June 8, 2017

Memorandum

To:       Members of the Board

Monica R. Valentine  
From:     Monica R. Valentine, Assistant Director

Wendy M. Payne  
Through:  Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director

Subj:     Staff Analysis and Options on Leases Proposal —Tab A

MEETING OBJECTIVE
The objective is for the Board to make preliminary decisions based on staff’s analysis concerning (1) the lease definition, (2) the scope of the leases standard, and (3) how to determine the lease term. Based on the Board’s discussions at the February and April meetings on the re-deliberation of the Leases Exposure Draft (ED) staff is presenting several options on the above three topics.

BRIEFING MATERIAL
The briefing material includes this memorandum and the following attachments and appendices:

Attachment 1: Staff Analysis
Attachment 2: Scope Examples from Leases Task Force
Appendix A: Original Leases Exposure Draft
Appendix B: Excerpts from FASB ASU section 842 Leases
Appendix C: History of Board Lease Discussions

MEMBER ACTION REQUESTED:
• Provide staff with feedback and answers to the three questions summarized on page 2 by June 15.

1 The staff prepares Board meeting materials to facilitate discussion of issues at the Board meeting. This material is presented for discussion purposes only; it is not intended to reflect authoritative views of FASAB or its staff. Official positions of FASAB are determined only after extensive due process and deliberations.
SCOPE EXAMPLES FROM LEASES TASK FORCE

On May 17, 2017 staff requested the assistance of the Leases task force to compile example federal transactions that meet at least one of the following criteria.

- There is uncertainty as to whether the transaction meets the above proposed definition of a lease.
- The transaction meets the above proposed definition of a lease, however you believe the transaction should not be included in the scope of the leases standard.

As of the date of this memo, staff has received five responses to our request.

NEXT STEPS

Staff will continue to review the ED and respondent comments for additional issues and suggested revisions to the original ED for Board consideration.

MEMBER FEEDBACK

Please contact staff as soon as possible to convey your questions or suggestions. Communication before the meeting will help make the meeting more productive. You can contact Monica by telephone at 202-512-7362 or by e-mail at ValentineM@fasab.gov with a cc to PayneW@fasab.gov.

QUESTIONS FOR THE BOARD

**Question 1:** Does the Board prefer Option 1-1 which maintains the broader lease definition? Does the Board prefer Option 1-2 which more narrowly scopes the lease definition to include only property, plant, and equipment?

**Question 2:** Does the Board prefer Option 2-1 which maintains the broader lease scope? Does the Board prefer Option 2-2 which further narrows the lease scope?

**Question 3:** Does the Board prefer Option 3-1 which maintains the lease term determination as proposed in the ED? Does the Board prefer Option 3-2 which revises the lease term determination language but retains the probable threshold? Does the Board prefer Option 3-3 which revises the lease term determination and changes to the reasonably certain threshold? Does the Board prefer Option 3-4 revises the lease term determination language and eliminates the probability threshold used to determine the likelihood that future renewal or termination options will be exercised?
Issue 1: Definition of Lease

During both the February and April meetings the Board addressed the proposed lease definition. At the February meeting the Board agreed with staff to incorporate the notion of control into the lease definition based on Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 5, Definitions of Elements and Basic Recognition Criteria for Accrual-Basis Financial Statements, definition of an asset and to change “nonfinancial asset” to “nonmonetary asset” to be more consistent with existing FASAB guidance. At the April meeting some of the federal entity presenters suggested that the proposed lease definition needed to be clearer and should be more narrowly scoped. The Board asked staff to develop options for Board consideration that would further clarify the definition and further reduce the scope of the definition.

The 9/2016 Leases ED discussed the definition of “lease” as follows.

The Board is proposing to define a lease as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction. Leases include contracts or agreements that, although not explicitly identified as leases, meet the definition of a lease. This definition does not include contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

The current lease standards, Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government and SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, do not specifically define a lease. SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 only define a capital lease as a “lease that transfers substantially all the benefits and risks of ownership to the lessee.” The Board believes that the more concise definition being proposed is broad enough to capture the diversity of federal leasing activities.

Leases Exposure Draft - 9/2016 - Tally of Responses for Question Related to Proposed Lease Definition and Scope

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES/AGREE</th>
<th>NO/DISAGREE or N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

June 2017
Excerpts from respondent comments on the September 2016 ED on Leases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ED Respondent</th>
<th>Respondent Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #5 USDA - NRCS</td>
<td>The definition is a bit broad and generic, and needs to be enhanced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #9 DOD - OCFO</td>
<td>The definition of a lease should specifically exclude agreements such as those excluded from ASC 842 which specifically excludes leases of intangible assets; leases to explore for or use minerals, oil, natural gas, and similar nonrenewable resources; leases of biological assets, including timber; leases of inventory; and assets under construction. In addition we propose to exclude other intangible assets such as (but not limited to) land rights and rights of way, various types of easements (e.g., utility easements), air rights, mineral rights, and indefeasible rights of use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #14 BBG</td>
<td>The FASB definition update (A contract, or part of a contract, that conveys the right to control the use of identified PPE for a period of time in exchange for consideration) is easier understood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #15 DOL - OCFO</td>
<td>We disagree with the use of “nonfinancial asset” in the definition of a lease. “Monetary assets” and “nonmonetary assets” are more appropriate terms and that the Board should use these terms (and the meaning for these terms) consistently throughout the accounting standards and the FASAB Handbook.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff Analysis

Staff is presenting the following two options for Board consideration as it relates to the definition of a lease in the proposed Leases standard.

Staff Option 1-1: Maintain the Broader Lease Definition Proposed in the ED

This first option would maintain the broader definition and would apply to all leasing activities of federal entities, with the exception of those specifically excluded. However, the revisions to the definition add the notion of control and change “nonfinancial” to “nonmonetary.” It is not clear that all respondents interpreted the proposed wording in the way the Board intended.

Staff also added the phrase, “as specified in the contract,” to the definition to further clarify the definition. Staff noted GASB added the phrase “as specified in the contract” in response to a respondent’s question about FASB’s inclusion of “identified asset” in its lease definition. GASB staff noted that, “without an identified asset, there is no lease under FASB guidance.” GASB further noted in its 8/2016 tentative meeting minutes that “the definition of a lease does not need to explicitly state that the asset be identified.”
The GASB minutes also stated that the Board tentatively decided that, “the right-to-use asset should be what is ‘specified in the contract,’ which would include the right to use the underlying asset for portions of time during a lease term, such as leases for certain days each week or for certain hours each day.”

**Staff Option 1-1: Proposed revised FASAB definition of lease**

For purposes of applying this Statement, a lease\(^1\) is defined as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to control the use of another entity’s nonfinancial nonmonetary asset\(^2\) (the underlying asset) for a period of time as specified in the contract or agreement in an exchange for consideration transaction. Leases include contracts or agreements that, although not explicitly identified as leases, meet the definition of a lease. This definition does not include contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use another entity’s nonfinancial nonmonetary asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time as specified in the contract or agreement in an exchange for consideration transaction.

An intragovernmental lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to control the use of another entity’s nonfinancial nonmonetary asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time as specified in the contract or agreement in exchange for consideration occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, *Reporting Entity*.

To determine whether a contract or agreement conveys the right to control the use of the underlying asset, an entity should assess whether the federal entity has both of the following:

- a. The right to obtain economic benefits or services from use of the underlying asset as specified in the contract or agreement.
- b. The right to control access to the economic benefits or services of the underlying asset as specified in the contract or agreement.

**Staff Option 1-2: Narrow the Lease Definition to Only Include Leases of Property, Plant, and Equipment (PP&E)**

The following option narrows the lease definition to only include leases of PP&E as defined in Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 6, *Accounting*

---

\(^1\) Terms defined in the Glossary are shown in bold-face the first time they appear.

\(^2\) Examples of nonfinancial nonmonetary assets include land, buildings, vehicles, equipment, internal use software, and intangible assets. Examples of financial monetary assets include cash, investments, and receivables.
for Property, Plant, and Equipment. This option would align the FASAB lease definition more closely to the amended FASB lease definition. Staff also added to the proposed lease definition “an identified asset” as FASB did to include an additional level of specificity when applying the lease definition. If the Board chooses this option additional language will need to be added to the standard to determine whether a contract or agreement conveys the right to control the use of identified PP&E. (See FASB excerpts from Topic 842 Leases that elaborate on identifying a lease in Appendix B.)

Staff Option 1-2: Proposed revised FASAB definition of lease

For purposes of applying this Statement, a lease\(^3\) is defined as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to control the use of another entity’s identified nonfinancial property, plant, or equipment\(^4\) (the underlying an identified asset) for a period of time in an exchange for consideration transaction. Leases include contracts or agreements that, although not explicitly identified as leases, meet the definition of a lease. This definition does not include contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use another entity’s identified nonfinancial property, plant, or equipment (the underlying an identified asset) for a period of time in an exchange for consideration transaction.

An intragovernmental lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to control the use of another entity’s identified nonfinancial property, plant, or equipment an asset (the underlying an identified asset) for a period of time in exchange for consideration occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, Reporting Entity.

To determine whether a contract or agreement conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset, an entity should assess whether the federal entity has both of the following:

a. The right to obtain economic benefits or services from use of the identified asset as specified in the contract or agreement

b. The right to control access to the economic benefits or services of the identified asset as specified in the contract or agreement.

\(^3\) Terms defined in the Glossary are shown in bold-face the first time they appear.

\(^4\) As defined in Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment.
Does the Board prefer Option 1-1 which maintains the broader lease definition? Does the Board prefer Option 1-2 which more narrowly scopes the lease definition to include only property, plant, and equipment?
Issue 2: Scope

During the April meeting the Board addressed the proposed lease scope. The Board asked staff to consult with the presenters and lease task force to develop a list of possible items to be scoped out of the Leases proposal, including intangibles.

**Leases Exposure Draft - 9/2016 - Tally of Responses for**

**Question Related to Proposed Lease Definition and Scope**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES/AGREE</th>
<th>NO/DISAGREE or N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Excerpts from respondent comments on the September 2016 ED on Leases:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ED Respondent</th>
<th>Respondent Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #2</td>
<td>There are probably very many leases for relatively small equipment like copiers. These smaller items of equipment are not excluded by the standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS - OCFO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #9</td>
<td>The definition of a lease should specifically exclude agreements such as those excluded from ASC 842 which specifically excludes leases of intangible assets; leases to explore for or use minerals, oil, natural gas, and similar nonregenerative resources; leases of biological assets, including timber; leases of inventory; and assets under construction. In addition we propose to exclude other intangible assets such as (but not limited to) land rights and rights of way, various types of easements (e.g., utility easements), air rights, mineral rights, and indefeasible rights of use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOD - OCFO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #13</td>
<td>There should be further clarification or examples as to what is included or excluded from the definition of a lease. This definition appears to cover “Share-in Savings Contracts” or “Energy Savings Performance Contracts” (ESPC’s). The Department has these contracts, and has been specifically told that for GTAS reporting ESPC’s are not to be recognized as lease liabilities (capital lease liabilities), that they are considered “Other Liabilities Without Related Budgetary Obligations” (SGL 299000). Under these proposed guidelines, the Department will encounter a difference in reporting for GTAS versus for the audited financial statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREASURY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Staff Analysis**

Staff is presenting the following two options for Board consideration as it relates to the scope of the proposed Leases standard.

