source: Congressional Budget Office, *The Long-Term Outlook for Health Care Spending* (November 2007) Figure 5, page 15. Available at: <http://www.cbo.gov/>.


A narrative might describe the assumptions involved in the basic statement and in the alternative scenarios.

In addition, a graphic might display the relative contribution of two or more major cost drivers. For example, Illustration 1b displays the effect of the aging of the population on federal spending on Medicare and Medicaid versus excess cost growth.⁴⁸

⁴⁸ Excess cost growth refers to the number of percentage points by which the growth of annual health care spending per beneficiary is assumed to exceed the growth of nominal gross domestic product per capita.

Illustration 1b: Relative Contribution of Two Major Cost Drivers

Sources of Growth in Projected Federal Spending on Medicare and Medicaid



Source: Congressional Budget Office, *Op. Cit.*, page 14.

2. Demographic Trends


Paragraph 42(a) requires that disclosures explain and illustrate the major factors that are expected to have a significant impact upon future receipts and spending of the federal government. The narrative might describe demographic trends and briefly explain the major drivers of change in demographic trends, for example, trends in longevity and birth rates, and refer the reader to more extensive coverage of the topic in other existing reports, for example, the Social Security and Medicare Trustees Reports. The narrative could describe the change in the ratio of workers to retirees and how this change relates to long-term fiscal outlook for social insurance programs.

A simple graphic to accompany and illustrate the narrative may follow the format of the example shown below. The illustrative sample format below is called an “age/gender pyramid.” The graphic could display two or three age/gender pyramids side-by-side, for example:

- (1) the current (or other baseline) year minus at least 50 years;
- (2) the current year (or other baseline year, for example, 2000); and
- (3) a projection of the current (or other baseline) year plus at least 50 years.

Illustration 2: Age-Gender Pyramid

The Changing Shape of the United States’ Population



Source: Social Security Administration, Area Population Statistics.

Alternatively, simple age demographics rather than workforce participation could be used (i.e., “over 64 instead of “retired”) provided that they are used consistently.⁴⁹

The narrative could also discuss the “total dependency” ratio (dependent children plus retirees per worker) for each “worker-to-retiree” ratio that is provided in the narrative.

The narrative also could provide perspective by explaining that similar demographic trends are occurring in other developed countries, and provide examples of developed nation(s) projected to have a greater number of retirees per worker than the United States, and developed nation(s) projected to have fewer retirees per worker.

⁴⁹ The European Commission defines the total dependency ratio as the “Population under 15 and over 64 as a percentage of the population aged 15-64.” *European Economy: Special Report 1/2006*, page 313.


Paragraph 42(b) requires that disclosures explain and illustrate the historical and projected trends for a progression of years. Illustrations 3, 4 and 5 display how this might be accomplished.

3. Relationship of Projected Receipts and Spending

The narrative section could include a graphic of the relationship between projected receipts and spending for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and future years projected to 75 years after the current year.

Below is an example.

Projected U.S. Government Receipts and Spending
(As a percent of GDP)




Source: FY 2007 *Financial Report of the U.S. Government*, Chart H, page 18. Available at <http://fms.treas.gov/fr/index.html>.

4. Trends in Deficit Spending

The trends in deficit spending could be graphically displayed as a percentage of GDP for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and future years projected to 75 years after the current year.

Illustration 3a: Projected Deficit/Surplus as a Percentage of GDP

Projected Deficit (Surplus) as a Percentage of GDP




Data source: Office of Management and Budget, Table 13-2, Chapter 13, "Stewardship," *Analytical Perspectives*, FY 2008 Budget.

5. Trends in Treasury Debt

A graphic could display the projected trends in Treasury debt as a percentage of GDP, for a progression of years beginning at least 20 years before the current year and future years projected to at 75 years after the current year. This graphic could illustrate the assumption that increased borrowing would occur to finance the difference between projected receipts and spending.

Illustration 3b- Increase in Federal Debt Held by the Public




Data source: Office of Management and Budget, Table 13-2, Chapter 13, "Stewardship," *Analytical Perspectives*, FY 2008 Budget.

6. Impact of Delaying Action

Paragraph 42(c) provides that if a fiscal gap is indicated by the projections, a disclosure should explain and illustrate the likely impact of delaying action. Two graphics could display the progressive increase in the change that would be needed to close the fiscal gap by (a) reducing spending or alternatively (b) by increasing taxes. Alternatively, either (a) or (b) could be displayed in a graph while the narrative describes the impact of delay on the item not displayed. An example of graphic presentation of (a) is shown below.