**Staff Option 2-1: Maintain the Broader Lease Scope Proposed in the ED**

This first option would maintain the broader scope of the standard to apply to all leasing activities of federal entities, with the exception of those natural resources specifically excluded. Implementation guidance will provide more details on applying the standard.

**Staff Option 2-1: FASAB Leases scope exclusions per ED**

This Statement does not apply to leases of federal natural resources as defined in Technical Bulletin (TB) 2011-1, *Accounting for Federal Natural Resources Other than Oil* and leases of federal oil and gas resources as defined in SFFAS 38, *Accounting for Federal Oil and Gas Resources*.

**Staff Option 2-2: Narrow the Lease Scope**

The following option further narrows the lease scope and would be applicable with either lease definition option presented above. This option would also align the FASAB scope exclusions more closely to the amended FASB lease standard and the current draft GASB lease standard.

**Staff Option 2-2: Proposed revised FASAB Leases scope exclusions**

This Statement does not apply to any of the following:

- a. Leases of federal natural resources as defined in Technical Bulletin (TB) 2011-1, *Accounting for Federal Natural Resources Other than Oil* and leases of federal oil and gas resources as defined in SFFAS 38, *Accounting for Federal Oil and Gas Resources*.

- b. [Leases of inventory](#).

- c. [Leases of assets under construction](#).

- d. [Leases (licenses) of internal use software](#).

- e. [Leases of intangible assets](#).
Does the Board prefer Option 2-1 which maintains the broader lease scope? Does the Board prefer Option 2-2 which further narrows the lease scope?
Issue 3: Lease Term Determination

During both the February and April meetings the Board addressed the proposed guidance on determining the lease term. At the April meeting the Board asked staff to explore options to ease the anticipated burdens and costs of the lease revisions to federal preparers. How to determine the lease term is a topic that staff is presenting options to the Board to possibly ease the anticipated preparer burden.

Leases Exposure Draft - 9/2016 - Tally of Responses for
Question Related to Proposed Guidance on Determining the Lease Term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES/AGREE</th>
<th>NO/DISAGREE or N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excerpts from respondent comments on the September 2016 ED on Leases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ED Respondent</th>
<th>Respondent Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #9 DOD - OCFO</td>
<td>We believe that for the lessee, fiscal funding clauses should be considered in determining the noncancelable period, without regard to probability of exercising the fiscal funding clause.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #18 SEC</td>
<td>The lease term should not include option periods. For option periods, a proposed lease liability does not appear to meet the definition and characteristics of a liability. An option to renew a lease is not a binding agreement (and) is completely under the control of the reporting entity and so does not create a liability, as defined in SFFAC 5. The likelihood of exercising option periods is uncertain and subjective. Over long periods of time, such as lease option periods, lease terms are often highly unpredictable, because they are subject to major changes in financial priorities and mission goals that can occur when federal administrations change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent #19 DOI - OCFO</td>
<td>Disagree with the definition. Disagree with the use of the phrase “noncancelable right” as it seems to imply that the lease term is the period during which the lessee’s right to use the underlying asset cannot be canceled by the lessor. Suggest rephrasing to something such as “the period during which either the lessor or lessee cannot terminate the contract or agreement without penalty”. I disagree with the definition. When a lease is negotiated, the lease is identified by a term with a firm term. The firm term is the timeframe that the Lessor amortizes the tenant improvements over in order to get his investment back. If the tenant cancels the lease during this firm term</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
period, then the tenant will owe the unamortized tenant improvements to the Lessor in a lump sum payment. The term after the firm term and before the expiration date of the lease is still part of the lease term and is not considered option years.

Responnent #22
GSA - OCFO

There is also concern with the ED’s use of the “probable” (>50% chance) as the gauge for inclusion of options in lease terms. It is requested that the Board further consider using the alternative terminology of “reasonably certain,” with its higher likelihood of outcome. Using the lower bar of the “probable” criterion would risk creating significant financial statement impacts when there is not reliable evidence that options will be exercised. Given the proposed accounting treatment, the immediate recognition of long-term liabilities, combined with recognition of charges for interest in the payment stream, based on the total recognized liability, would leave readers with the implication of greater extension of debt-like financing than management is really binding itself to, and represent unnecessary risk of balance sheet overstatement.

An additional concern within the definition of lease term is the unique definition of “noncancellable period” that the ED creates. We recommend the Board consider the alternative definitions for lease term as cited in FASB 842. The term “noncancellable” and its normal language usage implies that the lessee/lessor cannot reasonably exit the lease without significant penalty. In paragraphs 15a and 15b, the ED changes this traditional meaning, to include periods that are cancellable, as long as earlier termination is not probable.

Staff Analysis

Staff is presenting four options for Board consideration as it relates to determining the lease term.

**Staff Option 3-1: Maintain the Lease Term Determination Proposed in the ED**

This option maintains the lease term language from the exposure draft with minor editorial revisions.

**Staff Option 3-1: FASAB lease term per ED**

14. The lease term is the period during which a lessee has a noncancelable right to use an underlying asset (referred to as the noncancelable period), plus each lease option period if it is **probable**, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

15. The noncancelable period is the shorter of
a. the initial lease period, before considering lease option periods renewal options for additional periods;

b. the period of the lease preceding an option for the lessee to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to terminate; or

c. the period of the lease preceding a point at which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease, regardless of the probability of termination.5

16. In determining the lease term, the following specific provisions should be applied:

a. When the noncancelable period is less than the initial lease term6, due to options to terminate discussed in par. 15b and 15c, there should be no option periods added to the noncancelable period in calculating the lease term.

b. Provisions that allow for termination of a lease due to (a) purchase of the underlying asset, (b) payment of all sums due, or (c) default on payments are not considered options to terminate.

c. A fiscal funding or cancellation clause is (a clause that allows federal lessees to cancel a lease agreement, typically on an annual basis, if funds for the lease payments are not appropriated). It should be considered in determining is a termination option (as described in paragraph 15b.) and therefore, should affect the lease term only when it is probable that the clause will be exercised.

d. Month-to-month lease holdovers, also referred to as rolling lease extensions, or any lease that continues into a holdover period until a new contract or agreement is signed should be considered cancelable because either the lessee or lessor could cancel the lease at any time. These holdover periods should be excluded from the lease term lessee’s lease liability and the lessor’s lease receivable.7

5 Periods for which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease are cancelable periods and are excluded from the noncancelable period lease term.

6 The initial lease term is the lease term stated in the contract or agreement before consideration of any lease option periods.

7 SFFAS 1, Accounting for Selected Assets and Liabilities, applies to any related accounts payable or accounts receivable amounts.
Staff Option 3-2: Revising the Lease Term Language while Maintaining Probable Threshold

This option proposes revising the lease term language to conform more closely to the language used by GASB and FASB, however maintaining the probability threshold of probable as proposed in the exposure draft. This revision is consistent with the proposal; it simply clarifies the wording.

Staff Option 3-2: Proposed revised FASAB lease term

14. The lease term is the period during which a lessee has a noncancelable right to use an underlying asset (referred to as the noncancelable period), plus each lease option period if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

15. The noncancelable period is the shorter of

a. the initial lease period, before considering lease option periods renewal options for additional periods;

b. the period of the lease preceding an option for the lessee to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to terminate; or

c. the period of the lease preceding a point at which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease, regardless of the probability of termination.

the following periods, if applicable: each option period if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease:

a. Periods covered by a lessee’s option to extend the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option

b. Periods covered by a lessee’s option to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will not exercise that option

c. Periods covered by a lessor’s option to extend the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessor will exercise that option

---

* Periods for which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease are cancelable periods and are excluded from the noncancelable period lease term.
d. Periods covered by a lessor’s option to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessor will not exercise that option.

16. In determining the lease term, the following specific provisions should be applied:

a. Periods for which both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease (or if both parties have to agree to extend) are cancelable periods and are excluded from the lease term. When the noncancelable period is less than the initial lease term (the lease term stated in the contract or agreement with no options), due to options to terminate discussed in par. 15b and 15d, there should be no option periods added to the noncancelable period in calculating the lease term.

b. Provisions that allow for termination of a lease due to (a) purchase of the underlying asset, (b) payment of all sums due, or (c) default on payments are not considered options to terminate.

c. A fiscal funding or cancellation clause is (a clause that allows federal lessees to cancel a lease agreement, typically on an annual basis, if funds for the lease payments are not appropriated). It should be considered in determining if a termination option (as described in paragraph 14b.) and therefore, should affect the lease term only when it is probable that the clause will be exercised.

d. Month-to-month lease holdovers, also referred to as rolling lease extensions, or any lease that continues into a holdover period until a new contract or agreement is signed should be considered cancelable because either the lessee or lessor could cancel the lease at any time. These holdover periods should be excluded from the lease term, lessee’s lease liability and the lessor’s lease receivable.\(^a\)

**Staff Option 3-3: Revising the Lease Term Language and Raise the Probability Threshold to Reasonably Certain**

This option proposes revising the lease term language to conform more closely to the language used by GASB and FASB. This option also revises the probability threshold to reasonably certain which would also align FASAB with the probability thresholds used by GASB and FASB.

\(^a\) SFFAS 1, Accounting for Selected Assets and Liabilities, applies to any related accounts payable or accounts receivable amounts.
Staff Option 3-3: Proposed revised FASAB lease term

14. The lease term is the period during which a lessee has a noncancelable right to use an underlying asset (referred to as the noncancelable period), plus each lease option period if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

15. The noncancelable period is the shorter of

a. the initial lease period, before considering lease option periods renewal options for additional periods;

b. the period of the lease preceding an option for the lessee to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to terminate; or

c. the period of the lease preceding a point at which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease, regardless of the probability of termination.\(^\text{10}\)

the following periods, if applicable: each option period if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

a. Periods covered by a lessee’s option to extend the lease if it is reasonably certain, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option

b. Periods covered by a lessee’s option to terminate the lease if it is reasonably certain, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will not exercise that option

c. Periods covered by a lessor’s option to extend the lease if it is reasonably certain, based on all relevant factors, that the lessor will exercise that option

d. Periods covered by a lessor’s option to terminate the lease if it is reasonably certain, based on all relevant factors, that the lessor will not exercise that option.

15. In determining the lease term, the following specific provisions should be applied:

e. Periods for which both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease (or if both parties have to agree to extend) are

\(^{10}\) Periods for which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease are cancelable periods and are excluded from the noncancelable period lease term.
cancelable periods and are excluded from the lease term. When the noncancelable period is less than the initial lease term (the lease term stated in the contract or agreement with no options), due to options to terminate discussed in par. 15b and 15d, there should be no option periods added to the noncancelable period in calculating the lease term.

a. Provisions that allow for termination of a lease due to (a) purchase of the underlying asset, (b) payment of all sums due, or (c) default on payments are not considered options to terminate.

b. A fiscal funding or cancellation clause is a clause that allows federal lessees to cancel a lease agreement, typically on an annual basis, if funds for the lease payments are not appropriated. It should be considered in determining is a termination option (as described in paragraph 14b) and therefore, should affect the lease term only when it is probable reasonably certain that the clause will be exercised.

c. Month-to-month lease holdovers, also referred to as rolling lease extensions, or any lease that continues into a holdover period until a new contract or agreement is signed should be considered cancelable because either the lessee or lessor could cancel the lease at any time. These holdover periods should be excluded from the lease term. lessee’s lease liability and the lessor’s lease receivable.  

**Staff Option 3-4: Revise the Lease Term Language and Eliminate the Probability Threshold**

This option proposes rewording the lease term language to conform more closely to the language used by GASB and FASB. This option also eliminates the probability threshold used to determine the likelihood that future renewal or termination options will be exercised.

**Staff Option 3-4: Proposed revised FASAB lease term**

14. The lease term is the period during which a lessee has a noncancelable right to use an underlying asset (referred to as the noncancelable period), plus each lease option period if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

15. The noncancelable period is the shorter of

---

11 SFFAS 1, Accounting for Selected Assets and Liabilities, applies to any related accounts payable or accounts receivable amounts.
a. the initial lease period, before considering lease option periods renewal options for additional periods;

b. the period of the lease preceding an option for the lessee to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to terminate; or

c. the period of the lease preceding a point at which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease, regardless of the probability of termination.

the following periods, if applicable: each option period if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

a. Periods covered by a lessee’s option to extend the lease if the lessee will exercise that option

b. Periods covered by a lessee’s option to terminate the lease if the lessee will not exercise that option

c. Periods covered by a lessor’s option to extend the lease if the lessor will exercise that option

d. Periods covered by a lessor’s option to terminate the lease if the lessor will not exercise that option.

15. In determining the lease term, the following specific provisions should be applied:

a. Periods for which both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease (or if both parties have to agree to extend) are cancelable periods and are excluded from the lease term. When the noncancelable period is less than the initial lease term (the lease term stated in the contract or agreement with no options), due to options to terminate discussed in par. 15b and 15d, there should be no option periods added to the noncancelable period in calculating the lease term.

b. Provisions that allow for termination of a lease due to (a) purchase of the underlying asset, (b) payment of all sums due, or (c) default on payments are not considered options to terminate.

\textsuperscript{42} Periods for which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease are cancelable periods and are excluded from the noncancelable period lease term.
c. A fiscal funding or cancellation clause is (a clause that allows federal lessees to cancel a lease agreement, typically on an annual basis, if funds for the lease payments are not appropriated). It should be considered in determining is a termination option (as described in paragraph 14b.) and therefore, should affect the lease term only when it is probable that the clause will be exercised.

d. Month-to-month lease holdovers, also referred to as rolling lease extensions, or any lease that continues into a holdover period until a new contract or agreement is signed should be considered cancelable because either the lessee or lessor could cancel the lease at any time. These holdover periods should be excluded from the lease term lessee's lease liability and the lessor's lease receivable.\(^\text{13}\)

---

**Does the Board prefer Option 3-1 which maintains the lease term determination as proposed in the ED?**

**Does the Board prefer Option 3-2 which revises the lease term determination language but retains the probable threshold?**

**Does the Board prefer Option 3-3 which revises the lease term determination and changes to the reasonably certain threshold?**

**Does the Board prefer Option 3-4 revises the lease term determination language and eliminates the probability threshold used to determine the likelihood that future renewal or termination options will be exercised?**

---

\(^{13}\) SFFAS 1, Accounting for Selected Assets and Liabilities, applies to any related accounts payable or accounts receivable amounts.
To: FASAB Lease Task Force

From: Monica R. Valentine, FASAB Project Director

Re: Task Force Request for Information Regarding the Proposed Definition of “Lease”

Date: 5/17/2017

Background: At February 2017 FASAB meeting the Board considered responses to its 2016 Leases Exposure Draft (ED) and directed staff to invite respondents to discuss the ED with the Board. At the April 2017 meeting representatives from five federal entities discussed their comments on the ED with the Board. During the discussion the following concerns were raised regarding the definition and the benefits of the proposal:

- The lease proposal will require additional cost to entities in the way of added personnel and system upgrades both during the implementation period and ongoing periods. Most of the presenters were not prepared to give specific estimates of those additional costs.
- Implementation guidance with specific examples would be helpful in the application of the revised lease standard, including related US Standard General Ledger accounts.
- The proposed lease definition needs to be clearer and should be more narrowly scoped.
- Clarification is needed for contracts for services when an asset is involved; how to unbundle the contracts.

The Board asked staff to:

- Develop a list of possible items to be scoped out, including intangibles.
- Get a clearer understanding of the benefits associated with revising the leases standard.
- Explore options to ease the anticipated burdens and costs of the lease revisions to federal preparers.

Task Force Assistance: Staff’s plan is to address each of the three areas identified by the Board one at time. The first task is to accurately scope the proposed standard to only include those leasing transactions that truly reflect the intent of the Board. The 9/2016 Leases ED discussed the definition of “lease” as follows.

The Board is proposing to define a lease as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a
period of time in an exchange transaction. Leases include contracts or agreements that, although not explicitly identified as leases, meet the definition of a lease. This definition does not include contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

The current lease standards, Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 5, *Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government* and SFFAS 6, *Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment*, do not specifically define a lease. SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 only define a capital lease as a “lease that transfers substantially all the benefits and risks of ownership to the lessee.” The Board believes that the more concise definition being proposed is broad enough to capture the diversity of federal leasing activities.

Staff would like to enlist the assistance of the task force to compile example federal transactions that meet at least one of the following criteria.

- There is uncertainty as to whether the transaction meets the above proposed definition of a lease.
- The transaction meets the above proposed definition of a lease, however you believe the transaction should not be included in the scope of the leases standard.

Staff asks that an explanation accompany each example noting the position on why the transaction is included. For those examples that are included because of uncertainty as to whether the transaction meets the above proposed definition of a lease, please clearly explain why the uncertainty exists and how we can better clarify the proposed leases standard. For those examples that meet the above proposed definition of a lease, however you believe the transaction should not be included in the scope of the leases standard, please clearly explain your position as to why the transaction should not be included within the scope of the proposed leases standard.

**Please use the attached table to provide your examples.**

Please provide your responses to me by COB Thursday June 1, 2017.

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at ValentineM@fasab.gov or 202.512.7362

The FASAB members and staff appreciate the assistance of the task force members and their commitments to work with us to develop Lease accounting standards for the federal government.
Scope Examples from Leases Task Force

(Listed in order of receipt)

Staff Analysis of Responses & Recommendations ................................................. 4

Department of Agriculture.......................................................................................... 5

Department of the Interior........................................................................................... 6

Department of Justice – Federal Bureau of Investigation........................................ 8

Department of Justice – Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms & Explosives....... 9

Department of Defense............................................................................................... 10
Summary of responses:

- Agriculture suggests that intangible assets, such as easements and software licenses be scoped out of the Leases proposal.

- Interior requests clarification on leases of land and land rights in light of the ongoing land project.

- FBI is concerned that the expansion of the lease standard may require them to report sensitive information not easily shared.

- ATF suggests that contractor managed services, mobile phone service contracts, contracts for cloud services, STF radio antennas, and software licenses be scoped out of the Leases proposal.

- DOD suggests that several contracts for services, air rights, and easements be scoped out of the Leases proposal. They also have asked for clarity on systems and housing privatization examples.

Staff has reviewed the responses and recommends the following in reference to the Leases proposal.

- Specifically scope out all intangible assets, including software licenses. Also, ensure that implementation guidance provides additional details on applying the standard.

- Add clarifying language in the Leases proposal on the applicability of land and land rights.

- Further clarify the current language in the proposal on the applicability of service contracts: “contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset for a period of time in an exchange transaction.” Staff recommends that service contracts be specifically defined in the proposal and that implementation guidance provide additional details on applying the standard.

- Ensure implementation guidance address leases involved in public-private partnerships.
Does the Board agree with staff’s recommended revisions to the Leases proposal?
### FASAB Leases Task Force – Lease Transaction Examples

**Federal Entity:** USDA  
**Contact Name:** Kevin Close

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example#</th>
<th>Example Category: Uncertainty Lease or Possible Scoped-out Lease</th>
<th>Details of Example Transaction</th>
<th>Explanation of Why Example is Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Possible Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Right of Way Easements</td>
<td>Intangible asset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Possible Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Conservation Easements</td>
<td>Intangible asset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Possible Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Software Licensing Agreements</td>
<td>Intangible asset</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**FASAB Leases Task Force – Lease Transaction Examples**

**Federal Entity:** Department of the Interior

**Contact Name:** Paul McEnrue / Sherry Lee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example#</th>
<th>Example Category: Uncertainty Lease or Possible Scoped-out Lease</th>
<th>Details of Example Transaction</th>
<th>Explanation of Why Example is Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Uncertainty Lease</td>
<td>Monetary lease of land rights from a state, county, or other unit of local government: A state, county, or other unit of local government may lease school section lands to Interior to manage, as wildlife habitat, as part of a national wildlife refuge. State law often prohibits states, counties, and other units of local government from selling or divesting school section lands, which are intended to generate revenues to provide states, counties, and other units of local government with funding for public schools. Lease terms including lease payment formulas for school section lands are generally set by state law or some other formal/established state or municipal</td>
<td>DOI has agreements with other entities for the right to use land for conservation purposes for specified or unspecified terms for a one-time payment or periodic payments. These agreements are within the scope of the current Lease ED. However, as stated in the Leases ED, if the lease asset is land it should not be amortized. As FASAB is proposing in the Land Project for not reporting land on the Balance Sheet, clarification is needed on whether land rights should be excluded from the Leases ED.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
process. Interior leases of school section lands in national wildlife refuges are typically for 5-10 year terms, with annual payments that may fluctuate, up or down, depending on what state law requires (e.g., for grazing type lands, certain states re-calculate payments annually based on the market price of livestock). Note, since lease funding approval timelines frequently do not match lease expiration and renewal timelines, at Interior’s request, a non-governmental organization conservation partner may renew an expiring [Interior] lease of school section lands, and then, with state approval, re-assign the lease to Interior after we obtain the requisite funding and approval for the lease.
**FASAB Leases Task Force – Lease Transaction Examples**

**Federal Entity:** Department of Justice – Federal Bureau of Investigation

**Contact Name:** N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example#</th>
<th>Example Category: Uncertainty Lease or Possible Scoped-Out Lease</th>
<th>Details of Example Transaction</th>
<th>Explanation of Why Example is Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Uncertainty &amp; Possible Scoped-Out Lease</td>
<td>Covert Activity – FBI does not disclose covert activity as lease activity under the current standards</td>
<td>This change could expand the lease definition to other activity in our covert operations. The terms of these activities are also not easily shared to meet the requires of the new standard, such as length of term.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# FASAB Leases Task Force – Lease Transaction Examples

**Federal Entity:** Department of Justice – Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives  
**Contact Name:** Stephan Kolcio

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example#</th>
<th>Example Category: Uncertainty Lease or Possible Scoped-out Lease</th>
<th>Details of Example Transaction</th>
<th>Explanation of Why Example is Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Possible Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Contract for “managed services” contract for IT support services, which includes contractor owned equipment, such as laptops/desktops, being provided to Government personnel to use on the Government network.</td>
<td>Meets the current definition of a Lease, however it should not be included because of materiality (DOJ policy for equipment is $50K or more per item).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Possible Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Service contract for mobile telephone service. Mobile phones are provided by the service provider for use during the contract.</td>
<td>Meets the current definition of a Lease, however if it is in regards to the mobile phones themselves it would not meet the standard, although if it were the mobile towers it potentially could be.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Possibly Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Contract for the IT cloud service</td>
<td>Meets the current definition of a Lease. The underlying asset(s) are servers, which we believe would be more than $50K per server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Possibly Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Privately owned radio towers that contain ATF radio antennas.</td>
<td>Could possibly meet the definition of a lease based on the value of the underlying asset (structures per DOJ policy is $250K).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Possibly Scoped-out Lease</td>
<td>Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) service licenses.</td>
<td>Could possibly meet the definition of a lease based on the value of the bulk license purchase (DOJ policy is $5M).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Leases Exposure Draft
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DoD Transaction Examples
Transaction Examples
Example: DoD#1

Agreement Type: Vessel time charters
Reporting Entity: Military Sealift Command (MSC)
Recognized Today: Contract for services
Request: Possible scoped out lease

- Details of Example Transaction: MSC enters into time charter agreements for the use of vessels over a period of time. Many of these agreements contain both the use of an asset and services, but the operator is fundamentally providing a service. The charter owner operates and maintains the ship, and is responsible for the safe passage of the cargo onboard the ship. MSC is prohibited from hiring another operator for the ship or operating the ship itself during the term of the agreement.