Reductions in Noninterest Spending Needed to Close the Fiscal Gap in Various Years Under CBO’s Alternative Fiscal Scenario

(Percentage of gross domestic product)



Source: Congressional Budget Office.

Notes: The fiscal gap is a measure of federal shortfalls over a given period. It represents the extent to which the government would need to immediately and permanently either raise tax revenues or cut spending—or do both, to some degree—to make the government’s debt the same size (in relation to the economy) at the end of that period as it was at the beginning.

The alternative fiscal scenario deviates from CBO’s baseline projections during the next 10 years, incorporating changes in policy that are widely expected to occur and that policymakers have regularly made in the past.

Source: Congressional Budget Office, *The Long-Term Budget Outlook* (December 2007) Table 1-3, page 16.

7. Alternative Scenarios

Paragraph 42(d) provides for the explanation and illustration of alternative scenarios. It indicates that a table might be used to display alternative scenarios. The following illustration is an example of how such a table might be displayed.

	Statement	Alternative 1	Alternative 2
Receipts:			
Medicare			
Social Security			
All Other			
Total Receipts			
Spending			
Medicare			
Medicaid			
Social Security			
Rest of Government			
Total Spending			
Spending in excess of receipts			

8. Fiscal Gap

The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP.

How much public debt is sustainable? While many experts agree that some level of public debt is reasonable and acceptable, there is no universally agreed-upon “sustainable” percentage of debt to GDP. However, all experts agree that a continually increasing level of debt to GDP is not sustainable. The chart in Note X⁵⁰ displays how the debt as a percentage of GDP has varied over time. It shows that debt is currently 36.8% of GDP but has risen as high as 109% of GDP (during World War II). Many economists believe that persistent debt-to-GDP levels over 100% are unhealthy.

Since the fiscal gap varies based on the level of debt that is to be maintained, the graph below illustrates the fiscal gap over a continuum of target ratios of debt to GDP. The


⁵⁰ See Illustration 5 on page 7 of this exposure draft.

Deleted: 53

fiscal gap is shown in both present value dollars (see Note X for an explanation of present value) and as a percentage of GDP on the vertical axis. Note that the graph is truncated at debt of 120% of GDP, based on a range of debt-to-GDP levels from zero to 120%. While the line continues in theory and could be graphed, going beyond the 120% level did not seem reasonable since the highest level of debt to GDP was 109%.

Fiscal Gap

in \$ trillions
(% of GDP)




Source: GAO’s April 2008 Alternative simulation. Fiscal gap expressed in present value 2008 dollars.

In addition, the percentage increase in revenue and decrease in non-interest spending necessary to close the fiscal gap can be displayed over the continuum of debt as a percentage of GDP that might be targeted. The graph below presents the percentage increase in revenue and decrease in non-interest spending to close a fiscal gap over these different debt levels. An alternative not presented is to resolve the fiscal gap through some combination of these two options.

For example, to achieve a debt level of zero, revenue would have to increase by 38% or noninterest spending would have to decrease by 28%. Also, for example, to maintain debt at the level as of September 30, 2007 (36.8%), revenue would have to increase by 36% or noninterest spending would have to decrease by 27%. Similar to the above

graph, this graph also illustrates that, across a range of debt levels, the changes in revenue and/or spending remains significant.



9. Other Required Information

Additional information is required by paragraphs 39 - 42 but is not explicitly described or illustrated in this appendix. For example, paragraph 40 requires an explanation of the nature and limitations of projections. Paragraph 41(e) requires that the narrative should explain the significance of the data presented and put the information into context.

Appendix C: FAQs

FAQ 1. What is “Fiscal Sustainability Reporting”?

“Fiscal Sustainability Reporting” is the short term for “Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Narrative and Graphics in the Financial Report of the U.S. Government.”

FAQ 2. What is GDP?

A nation’s gross domestic product, or GDP, is one of the ways for measuring the size of its economy. The GDP of a nation is defined as the market value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given period of time. The most common approach to measuring and understanding GDP is the expenditure method: $GDP = \text{consumption} + \text{investment} + \text{government spending} + (\text{exports} - \text{imports})$

FAQ 3. (a) What is the **debt-to-GDP** ratio? (b) Why does the debt-to-GDP ratio matter?