- Explanation of Why Example is Included:
  1. Charter owners do not provide information on service versus asset costs as it is considered competitive information and affects negotiations;
  2. In many cases, charter owners do not provide data related to crew members, affecting MSC’s ability to direct the service levels to be provided and estimate service costs as a percentage of total payments. The charter owner has an incentive to provide the minimum required crew and maintenance to provide the service level predetermined in the agreement. MSC does not control this service level.
  3. How and for what purpose the ship will be used are predetermined in the various agreements, therefore, MSC has no right to change how and for what purpose the ship is used during the period of use. MSC has no other decision-making rights about the use of the ship during the period of use (for example, it does not have the right to operate the ship) and did not design the ship.

**Recommendation(s)**

1) The intent of these agreements are to obtain a service and the vessel associated with the provision of the service is incidental to the substance of the agreement. Provide an option to record as a service agreement. See definition of service agreement.
Transaction Examples

**Example: DoD#2**

Agreement Type: Pipeline agreement
Reporting Entity: Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)
Recognized Today: Contract for services
Request: Possible scoped out lease

- **Details of Example Transaction:** DLA enters into contracts for transportation services that utilize commercial oil and gas pipelines, which are also used by other entities. The contracts generally have a service component and involve the use of an asset (the pipeline). Contracts can range from a few days to multi-year arrangements.

- **Explanation of Why Example is Included:**
  1. Non-identified assets such as pipeline offshoots connecting to DoD facilities will need to be included, therefore DLA would not have the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the pipeline over the period of use;
  2. Each pipeline is in a different geographic area, of different size, and terms can be a single usage to recurring usage, resulting in the inability to apply a standard valuation/methodology to each contract;
  3. Contractors do not provide separate data related to the asset and service components;
  4. Pipelines are not always exclusively used by the DLA, but other entities as well. DLA has the same rights regarding the use of the pipeline as if it were one of multiple customers transporting product through the pipeline. An analogy is a toll road where a driver pays for the use of some of the road’s capacity along with many other drivers.

---

**Recommendation(s)**

1) Exclude pipeline/utility system connections that are not directly identified in the contract or located on military installations/property.
2) Exclude agreements where the entity does not fully control the asset and/or other entities have the right to use the asset.
Transaction Examples

Example: DoD#3

Agreement Type: Storage services
Reporting Entity: Defense Logistics Agency
Recognized Today: Contract for services
Request: Possible scoped out lease

• Details of Example Transaction: Contractor Owned Contractor Operated Facility – DLA enters into contracts with commercial/non-Governmental entities to provide storage services, many for the exclusive use of the DoD. These contracts generally include the use of assets and a service component.

• Explanation of Why Example is Included:
  1. These business events include the use of assets and services. The unbundling of these components will require extensive efforts in the context of the multiple component guidance;
  2. Contractors may not provide unbundled costs to assist with this effort;
  3. There is limited data available on the value of select portions of large military installations, and as such, estimating the market value of these items will be difficult;
  4. These contracts include OCONUS locations, which require additional host nation requirements.

Recommendation(s)

1) The intent of these agreements are to obtain a service and any asset associated with the provision of the service are incidental to the substance of the agreement. Provide an option to record as a service agreement. See definition of service agreement
Transaction Examples

Example: DoD#4

Agreement Type: System privatization
Reporting Entity: Services/Host Facilities (Military Services)
Recognized Today: Contract for services
Request: Uncertainty lease

• Details of Example Transaction: Utilities privatization consists of two transactions: 1) the utility system on the military base is sold to a private entity; and 2) the Government receives utility services from the private entity. The Government benefits because it is no longer responsible for the maintenance of the utility system. The private entity benefits from the guaranteed revenue stream from the services provided. The Government controls to whom utility services can be provided via the utility system and controls the pricing to be charged for utility services provided by the private entity.

• Explanation of Why Example is Included:

Example of an agreement that includes services from an underlying identified asset in which the government retains access control of the use of the asset. Exclusion is recommended because:

1. While the substance of the agreement conveys ownership of utility system to the private entity, DLA retains control over access and pricing (the private entity cannot provide utility services to private citizens without approval from the Government);

2. Based on the current definition, the agreement will meet the definition of a lease, adding the right to direct the use as a criteria of a lease would exclude these types of agreements;

3. There is limited data available on the value of select portions of large military installations, and as such, estimating the market value of these items will be difficult.

Recommendation(s)

1) Update the lease definition include the right to direct the use and specifically exclude privatization agreements in the scope to provide clarity to users.
Transaction Examples

Example: DoD#5

Agreement Type: Housing privatization
Reporting Entity: Services/Host Facilities (Military Services)
Recognized Today: Revenue upon receipt on ground lease
Request: Uncertainty lease

- Details of Example Transaction: Housing privatization consists of three transactions: 1) the transfer of the existing military housing to a private entity via quit claim deed; 2) a long term lease of the underlying land to the private entity upon which the housing exists or new housing will be constructed; and 3) the private entity leases the housing to individual military service personnel. The Government determines the order to whom the housing can be let and sets the minimum (market rents in the case of civilians) and maximums (BAH in the case of military tenants) that can be charged for leasing the housing.

- Explanation of Why Example is Included:
  Example of an agreement that includes services from an underlying identified asset in which the government retains control of the use of the asset. Exclusion is recommended because:
  1. The substance of these types of agreements is to provide housing for military service members. The Government does not benefit from the revenue received from the lease of the underlying land. The lease for the underlying land is nominal ($1).
  2. While the substance of the agreement conveys ownership of housing by the private entity, Military Service retains control over use and pricing; and based on the current definition, the agreement will meet the definition of a lease, adding the right to direct the use as a criteria of a lease would exclude these types of agreements;
  3. There is limited data available on the value of select portions of large military installations, and as such, estimating the market value of these items will be difficult.

Recommendation(s)

1) Update the lease definition include the right to direct the use and specifically exclude privatization agreements in the scope to provide clarity to users.
Transaction Examples

Example: DoD#6

Agreement Type: Encroachment agreements (e.g., air rights or easements)
Reporting Entity: DoD
Recognized Today: Period expense
Request: Possible scoped out lease

• Details of Example Transaction: DoD services enter into arrangements with state and local governments, and non-government entities to manage and mitigate the effects of incompatible land use. Incompatible land use is defined as the use of land surrounding a DoD installation that can result in restrictions on the training, testing, and operations of the installation because of noise, safety, or other reasons related to the surrounding population, the presence of threatened or endangered species, or historically significant sites. Military services and installations enter into cost-sharing agreements with conservation organizations and state and local governments to protect compatible land use and preserve habitats around military installations. Under the program, individual installations, in conjunction with conservation organizations or state or local governments, can partner with landowners willing to either sell their land or accept a conservation easement limiting its use for environmental reasons.

• Explanation of Why Example is Included:

Example of an agreement that includes services from an underlying identified asset in which the government does not control of the use of the asset. Exclusion is recommended because:

1. The intent of these agreements are to protect installation from development that would hinder installation operations; not to control the use.
2. The agreements do not provide for the use of a specific identifiable asset.
3. The Government may or may not have exclusive use or rights to spaces in the agreements such as exclusive rights to air corridors, or land encroachment easements.

Recommendation(s)

1) The intent of these agreements are to obtain protections for military operations and any asset associated with the provision of the protection type agreements are ancillary to the substance of the agreement.
Lease Definition

- **Current Definition:** A lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

- **Recommended Definition:**

  A lease is defined as a contract or agreement, that conveys to the lessee:
  
  a) the exclusive right to direct how and for what purpose the asset(s) is used throughout the period. The lessee has substantially all rights of ownership except for disposal (e.g., the lessee has the right to sublease, repurpose the use or substitute the asset without approval of the lessor);
  
  b) the asset is physically distinct, tangible, identified property, plant, or equipment (the identified asset); and
  
  c) the lessee obtains substantially all of the economic benefits of the identified asset for a period of time in exchange for monetary consideration, excluding executory costs, whereby the lessor does not possess a sole unilateral right of substitution of the identified asset.

- **Noted Changes:**

  1. Define as a contract versus an agreement (legally binding and creates enforceable rights and obligations);
     - Agreements do not necessarily create enforceable rights and obligations and could be construed as a mere commitment to incur a future obligation that may be cancelable
  
  2. Conveys to the lessee the exclusive right to direct how and for what purpose the asset(s) is used
     - An asset is defined as a resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the federal government controls. Control would be defined as its ability to determine how and when it uses the underlying asset on an exclusive basis
  
  3. Requires the asset to be physically distinct
     - A lessee is unlikely to have the right to control the use of a portion of an asset because decisions about the use of a shared asset are typically made by others at the larger asset level.
  
  4. Lessee obtains substantially all of the economic benefits of the identified asset in exchange for monetary consideration, excluding executory costs;
     - An asset is defined as a resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the federal government controls
  
  5. Lessor does not possess a sole unilateral right of substitution of the identified asset
     - The lessor (and not the lessee) controls the use of an asset if it can substitute the asset throughout the period of use
Recommended changes to ED lease definition, scope, and language (cont’d)

Recommend changes to ED lease definition, scope, and language

Scope Exclusions

- **Current Scope Exclusion**: This Statement does not apply to leases of federal natural resources as defined in Technical Bulletin (TB) 2011-1, Accounting for Federal Natural Resources Other than Oil and leases of federal oil and gas resources as defined in SFFAS 38, Accounting for Federal Oil and Gas Resources.

- **Recommended Changes**: Add to the current scope exclusion:

  1. Privatization Agreements / Public private partnerships
     - The private entity does not have control over the asset; therefore it does not meet the definition of asset and in turn will not meet the definition of a lease

  2. Leases of intangible assets (e.g., patents, easements, air rights, copyrights, intellectual property, and licensing contracts for computer software)
     - DoD does not have control over these assets and these assets could be used by many parties simultaneously, resulting in various entities potentially recognizing the same right to use asset and corresponding liabilities. In addition, the cost and complexity of the evaluation that entities would be required to undertake to determine whether the lessee controls the use of intangibles may not yield sufficiently different results in financial reporting to justify the undertaking of those efforts

  3. Leases of assets under construction
     - There is likely to be significant overlap between an evaluation of whether the lessee controls the construction in process before the commencement date and an evaluation of whether the lessee controls the use of the construction in process before the commencement date. The cost and complexity of the evaluation that entities would be required to undertake would not yield sufficiently different results in financial reporting to justify the undertaking of those efforts.

  4. Leases for which the underlying asset is of low value
     - In most cases, assets and liabilities arising from leases within the scope of the exemption would not be material, even in aggregate. The exemption would provide substantial cost relief to many lessees by removing the burden of justifying that such leases would not be material in the aggregate.
Definition of a Service Agreement

- **Current Definition**: There is not currently a definition of a service agreement embodied in the FASAB standards.

- **Recommended Changes**: Explicitly define a service agreement as an arrangement between a seller and purchaser in which the seller performs, or agrees to perform a service for the purchaser. The primary intent of the agreement is to obtain a defined service. Products involved, if any, are considered incidental to the services provided.

Definition of Executory Costs

- **Recommended Change**: Executory costs are ownership costs such as property taxes, insurance, operating expenses such as utilities and maintenance and technical support for lease of software licenses.

- **Noted Changes**: Add new term to the list of definitions in the standard.
DoD Intragovernmental Lease Definition Recommended Change
Recommended changes to ED intragovernmental lease definition

Recommended Changes

An intragovernmental lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use an asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in exchange for consideration occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, Reporting Entity.

An intragovernmental lease may or may not include a specified period of time, and for this purpose, consideration may include payment of executory costs (e.g., property taxes, insurance, and operating expenses such as utilities and maintenance) in lieu of rental payments.
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TO: ALL WHO USE, PREPARE, AND AUDIT FEDERAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Your comments on the exposure draft of a proposed Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards, entitled Leases: An Amendment of SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government and SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, are requested. Specific questions for your consideration appear on page 6 but you are welcome to comment on any aspect of this proposal. If you do not agree with the proposed approach, your response would be more helpful to the Board if you explain the reasons for your position and any alternative you propose. Responses are requested by January 6, 2017.
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Mail delivery is delayed by screening procedures. Therefore, please provide your comments in electronic form by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to e-mail your responses, we encourage you to fax the comments to (202) 512-7366. Alternatively, you may mail your comments to:

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Mailstop 6H19
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Washington, DC 20548

We will confirm receipt of your comments. If you do not receive confirmation, please contact our office at 202.512.7350 to determine if your comments were received.

The Board’s rules of procedure provide that one or more public hearings may be held on any exposure draft. No hearing has yet been scheduled for this exposure draft. Notice of the date and location of any public hearing on this document will be published in the Federal Register and in FASAB's newsletter.

Sincerely,

D. Scott Showalter
Chairman
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WHAT IS THE BOARD PROPOSING?

The Board is proposing revisions to the existing federal lease accounting standards. The proposal would provide a comprehensive set of lease accounting standards to recognize federal lease activities in the reporting entity’s general purpose federal financial reports and include appropriate disclosures.

The Board is proposing that federal lessees recognize a lease liability and a leased asset at the beginning of the lease, unless it is an intragovernmental lease or a short-term lease. A federal lessor would recognize a lease receivable and deferred revenue, unless it is an intragovernmental lease or a short-term lease.

HOW WOULD THIS PROPOSAL IMPROVE FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CONTRIBUTE TO MEETING THE FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTING OBJECTIVES?

The Board first addressed lease accounting during the development of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 5, Accounting for Liabilities of The Federal Government, and SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment. At that time, the Board decided to use the high-level language on lease accounting from Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 13, Accounting for Leases. The Board chose to use this language as a placeholder until it was prepared to add lease accounting to its agenda as a separate project. Consequently the standards in SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 are minimal and only address the definition of a capital lease, the criteria for capital leases, and the measurement of a capital lease asset and liability.

The current lease accounting standards have been criticized for not making meaningful distinctions between types of leases and not providing sufficient guidance to the federal community. Additionally, the current federal standards are based on FASB’s lease accounting standards which have since been revised.

This lease proposal would improve the existing lease accounting standards in SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 by

-- providing relevant and meaningful financial information needed by federal financial statement users and

-- providing comprehensive lease standards that appropriately address the various lease transactions/activities of the federal community.
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QUESTIONS FOR RESPONDENTS

The Board encourages you to become familiar with all proposals in the Statement before responding to the questions in this section. In addition to the questions below, the Board also would welcome your comments on other aspects of the proposed Statement. Because the proposals may be modified before a final Statement is issued, it is important that you comment on proposals that you favor as well as any that you do not favor. Comments that include the reasons for your views will be especially appreciated.

The Board believes that this proposal would improve federal financial reporting and contribute to meeting the Federal financial reporting objectives. The Board has considered the perceived costs associated with this proposal. In responding, please consider the expected benefits and perceived costs and communicate any concerns that you may have in regard to implementing this proposal.

The questions in this section are available in a Word file for your use at www.fasab.gov/documents-for-comment/. Your responses should be sent by e-mail to fasab@fasab.gov. If you are unable to respond by e-mail, please fax your responses to (202) 512-7366. Alternatively, you may mail your responses to:

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director
Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
Mailstop 6H19
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814
Washington, DC 20548

All responses are requested by January 6, 2017.

Q1. The Board is proposing to define a lease as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction. The current lease standards, Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government and SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, do not specifically define a lease. SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 only define a capital lease as a “lease that transfers substantially all the benefits and risks of ownership to the lessee.” The Board believes that the more concise definition being proposed is broad enough to capture the diversity of federal leasing activities. The proposed lease definition is presented in paragraph 9 and further explained in paragraph A15.

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed definition of lease presented in paragraph 9 and further explained in paragraph A15? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q2. The Board is proposing that the lease term be determined as the period during which a lessee has a noncancelable right to use an underlying asset (referred to as the noncancelable period) plus each option period if it is probable, based on all
relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease. The lease term proposal also provides guidance on the noncancelable period and on how specific provisions (such as fiscal funding/cancellation clauses and month-to-month lease holdovers) should be applied. The proposed lease term requirements are presented in paragraphs 14 – 18 and further explained in paragraphs A16 - A18.

**Do you agree or disagree with the proposed guidance on determining the lease term as presented in paragraphs 14 - 18 and further explained in A16 - A18? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

Q3. The Board is proposing that at the beginning of the lease term, a lessee should recognize a lease liability and a property, plant, and equipment right-to-use lease asset (the lease asset), except for intragovernmental and short-term leases. The proposed lease recognition requirements are presented in paragraph 19.

**Do you agree or disagree with the proposed lessee recognition of a lease at the beginning of the lease term as presented in paragraph 19? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

Q4. The Board is proposing that a lessee should measure the **lease liability** initially at the present value of payments to be made for the lease term. In addition, the measurement of the lease liability should include the several types of payments that might be required by a lease. The proposed lease liability measurement and recognition requirements are presented in paragraphs 21 – 29 and further explained in paragraphs A20 - A21.

**Do you agree or disagree with the proposed lessee measurement and recognition of the lease liability as presented in paragraphs 21 - 29 and further explained in paragraphs A20 - A21? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

Q5. The Board is proposing that the future lease payments should be discounted using the **rate the lessor charges the lessee**, which may be the interest rate implicit in the lease. If the rate cannot be reasonably estimated by the lessee, the **lessee’s incremental borrowing rate** ¹ (the estimated rate that would be charged for borrowing the lease payment amounts for the lease term) should be used. The specific proposed requirement is presented in paragraph 23.

a. **Do you agree or disagree that the rate the lessor charges the lessee, which may be the interest rate implicit in the lease, should be used to measure the future lease payments as presented in paragraph 23? Please provide the rationale for your answers.**

b. **Do you agree or disagree that the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate should be used to measure the future lease payments when the lessor rate cannot be reasonably estimated by the lessee as presented in paragraph 23? Please provide the rationale for your answers.**

---

¹ A federal lessee’s incremental borrowing rate would be the Department of the Treasury borrowing rate for securities of similar maturity to the term of the lease unless the entity has its own borrowing authority.
Q6. The Board is proposing that the lessee should remeasure the lease liability at subsequent financial reporting dates if certain changes have occurred and are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease liability. The Board is also proposing that the lease asset should generally be adjusted by the same amount when the corresponding lease liability is remeasured based on those changes. Additionally, if the change reduces the carrying value of the lease asset to zero, any remaining amount should be reported in the flows statement as a gain. The proposed lessee requirements for remeasurement are presented in paragraphs 25 – 29, 33, and further explained in paragraph A19.

   a. Do you agree or disagree with the circumstances when the lessee must remeasure the lease liability as presented in paragraph 25? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

   b. Would the requirements triggering remeasurement cause undue costs? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

   c. Do you agree or disagree with the effect of the remeasurement on the carrying value of the lease asset as presented in paragraph 33 and further explained in paragraph A19? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q7. The Board is proposing that a lessee should measure the lease asset initially as the sum of (1) the amount of the initial measurement of the lease liability, (2) lease payments made to the lessor at or before the beginning of the lease, less any lease incentives received from the lessor, and (3) initial direct costs that are ancillary charges necessary to place the lease asset into service. The proposed lessee lease asset measurement and recognition requirements are presented in paragraphs 30 – 34 and further explained in paragraph A22.

   Do you agree or disagree with the proposed lessee measurement and recognition of the lease asset as presented in paragraphs 30 - 34 and further explained in paragraph A22? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q8. The Board is proposing that at the beginning of the lease term, a lessor should recognize a lease receivable and deferred revenue, except for intragovernmental and short-term leases. The proposed requirements for the measurement and recognition of the lessor lease receivable and deferred revenue are presented in paragraphs 36 – 48 and further explained in paragraphs A23 - A24.

   Do you agree or disagree with the proposed lessor measurement and recognition of the lease receivable and deferred revenue as presented in paragraphs 36 - 48 and further explained in paragraphs A23 - A24? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q9. The Board is proposing to define a short-term lease as a lease that, at the beginning of the lease, has a maximum possible term under the contract/agreement of 24 months or less, including any options to extend, regardless of its probability of being exercised. The proposed requirements for the measurement and recognition of a short-term lease are presented in paragraphs 59 – 61 and further explained in paragraph A25.
Do you agree or disagree with the proposed definition and measurement and recognition of a short-term lease as presented in paragraphs 59 - 61 and further explained in paragraph A25? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q10. The Board is proposing to establish distinct standards for intragovernmental leases. An intragovernmental lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use an asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in exchange for consideration occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, Reporting Entity. The proposed requirements for the measurement, recognition, and disclosure of intragovernmental leases are presented in paragraphs 75 – 95 and further explained in paragraphs A26 - A29.

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed definition, measurement, recognition, and disclosures of intragovernmental leases as presented in paragraphs 75 - 95 and further explained in paragraphs A26 - A29? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q11. The Board is proposing that leases unexpired at the beginning of the reporting period in which the standard is implemented be recognized and measured using the facts and circumstances that exist at the beginning of the reporting period. The proposed implementation requirements are presented in paragraphs 99-100.

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed prospective implementation approach as presented in paragraphs 99 - 100? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Q12. The Board is proposing that the requirements of this Statement be effective for reporting periods beginning after September 30, 2018. The proposed effective date is presented in paragraph 101.

Do you agree or disagree with the proposed effective date as presented in paragraph 101? Please provide the rationale for your answer.
INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE

1. The Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or “the Board”) undertook this project primarily because

   a. the current lease accounting standards, Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 5, *Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government* and SFFAS 6, *Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment*, have been criticized as ineffective because they do not make meaningful distinctions between capital and operating leases based on the substance of lease transactions, and

   b. the lease accounting standards in SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 are based on lease accounting standards from Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) which have since been amended. In addition, existing FASAB standards are not comprehensive and do not provide meaningful information on federal leasing activities.