- (a) The debt-to-GDP ratio, for the purposes of federal financial reporting, is the amount of federal (Treasury) debt held by the public divided by GDP. [An alternative ratio would be the amount of total public debt (federal, state, and local) divided by GDP.]
- (b) The debt-to-GDP ratio provides an indication of a nation’s ability to repay its public debt by comparing the size of its debt to the size of its economy. For example, during the formation of the European Union (EU), one of the conditions for initial membership in the EU, which included eligibility to convert its currency to the Euro, was that each nation had to meet certain conditions, including debt-to-GDP ratio. Generally, higher debt-to-GDP ratios are believed to result in lower economic growth and private investment as well as higher interest costs. Many economists believe that persistent debt-to-GDP levels over 100% are unhealthy. In addition, debt-to-GDP ratios cannot continue to rise indefinitely, because at some point (although the precise point at which this would occur is unknown), the world’s financial markets would likely cease lending to the United States.

FAQ 4. What is present value?

Present value is an adjusted amount that takes the “time value of money” into consideration. The “time value of money” is illustrated by a question such as: “At ten percent interest (compounded annually), how much do I need to put into the bank today in order to have \$110 one year from today?” The amount you would need today would be \$100. Therefore, the present value of \$110 in this example would be \$100.

In present value calculations, the further out in the future the needed amount, the smaller the amount you would need today. In the first year, you earn interest on the amount that you deposit (the “principal” amount). In the second year, you earn interest on both the original principal amount and the amount of interest that was earned in year one. In year three, you would earn interest on:

- the original principal amount, plus
- the interest earned in year one on the principal amount,
- the interest earned in year two on the principal amount, and
- the interest earned in year two on year one’s interest earnings.

This is colloquially called “the magic of compounding.” If inflation is less than the rate of interest earned (in this example, ten percent per year), the “magic of compounding” is an advantage to the party that is earning the interest.

FAQ 5. What are projections?

Projections of deficits, or surpluses, and debt are a central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting. Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to depict results that may occur under various conditions—for example, what if current policy without reform regarding federal government public services and taxation are continued in the future? Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.

FAQ 6. What factors affect projections?

Projections are affected by three kinds of assumptions:

policy assumptions, economic assumptions, and demographic assumptions.

Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services to be provided by the federal government. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both mandatory and discretionary spending as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees.

Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation and growth in GDP).

Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).

Projections are also affected by uncertainty. The uncertainty may be demonstrated by providing alternative scenarios consistent with current policy without reform.

FAQ 7. What is the difference between earmarked revenue and other revenue, and what is the nature of **federal trust funds**?

“Earmarked revenue” is revenue that comes from a source that is distinct from general tax revenues and may be used only for the purpose for which it is collected. Examples of earmarked revenue are: Social Security taxes, Medicare taxes, Federal Unemployment taxes, and federal excise taxes on gasoline.

Earmarked revenue is generally accounted for in the budget separately, in accounts categorized as “special funds” or “trust funds.” Examples include the Social Security Trust Fund, the Medicare Trust Funds, the Unemployment Trust Fund, and the Highway Trust Fund. The distinction of whether an earmarked fund is categorized in the budget as a “special fund” or a “trust fund” is determined by the applicable legislation. In order to reduce confusion between accounts designated as “trust funds” in the budget and private-sector trust funds, FASAB’s Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 27, *Identifying and Reporting Earmarked Funds*, prohibits the use of the term “trust fund” for earmarked funds except when referring to the legal title of the fund, and requires the following note disclosure to explain the nature of federal trust funds:

Investments in Treasury securities for earmarked funds should be accompanied by a note that explains the following issues:

- The U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with earmarked funds. Instead, the cash generated from earmarked funds is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes.
- Treasury securities are issued to the earmarked fund as evidence of earmarked receipts and provide the fund with the authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury for future authorized expenditures (although for some funds, this is subject to future appropriation).
- Treasury securities held by an earmarked fund are an asset of the fund and a liability of the U.S. Treasury, so they are eliminated in consolidation for the U.S. Government-wide financial statements.
- When the earmarked fund redeems its Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures.⁵¹

⁵¹ SFFAS 27, paragraph 27.

Appendix D: Abbreviations

CBO	Congressional Budget Office
CFR	Consolidated Financial Report of the U.S. Government
FAQ	Frequently Asked Question
FASAB	Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
FY	Fiscal Year
GAO	Government Accountability Office (formerly, General Accounting Office)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
RSI	Required Supplementary Information
SFFAC	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts
SFFAS	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards
SOSI	Statement of Social Insurance
U.S.	United States

Appendix E: Glossary

Current Policy Without Reform - In federal financial reporting, “current policy without reform” refers to the continuation of policies in place as of the valuation date (ie., no policy change/reform)

Debt-to-GDP Ratio - The debt-to-GDP ratio, for the purposes of federal financial reporting, is the amount of federal (Treasury) debt held by the public divided by gross domestic product.