2. SFFAC 5, *Definitions of Elements and Basic Recognition Criteria for Accrual-Basis Financial Statements*, defines both an asset and liability. In that concepts statement an asset is defined as “a resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the federal government controls.” A liability is defined as “a present obligation of the federal government to provide assets or service to another entity at a determinable date, when a specific event occurs, or on demand.” The SFFAC 5 definitions only address whether the asset or liability exists and not how it should be measured or whether or when it should be recognized. The current leasing activities/transactions of federal entities should be evaluated against these definitions to ensure proper measurement and recognition. This proposal seeks to adopt the most current concepts so that the accounting principles for leases provide comprehensive guidance for consistent reporting.

3. This Statement is intended to improve federal financial reporting for leases by requiring concise, meaningful, and transparent information about the cost and related asset and liability to improve users’ understanding of the operating performance of the federal government and component entities.

MATERIALITY

4. The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items. The determination of whether an item is material depends on the degree to which omitting or misstating information about the item makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying on the information would have been changed or influenced by the omission or the misstatement.
PROPOSED STANDARDS

SCOPE

5. This Statement applies to federal entities that present general purpose federal financial reports, including the consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government (CFR), in conformance with generally accepted accounting principles, as defined by paragraphs 5 through 8 of Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 34, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, Including the Application of Standards Issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board.*

6. For purposes of applying this Statement, a **lease**\(^2\) is defined as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset\(^3\) (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction. Leases include contracts or agreements that, although not explicitly identified as leases, meet the definition of a lease. This definition does not include contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

7. This Statement amends the lease accounting standards in *SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government,* and *SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment.* This Statement also establishes distinct standards for **intragovernmental leases.**

8. This Statement does not apply to leases of federal natural resources as defined in Technical Bulletin (TB) 2011-1, *Accounting for Federal Natural Resources Other than Oil* and leases of federal oil and gas resources as defined in *SFFAS 38, Accounting for Federal Oil and Gas Resources.*

DEFINITIONS

9. **Lease** – A lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

10. **Intragovernmental Lease** – An intragovernmental lease is a lease occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under *SFFAS 47, Reporting Entity.*\(^4\)

11. **Lease Option Periods** – Lease option periods are additional lease periods beyond the initial lease term. The options may be included in the initial lease or may be agreed to later in the lease term.

\(^2\) Terms defined in the Glossary are shown in bold-face the first time they appear.

\(^3\) Examples of nonfinancial assets include land, buildings, vehicles, equipment, internal use software, and intangible assets. Examples of financial assets include cash, investments, and receivables.

\(^4\) See *SFFAS 47, Reporting Entity,* par. 38-42.
12. **Initial Direct Costs** – Initial direct costs are costs that are directly attributable to negotiating and arranging a lease or portfolio of leases and would not have been incurred without entering into the lease.

13. **Short-Term Lease** – A short-term lease is a lease that, at the beginning of the lease, has a maximum possible term under the contract or arrangement of 24 months or less, including any options to extend, regardless of their probability of being exercised.

---

**STANDARDS FOR NON-INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES**

---

**LEASE TERM**

14. The lease term is the period during which a lessee has a noncancelable right to use an underlying asset (referred to as the noncancelable period), plus each option period if it is **probable**, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to extend the lease.

15. The noncancelable period is the shorter of

   a. the initial lease period, before considering renewal options for additional periods;

   b. the period of the lease preceding an option for the lessee to terminate the lease if it is probable, based on all relevant factors, that the lessee will exercise that option to terminate; or

   c. the period of the lease preceding a point at which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease, regardless of the probability of termination.

16. In determining the lease term, the following specific provisions should be applied:

   a. When the noncancelable period is less than the initial lease term (due to options to terminate discussed in par. 15b and 15c) there should be no option periods added to the noncancelable period in calculating the lease term.

   b. Provisions that allow for termination of a lease due to (a) purchase of the underlying asset, (b) payment of all sums due, or (c) default on payments are not considered options to terminate.

   c. A fiscal funding or cancellation clause (a clause that allows federal lessees to cancel a lease agreement, typically on an annual basis, if funds for the lease payments are not appropriated) should be considered in determining the lease term only when it is probable that the clause will be exercised.

---

5 Periods for which either the lessor only or both the lessee and the lessor have an option to terminate the lease are cancelable periods and are excluded from the lease term.
d. Month-to-month lease holdovers, also referred to as rolling lease extensions, or any lease that continues into a holdover period until a new contract or agreement is signed should be considered cancelable because either the lessee or lessor could cancel the lease at any time. These holdover periods should be excluded from the lessee’s lease liability and the lessor’s lease receivable.⁶

17. At the beginning of a lease, lessors and lessees should assess all factors relevant to the likelihood that the lessee will exercise options, whether these factors are contract/agreement based, underlying asset based, market based, or federal specific. The assessment often will require the consideration of a combination of these interrelated factors. Examples of factors to consider include, but are not limited to, the following:

   a. A significant economic incentive, such as contractual terms and conditions for the option periods that are favorable compared with current market rates
   
   b. A significant economic disincentive, such as costs to terminate the lease and sign a new lease (for example, negotiation costs, relocation costs, abandonment of significant leasehold improvements, costs of identifying another suitable underlying asset, costs associated with returning the underlying asset in a contractually specified condition or to a contractually specified location, or a substantial cancellation penalty)
   
   c. The lessee’s history of exercising renewal or termination options
   
   d. The extent to which the lease asset is considered mission critical to the federal entity

18. Lessors and lessees should reassess the lease term only if the lessee does either of the following:

   a. Elects to exercise an option even though the lessor or lessee had previously determined that it was not probable that the lessee would exercise that option
   
   b. Does not elect to exercise an option even though the lessor or lessee had previously determined that it was probable that the lessee would exercise that option

RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT FOR LESSEES

19. At the beginning of the lease term, a lessee should recognize a lease liability and a property, plant, and equipment (PP&E)⁷ right-to-use lease asset (hereinafter referred to as the lease asset), except as provided in paragraph 20 and paragraphs 59-61 (short-term leases).

---

⁶ SFFAS 1, Accounting for Selected Assets and Liabilities, applies to any related accounts payable or accounts receivable amounts.

⁷ The lease asset should be classified as PP&E unless the underlying asset is not PP&E. In those instances, such assets should be classified with the underlying asset.
LEASES THAT TRANSFER OWNERSHIP

20. A lease contract/agreement that transfers ownership of the underlying asset to the lessee at or before the end of the lease, and does not contain termination options (see par. 14 - 15), should be reported as a purchase of that asset.8

LEASE LIABILITY

21. A lessee should measure the lease liability initially at the present value of payments to be made for the lease term. Measurement of the lease liability should include the following types of payments that might be required by a lease:

   a. Fixed payments, less any lease incentives (such as a cash payment or reimbursement of moving costs) receivable from the lessor

   b. Variable lease payments that depend on an index or a rate (such as the Consumer Price Index or a market interest rate), initially measured using the index or rate as of the beginning of the lease

   c. Variable lease payments that are fixed in-substance as described in paragraph 22

   d. Amounts that are probable of being required to be paid by the lessee under residual value guarantees

   e. The exercise price of a purchase option if it is probable that the lessee will exercise that option

   f. Payments for penalties for terminating the lease, if the lease term reflects the lessee exercising an option to terminate the lease or a fiscal funding or cancellation clause

   g. Any other payments that are probable of being required based on an assessment of all relevant factors

22. Variable payments based on future performance of the lessee or usage of the underlying asset should not be included. Rather, these variable payments should be recognized as an expense in the statement of net cost in the period in which those payments are incurred. However, any component of these variable payments that is fixed in-substance should be included in the lease liability. An example is a lease payment based on a percentage of sales or usage but with a required minimum amount to be paid. That required minimum payment is fixed in-substance.

23. The future lease payments should be discounted using the rate the lessor charges the lessee, which may be the interest rate implicit in the lease. If the rate cannot be reasonably estimated by the lessee, the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate9 (the estimated rate that would be charged for borrowing the lease payment amounts for the lease term) should be used.

8 See SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, par. 26.
9 A federal lessee’s incremental borrowing rate would be the Treasury borrowing rate for securities of similar maturity to the term of the lease unless the entity has its own borrowing authority.
24. At subsequent financial reporting dates, the lessee should calculate the amortization of the discount on the lease liability and recognize that amount as interest expense for the period. Any payments made should be allocated first to the accrued interest liability and then to the lease liability.

25. The lessee should remeasure the lease liability at subsequent financial reporting dates if any of the following changes have occurred and are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease liability:
   
   a. There is a change in the lease term due to a reassessment (see par. 18), a modification (see par. 66), or a termination (see par. 64).
   
   b. An assessment of all relevant factors indicates that the likelihood of a residual value guarantee being paid has changed from probable to not probable, or vice versa.
   
   c. An assessment of all relevant factors indicates that the likelihood of a purchase option being exercised has changed from probable to not probable, or vice versa.
   
   d. There is a change in the estimated amounts for payments already included in the liability.
   
   e. There is a change in the interest rate the lessor charges the lessee, if used as the initial discount rate.

26. If a lease liability is remeasured for any of the changes in paragraph 25, the liability also should be remeasured for any change in an index or rate used to determine variable lease payments if that change in the index or rate is expected to significantly affect the amount of the liability. A lease liability is not required to be remeasured solely for a change in an index or rate used to determine variable lease payments.

27. The lessee also should update the discount rate as part of the remeasurement if any of the following changes have occurred and are expected to significantly affect the amount of the lease liability:
   
   a. There is a change in the lease term due to a reassessment (see par. 18), a modification (see par. 66), or a termination (see par. 64).
   
   b. An assessment of all relevant factors indicates that the likelihood of a purchase option being exercised has changed from probable to not probable, or vice versa.

28. A lease liability is not required to be remeasured, nor is the discount rate required to be reassessed solely for a change in the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate.

29. If the discount rate is required to be updated based on the provisions in paragraph 27, the discount rate should be based on the revised rate the lessor charges the lessee at the time the discount rate is updated. If that rate cannot be readily determined, the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate should be used.

**LEASE ASSET**

30. A lessee should initially measure the lease asset as the sum of the following:
   
   a. The amount of the initial measurement of the lease liability (see par. 21)
b. Lease payments made to the lessor at or before the beginning of the lease, less any lease incentives received from the lessor

c. Initial direct costs that are ancillary charges necessary to place the lease asset into service

31. The lease asset should be amortized in a systematic and rational manner over the shorter of the lease term or the useful life of the underlying asset, except as provided in paragraph 32. The amortization of the lease asset should be reported as amortization expense.

32. The presence of a bargain purchase option in a lease contract/agreement is not equivalent to a provision that transfers ownership of the underlying asset; therefore a bargain purchase option should be treated as any other purchase option included in a lease. If the lease contains a purchase option that the lessee has determined is probable of being exercised, the lease asset should be amortized over the useful life of the underlying asset. In this circumstance, if the underlying asset is non-depreciable, such as land, then the lease asset should not be amortized.

33. The lease asset generally should be adjusted by the same amount when the corresponding lease liability is remeasured based on paragraphs 25–29. However, if this change reduces the carrying value of the lease asset to zero, any remaining amount should be reported in the flows statement as a gain.

34. The presence of impairment indicators (described in par. 12 of SFFAS 44, Accounting for Impairment of General Property, Plant, and Equipment Remaining in Use) with respect to the underlying asset may result in a change in the manner or duration of use of the lease asset. The change in the manner or duration of use of the lease asset is an indicator that the lease asset may be impaired. The period for which the underlying asset has less usable capacity should be the relevant factor(s) in determining the magnitude of the decline in service utility of the lease asset. If a lease asset is impaired, it should be reduced first for any change in the corresponding lease liability. Any remaining amount should be recognized as an impairment.10

DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR LESSEES

35. A lessee should disclose the following about its lease activities (which may be grouped for purposes of disclosure), other than short-term leases:

a. A general description of its leasing arrangements, including:
   i. the basis, terms, and conditions on which variable lease payments not included in the lease liability are determined
   ii. the existence, terms, and conditions of residual value guarantees provided by the lessee

10 See SFFAS 44, Accounting for Impairment of General Property, Plant, and Equipment Remaining in Use, par. 18 – 25.
b. The total amount of lease assets, and the related accumulated amortization, to be disclosed separately from other PP&E assets

c. The amount of lease expense recognized for the period for variable lease payments not previously included in the lease liability

d. Principal and interest requirements to maturity, presented separately, for the lease liability for each of the five subsequent years and in five-year increments thereafter

e. The amount of the annual lease expense and the discount rate used to calculate the lease liability

**RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT FOR LESSORS**

36. At the beginning of the lease term, a lessor should recognize a lease receivable and a deferred revenue, except as provided in paragraph 37 and paragraphs 59–61 (short-term leases). Any initial direct costs incurred by the lessor should be reported as an expense of the period.

**LEASES THAT TRANSFER OWNERSHIP**

37. A lease contract/agreement that transfers ownership of the underlying asset to the lessee at or before the end of the lease, and does not contain termination options (see par. 14) should be reported as a financed sale of that asset.

**LEASE RECEIVABLE**

38. A lessor should measure the lease receivable initially at the present value of lease payments to be received for the lease term, reduced by any provision for uncollectible amounts. Measurement of the lease receivable should include the following types of payments that might be required by a lease:

   a. Fixed payments

   b. Variable payments that depend on an index or a rate (such as the Consumer Price Index or a market interest rate), initially measured using the index or rate as of the beginning of the lease

   c. Portions of variable payments that are fixed in substance (as described in par. 39)

   d. Residual value guarantees that are fixed payments in substance (as described in par. 40).

39. Variable payments based on future performance of the lessee or usage of the underlying asset should not be included in the receivable. Those payments should be recognized as revenue in the period to which those payments relate. However, any component of those variable payments that is fixed in substance should be included in the lease receivable. For example, if a lease payment is based on a percentage of sales but has a required minimum
payment, that required minimum is a fixed payment in substance. Similarly, a residual value guarantee is an in-substance fixed payment if it stipulates the underlying asset will be sold at the end of the lease term, with the lessee assuming a liability for any shortfall if the sales price is less than an agreed-upon minimum amount.

40. Amounts to be received under residual value guarantees (that are not fixed in substance) should be recognized as a receivable and revenue when (a) a guarantee payment is required (as agreed to by the lessee and lessor) and (b) the amount can be reasonably estimated. Amounts to be received for the exercise price of a purchase option or penalties for lease termination should be recognized as a receivable and revenue when those options are exercised.

41. The future lease payments to be received should be discounted using the rate the lessor charges the lessee, which may be the interest rate implicit in the lease. Lessors are not required to apply imputed interest but may do so as a means of determining the rate implicit in the lease.

42. At subsequent financial reporting dates, the lessor should calculate the amortization of the discount on the receivable and report that amount as interest revenue for the period. It should be calculated so as to produce a constant periodic rate of return on the receivable. Any payments received should be allocated first to the accrued interest receivable and then to the lease receivable.

43. The lessor should remeasure the lease receivable and update the discount rate at subsequent financial reporting dates if either of the following changes have occurred and are expected to significantly affect the amount of the receivable:

   a. There is a change in the lease term.
   b. There is a change in the rate the lessor charges the lessee.

44. If a lease receivable is remeasured for either of the changes in paragraph 43, the receivable also should be remeasured for any change in an index or rate used to determine variable lease payments if that change in the index or rate is expected to significantly affect the amount of the receivable. A lease receivable is not required to be remeasured solely for a change in an index or rate used to determine variable lease payments.

45. If the discount rate is updated based on the provisions in paragraph 43, the receivable should be discounted using the revised rate.

**Deferred Revenue**

46. A lessor should measure the deferred revenue at the initial value of the lease receivable, less any provision for uncollectible amounts (see par. 38), plus the amount of any payments received at or prior to the beginning of the lease that relate to future periods (for example, the final month’s rent). A lessor subsequently should recognize deferred revenue in a systematic and rational manner over the term of the lease.
47. The deferred revenue should generally be adjusted using the same amount as the change resulting from the remeasurement of the lease receivable as discussed in paragraphs 43-44.

**UNDERLYING ASSET**

48. A lessor should **not** derecognize the asset underlying the lease. A lessor should continue to apply other applicable guidance to the underlying asset, including depreciation and impairment. However, if the lease agreement requires the lessee to return the asset in its original or enhanced condition, a lessor should not depreciate the asset during the lease term.

**DISCLOSURES FOR LESSORS**

49. A lessor should disclose the following about its lease activities (which may be grouped for purposes of disclosure), other than short-term leases:

   a. A general description of its leasing arrangements, including the basis, terms, and conditions on which any variable lease payments not included in the lease receivable are determined

   b. The carrying amount of assets on lease or held for leasing, by major classes of assets, and the amount of accumulated depreciation

   c. The total amount of revenue (for example, lease revenue, interest revenue, and any other lease-related revenue) recognized in the reporting period from leases

   d. The amount of revenue recognized in the reporting period for variable lease payments and other payments not previously included in the lease receivable, including revenue related to residual value guarantees and termination penalties.

50. In addition to the disclosures in paragraph 49, if a federal entity’s principal ongoing operations consist of leasing assets through the use of non-intragovernmental leases, the federal entity should disclose a schedule of future lease payments that are included in the lease receivable, showing principal and interest, for each of the five subsequent years and in five-year increments thereafter.

**CONTRACT/AGREEMENTS WITH MULTIPLE COMPONENTS**

51. Lessors and lessees may enter into one contract/agreement that contains multiple components, such as a contract/agreement that contains both a lease component and a nonlease component, or a lease that contains multiple underlying assets.

52. If a lessor or lessee enters into a contract/agreement that contains both a lease (such as the right to use a building) and a nonlease component (such as a maintenance services for the building), the federal entity should account for the lease and nonlease components as separate contract/agreements, unless the contract/agreement meets the exception in paragraph 55.b. or paragraph 55.c.
53. If a lease involves multiple underlying assets, lessors and lessees should account for each underlying asset as a separate lease component if the assets have different lease terms. The provisions of this paragraph should be applied unless the contract/agreement meets the exception in paragraph 55.b or paragraph 55.c

54. To allocate the consideration required under the contract/agreement to the different components, lessors and lessees should first use any prices for individual components that are included in the contract/agreement, if they are reasonable based on other observable stand-alone prices. Stand-alone prices are those that would be paid or received if the same or similar assets were leased or if the same or similar nonlease components (such as services) were contracted individually. Some contract/agreements provide discounts for bundling multiple leases or lease and nonlease components together in one contract/agreement. These discounts may be taken into account when determining whether individual component prices are reasonable. For example, if the individual component prices are each discounted by the same percentage from normal market prices, those component prices would be considered reasonable.

55. If a contract/agreement does not include prices for individual components, or if those prices are not reasonable based on other observable stand-alone prices, lessors and lessees should do the following, unless the components as a whole are insignificant:

   a. If observable stand-alone prices are readily available for all components, the federal entity should allocate the consideration based on the relative values of the observable stand-alone prices.

   b. If observable stand-alone prices are readily available for some (but not all) components, the federal entity should allocate the observable stand-alone price to each component for which it is readily available. The federal entity may (1) estimate the allocation of the remaining consideration to the remaining components or (2) account for the remaining components as a single lease unit.

   c. If observable stand-alone prices are not readily available for any of the components, the federal entity may (1) estimate the prices for each component or (2) account for the entire contract/agreement as a single lease unit.

56. When multiple components are accounted for as a single lease unit, as provided for in paragraphs 55.b. and 55.c., the accounting for that unit should be based on the primary lease component within that unit. For example, the primary lease component’s lease term should be used for the unit if the lease components have different lease terms.

**CONTRACT/AGREEMENT COMBINATIONS**

57. Contract/agreements that are entered into at or near the same time with the same counterparty should be considered to be part of the same lease contract/agreement if either of the following criteria is met:

   a. The contract/agreements are negotiated as a package with a single objective.
b. The amount of consideration to be paid in one contract/agreement depends on the price or performance of the other contract/agreement.

58. If multiple contract/agreements are determined to be part of the same lease contract/agreement, that lease should be evaluated in accordance with the guidance on contract/agreements with multiple components in paragraphs 51–56.

**SHORT-TERM LEASES**

59. A short-term lease is a lease that, at the beginning of the lease, has a maximum possible term under the contract/agreement of 24 months or less, including any options to extend, regardless of its probability of being exercised. For a lease that is cancelable by either the lessee or the lessor, such as a month-to-month lease or a year-to-year lease, the maximum possible term is the noncancelable period, including any notice periods. For a lease that is cancellable only by the lessee, the maximum possible term should be evaluated under the requirements of the lease term as defined in paragraph 14.

**LESSEE TREATMENT OF SHORT-TERM LEASES**

60. A lessee should recognize short-term lease payments as expense based on the payment provisions of the contract/agreement. The lessee should not apply the recognition and measurement requirements of paragraphs 19–35 but should recognize an asset if payments are made in advance of the period to which they relate, or a liability for rent due if payments are made subsequent to that period. The lessee should recognize any rent holiday period (for example, one or more months free) as reductions of lease rental expense on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

**LESSOR TREATMENT OF SHORT-TERM LEASES**

61. A lessor should recognize short-term lease payments as revenue based on the payment provisions of the contract/agreement. The lessor should not apply the recognition and measurement requirements of paragraphs 36–50 but should recognize a liability if payments are received in advance of the period to which they relate, or an asset for rent due if payments are received subsequent to that period. The lessor should recognize any rent holiday period (for example, one or more months free) as reductions of lease rental income on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

**LEASE TERMINATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS**

62. A lease contract/agreement may be amended while it is in effect. Examples of amendments include a change in consideration, a lengthening or shortening of the lease term (see par. 25 and 43), or adding or removing an underlying asset. An amendment to a lease contract/agreement should be considered a lease modification unless the lessee’s right to use the underlying asset decreases. If the lessee’s right to use the underlying asset decreases (for example, the lease term is shortened or the number of underlying assets is reduced), that change should be accounted for as a partial lease termination (see par. 64 - 65).
63. If a lease modification gives the lessee an additional right to use an underlying asset that was not included in the original lease and provides a price comparable to its stand-alone price (in the context of that particular contract/agreement), both the lessee and the lessor should account for that additional portion of the modified lease as a new lease, separate from the original portion of the lease.