Demographic Assumptions - Demographic assumptions address projected population trends (for example, birth rates, mortality rates, and net immigration).

Discretionary Spending - In the federal budget process, “discretionary spending” refers to outlays from budget authority that is controlled by annual appropriation acts. Annual appropriation acts are required for the continuing operation of all federal programs that are not “**mandatory**.” “Mandatory spending” includes entitlement authority (for example, Social Security and Medicare and payment of interest on the national debt). Congress controls mandatory spending by controlling eligibility and setting benefit and payment rules, rather than by annual appropriation legislation. For additional information, see *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP.

Earmarked Revenue – Earmarked revenue is revenue that comes from a source that is distinct from general tax revenues and may be used only for the purpose for which it is collected. Examples of earmarked revenue are: Social Security taxes, Medicare taxes, Federal Unemployment taxes, and federal excise taxes on gasoline.

Earmarked revenue is generally accounted for in the budget separately, in accounts categorized as “special funds” or “trust funds.” The distinction of whether an earmarked fund is categorized in the budget as a “special fund” or a “trust fund” is determined by the applicable legislation. In order to reduce confusion between accounts designated as “trust funds” in the budget and private-sector trust funds, FASAB’s Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 27, *Identifying and Reporting Earmarked Funds*, prohibits the term “trust fund” for earmarked funds except when referring to the legal title of the fund, and requires the following note disclosure to explain the nature of federal trust funds:

Investments in Treasury securities for earmarked funds should be accompanied by a note that explains the following issues:

- The U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with earmarked funds. Instead, the cash generated from earmarked funds is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes.

- Treasury securities are issued to the earmarked fund as evidence of earmarked receipts and provide the fund with the authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury for future authorized expenditures (although for some funds, this is subject to future appropriation).
- Treasury securities held by an earmarked fund are an asset of the fund and a liability of the U.S. Treasury, so they are eliminated in consolidation for the U.S. Government-wide financial statements.
When the earmarked fund redeems its Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures.⁵²

Economic Assumptions - Economic assumptions address the economic factors that are not under the direct legislative control of the federal government (for example, inflation, and growth in GDP).

Federal “trust funds” - Earmarked revenue is generally accounted for in the budget separately, in accounts categorized as “special funds” or “trust funds.” Examples include the Social Security Trust Fund, the Medicare Trust Funds, the Unemployment Trust Fund, and the Highway Trust Fund. The distinction of whether an earmarked fund is categorized in the budget as a “special fund” or a “trust fund” is determined by the applicable legislation. In order to reduce confusion between accounts designated as “trust funds” in the budget and private-sector trust funds, FASAB’s Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 27, *Identifying and Reporting Earmarked Funds*, prohibits the use of the term “trust fund” for earmarked funds except when referring to the legal title of the fund, and requires the following note disclosure to explain the nature of federal trust funds:

Investments in Treasury securities for earmarked funds should be accompanied by a note that explains the following issues:

- The U.S. Treasury does not set aside assets to pay future expenditures associated with earmarked funds. Instead, the cash generated from earmarked funds is used by the U.S. Treasury for general government purposes.
- Treasury securities are issued to the earmarked fund as evidence of earmarked receipts and provide the fund with the authority to draw upon the U.S. Treasury for future authorized expenditures (although for some funds, this is subject to future appropriation).
- Treasury securities held by an earmarked fund are an asset of the fund and a liability of the U.S. Treasury, so they are eliminated in consolidation for the U.S. Government-wide financial statements.

When the earmarked fund redeems its Treasury securities to make expenditures, the U.S. Treasury will finance those expenditures in the same manner that it finances all other expenditures.⁵³

⁵² SFFAS 27, paragraph 27.

⁵³ SFFAS 27, paragraph 27.

Fiscal Gap - The fiscal gap is the change in spending or revenue that would be necessary to maintain public debt at or below a target percentage of GDP. The fiscal gap is the net present value of existing federal debt plus projected spending⁵⁴ minus projected receipts, adjusted by the decrease (or increase) in public debt required to maintain public debt at the target level for the stated projection period. The fiscal gap may be expressed as:

- (a) a summary amount in present value dollars,
- (b) a share of the present value of the GDP⁵⁵ for the projection period, and/or
- (c) a share of the present value of projected receipts or projected spending.

Fiscal Sustainability Reporting – In federal financial reporting, “Fiscal Sustainability Reporting” is the short term for “Comprehensive Long-Term Fiscal Projections and Accompanying Graphics and Narrative in the Financial Report of the U.S. Government.”

Gross Domestic Produce (GDP) - A nation’s gross domestic product is one of the ways for measuring the size of its economy. The GDP of a nation is defined as the market value of all final goods and services produced within a country in a given period of time. The most common approach to measuring and understanding GDP is the expenditure method:

$$GDP = \text{consumption} + \text{investment} + \text{government spending} + (\text{exports} - \text{imports})$$

Mandatory Spending - “Mandatory spending” includes entitlement authority (for example, Social Security and Medicare and payment of interest on the national debt). Congress controls mandatory spending by controlling eligibility and setting benefit and payment rules, rather than by annual appropriation legislation. For additional information, see *A Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process*, GAO-05-734SP.

Policy Assumptions - Policy assumptions address the factors under the direct control of the federal government concerning the taxes and other revenues to be received by the federal government and the public services provided by the federal government. Policy assumptions address projected spending rules for both mandatory and discretionary spending as well as the framework for assessing taxes and fees

⁵⁴ Since interest is factored into the present value calculation, the fiscal gap as a share of spending is expressed as a share of spending excluding interest. See FAQ 4 on page 7.

⁵⁵ GDP is the total market value of goods and services produced domestically during a given period. The components of GDP are consumption (both household and government), gross investment (both private and government), and net exports.

Deleted: 58

Present Value - Present value is an adjusted amount that takes the “time value of money” into consideration. The “time value of money” is illustrated by a question such as: “At ten percent annual interest, how much do I need to put into the bank to have \$100 one year from today?” Clearly, the amount you would need today would be less than \$100.

Projections – A projection is the calculation of future data based upon the application of trends to present data. Projections of deficits, or surpluses, and debt are a central feature of Fiscal Sustainability Reporting. Projections are not forecasts or predictions; they are designed to depict results that may occur under various conditions—for example, what if current policy without reform regarding federal government public services and taxation are continued in the future? Projections are useful in order to display alternative future scenarios, but it is important to clearly explain the nature of the information being presented.

Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force

The FASAB acknowledges with gratitude the invaluable expertise and support of the Fiscal Sustainability Reporting Task Force participants.

The views expressed in this exposure draft represent the views of the FASAB members and should not be attributed to the Task Force participants or to their organizations.

Members of Congress

The Honorable James Cooper, D-TN

The Honorable K. Michael Conaway, R-TX

Federal Government Participants

James Duggan, PhD, Senior Economic Advisor for Social Security, Office of Economic Policy, Department of the Treasury

Patrick Locke, Chief, Budget Analysis Branch, Office of Management and Budget

Robert B. Anderson, Senior Economist, Office of Management and Budget

Stephen Goss, Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration

Richard Foster, Chief Actuary, Center for Medicare and Medicaid

Thomas McCool, PhD, Director, Center for Economics, Government Accountability Office

Benjamin R. Page, PhD, Principal Analyst, Macroeconomic Analysis Division, Congressional Budget Office

Non-Government Participants

Joseph Antos, PhD, Wilson H. Taylor Scholar in Health Care and Retirement Policy, American Enterprise Institute

Allen Schick, PhD, Visiting Fellow in Governance Studies, Brookings Institution

Jagadeesh Gokhale, PhD, Senior Fellow, Cato Institute

Robert Bixby, JD, Executive Director, Concord Coalition

Paul Posner, PhD, Director, Master's in Public Administration Program, George Mason University

Gary Kreps, PhD, Chair, Department of Communication, George Mason University

Sheila Weinberg, CEO and Founder, Institute for Truth in Accounting

C. Eugene Steuerle, PhD, Senior Fellow, Urban Institute

FASAB Board Members

Tom L. Allen, Chair

Robert F. Dacey

John A. Farrell

Norwood J. Jackson, Jr.

Robert P. Murphy

James M. Patton

Robert N. Reid

Alan H. Schumacher

Harold I. Steinberg

Danny Werfel

FASAB Staff

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director

Project Staff

Eileen W. Parlow

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

441 G Street NW, Suite 6814

Mail Stop 6K17V

Washington, DC 20548

Telephone 202-512-7350

FAX 202-512-7366

www.fasab.gov