**LEASE TERMINATIONS**

**LESSEE TREATMENT OF LEASE TERMINATIONS**

64. A lessee generally should account for the full or partial termination of a lease by reducing the carrying values of the lease asset and lease liability and recognizing a gain or loss for the difference. However, if the lease is terminated as a result of the lessee purchasing the underlying asset from the lessor, the lease asset should be reclassified to the appropriate class of owned asset. The lease liability should be changed to reflect only those payments yet to be made, and that change should be reflected in the cost of the purchased asset.

**LESSOR TREATMENT OF LEASE TERMINATIONS**

65. A lessor should account for the full or partial termination of a lease by reducing the carrying values of the lease receivable and related deferred revenue and recognizing a gain or loss for the difference. If the lease is terminated as a result of the lessee purchasing an underlying asset from the lessor, the carrying value of the underlying asset also should be derecognized and included in the calculation of any resulting gain or loss.

**LEASE MODIFICATIONS**

**LESSEE TREATMENT OF LEASE MODIFICATIONS**

66. A lessee should account for a lease modification by remeasuring the lease liability. The lease asset should be adjusted by the difference between the remeasured liability and the liability immediately before the lease modification. However, if the change reduces the carrying value of the lease asset to zero, any remaining amount should be reported in the flows statement as a gain.

**LESSOR TREATMENT OF LEASE MODIFICATIONS**

67. A lessor should account for a lease modification by remeasuring the lease receivable. The deferred revenue should be adjusted by the difference between the remeasured receivable and the receivable immediately before the lease modification. However, if the change relates to payments for the current period, the change should be recognized in the flows statement for the current period as revenue.

**SUBLEASES**

68. A sublease involves three parties: the original lessor, the original lessee (who also is the lessor in the sublease), and the new lessee. The original lessor should continue to apply the general lessor guidance. The federal entity that is the original lessee and becomes the lessor in the sublease should account for the original lease and the sublease as two separate transactions, as a lessee and a lessor, respectively. Those two separate
transactions should not be offset against one another. The new lessee should apply the general lessee guidance.

69. The original lessee (and now the lessor in a sublease) should include the sublease in its disclosure of the general description of lease arrangements. Its lessor transactions related to subleases should be disclosed separately from its lessee transactions related to the original lease.

SALE-LEASEBACK TRANSACTIONS

70. Sale-leaseback transactions involve the sale of an underlying asset by the owner and a lease of the property back to the seller. A sale-leaseback transaction should include an exchange transaction sale\(^{11}\) in order to be eligible for sale-leaseback accounting. A sale-leaseback transaction that does not include an exchange transaction sale should be accounted for as a borrowing by both the seller-lessee and the buyer-lessee.

71. The sale and leaseback portions of a sale-leaseback transaction should be accounted for as two separate transactions – a sale transaction and a lease transaction – except that the difference between the carrying value of the capital asset that was sold and the net proceeds from the sale should be reported as a deferred revenue or deferred expense to be recognized in the flow statement over the term of the lease. However, if the leaseback portion of the transaction qualifies as a short-term lease, any gain or loss on the sale should be recognized immediately.

72. A sale-leaseback transaction is considered to have off-market terms if there is a significant difference between (a) the sales price and the estimated fair value of the asset or (b) the present value of the contractual lease payments and the estimated present value of what the lease payments for that asset would be at a market price, whichever of the two differences is more readily determinable. The difference should be reported based on the substance of the transaction (for example, as a borrowing, a nonexchange transaction, or an advance lease payment) rather than as a part of the sales-leaseback transaction. The following are examples of off-market terms:

a. A transaction has a sale price and lease payments that are both significantly higher than market

b. A transaction has a sale price that is significantly higher than market but the lease payments are at or below market

c. A transaction has a sale price that is significantly lower than market.

73. A seller-lessee should disclose the terms and conditions of sale-leaseback transactions in addition to the disclosures required of a lessee (par. 35). A buyer-lessee should provide the disclosures required of a lessor (par. 49).

\(^{11}\) See SFFAS 7, Accounting for Revenue and Other Financing Sources and Concepts for Reconciling Budgetary and Financial Accounting, par. 295.
LEASE-LEASEBACK TRANSACTIONS

74. In a lease-leaseback transaction, an asset is leased by one party (first party) to another party and then leased back to the first party. The leaseback part of the transaction may involve an additional asset (such as leasing a building that has been constructed by a developer on land owned by and leased back to a federal entity) or only a portion of the original asset (such as leasing back only one floor of a building to the owner). A lease-leaseback transaction should be accounted for as a net transaction. Both parties to a lease-leaseback transaction should disclose the gross amounts of each portion of the transaction.
STANDARDS FOR INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES

75. This section describes the overall recognition, measurement, and disclosure requirements for intragovernmental leases. An intragovernmental lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use an asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in exchange for consideration occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, Reporting Entity. Any lease that meets the definition of an intragovernmental lease would be required to follow the accounting guidance described in paragraphs 77 – 95 below.

INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES – LESSEE ACCOUNTING

76. The following sections articulate the general recognition guidance for lessees of intragovernmental leases and detailed recognition guidance regarding several specific intragovernmental lease topics, as well as disclosure guidance.

RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT

GENERAL GUIDANCE FOR RECOGNITION OF INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES

77. A lessee should recognize lease payments, including lease-related operating costs (for example, maintenance, utilities, taxes, etc.) paid to the lessor, as expenses based primarily on the payment provisions of the lease. A lessee would not recognize a lease asset and a corresponding liability for an intragovernmental lease. Accordingly, a lessee would not recognize amortization expense related to a lease asset or interest expense on a lease liability.

78. Recognition should be based on the payment provisions of the lease. Prepaid rent or a payable for rent due should be recognized as an asset or liability, respectively, and an expense should be recognized in the appropriate period based on the specifics of the lease provisions.

GUIDANCE FOR RECOGNITION OF SPECIFIC INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASE TOPICS

79. Rental Increases – Rental increases may be fixed in the lease and take place with the passage of time (for example, be based on such factors as anticipated increases in costs or anticipated appreciation in property values, but the amount of the increase is specified in the lease) or they may be contingent on future events.

80. Contingent rental increases are based on changes in specific economic factors, for example, future activity levels or future inflation (for example, tied to a specific economic indicator where the specific amount of the change is not known).

12 SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts, par. 105-115 (as amended by SFFAS 30), continue to apply for non-reimbursed or under reimbursed intragovernmental lease arrangements.
81. If the lease provides for rental increases, a lessee should recognize the expense in the period of the increase as provided in the lease.\textsuperscript{13}

82. **Lease Incentives** – Lease incentives include lessor payments made to or on behalf of the lessee to entice the lessee to sign a lease. Lease incentives may include up-front cash payments to the lessee; for example, moving costs, termination fees to lessee’s prior lessor, or lessor’s assumption of the lessee’s lease obligation under a different lease with another lessor.

83. Lease incentives should be recognized as reductions of lease rental expense by the lessee on a straight-line basis over the lease term. The lessee should recognize the expenses or losses to which the incentives relate in the period the costs are incurred. For example, an incentive equal to the moving expense incurred to occupy the leased space reduces rent expense over the lease term and the moving expense is recognized in the period incurred (that is, when the move occurs).

84. **Lease Concessions** – Lease concessions are rent discounts made by the lessor to entice the lessee to sign a lease. Lease concessions include rent holidays/free rent periods, reduced rents, or commission credits.

85. Lease concessions should be recognized as reductions of lease rental expense by the lessee on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

86. **Leasehold Improvements** – Leasehold improvements are additions, alterations, remodeling, renovations or other changes to a leased property that either extend the useful life of the existing property or enlarge or improve its capacity and are paid for (financed) by the lessee. Leasehold improvements that are placed in service at or after the beginning of the lease term should be amortized over the useful life (the normal operating life in terms of utility to the owner) of the leasehold improvement, but no longer than the expected lease term.

**DISCLOSURES**

87. Lessees should disclose a broad summary of significant intragovernmental leasing arrangements, including

a. existence of intragovernmental leases and annual expense,

b. general lease terms with specific intragovernmental requirements (which may be grouped for purposes of disclosure), and

c. annual lease expense by major leased asset category.

\textsuperscript{13} Par. 79 – 81 outlines the general guidance for increases in rental payments; however guidance for other changes in rental payments is outlined in par. 82 – 85.
The following sections articulate general recognition guidance for lessors of intragovernmental leases and detailed recognition guidance regarding several specific intragovernmental lease topics as well as disclosure guidance.

**RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT**

**GENERAL GUIDANCE FOR RECOGNITION OF INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES**

89. A lessor should recognize lease receipts, including lease-related operating costs (for example, maintenance, utilities, or taxes) received from the lessee as income based primarily on the provisions of the lease.

**GUIDANCE FOR RECOGNITION OF SPECIFIC INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES**

90. **Lease Incentives** – Lease incentives include lessor payments made to or on behalf of the lessee to entice the lessee to sign a lease. Lease incentives may include up-front cash payments to the lessee (for example, moving costs, termination fees to lessee's prior lessor, or lessor's assumption of the lessee's lease obligation under a different lease with another lessor). Lease incentives should be recognized as reductions of lease rental income by the lessor on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

91. **Lease Concessions** – Lease concessions are rent discounts made by the lessor to entice the lessee to sign a lease. Lease concessions include rent holidays/free rent periods, reduced rents, or commission credits.

92. Lease concessions should be recognized by the lessor as reductions in rental income on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

93. **Lessor Improvements** – Lessor improvements are additions, alterations, remodeling, renovations or other changes to a leased property that either extend the useful life of the existing property or enlarge or improve its capacity and are paid for (financed) by the lessor rather than by the lessee. These capital improvements are components of the leased property and should be capitalized and depreciated by the lessor over their useful life consistent with the lessor’s accounting for property, plant, and equipment.\(^\text{14}\)

94. **Initial Direct Costs** – Lessor initial direct costs should be expensed when incurred by the lessor.

---

\(^{14}\) This recognition is consistent with PP&E capital improvements outlined in SFFAS 6, par. 37.
DISCLOSURES

95. Lessors should disclose the following regarding intragovernmental leases:

a. Future lease rental income as of the date of the latest balance sheet presented, in
the aggregate and for each of the five succeeding fiscal years for lease
arrangements over the lease term

b. A broad summary of significant leases (which may be grouped for purposes of
disclosure), including a breakdown of the number of leases with federally-owned
assets and privately-owned assets

AMENDMENTS TO SFFAS 5, ACCOUNTING FOR LIABILITIES OF THE
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND SFFAS 6, ACCOUNTING FOR PROPERTY,
PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

96. This Statement replaces the measurement and reporting requirements for lease accounting
established in SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government, paragraphs
43 – 46. Therefore, the paragraphs marked below are rescinded.

SFFAS 5: Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government

[43.] **Capital leases** are leases that transfer substantially all the benefits and risks of
ownership to the lessee. If, at its inception, a lease meets one or more of the following
four criteria, the lease should be classified as a capital lease by the lessee:
- The lease transfers ownership of the property to the lessee by the end of the lease term.
- The lease contains an option to purchase the leased property at a bargain price.
- The lease term is equal to or greater than 75 percent of the estimated economic life of
the leased property.
- The present value of rental and other minimum lease payments, excluding that portion of
the payments representing executory cost, equals or exceeds 90 percent of the fair value
of the leased property.

The last two criteria are not applicable when the beginning of the lease term falls within
the last 25 percent of the total estimated economic life of the leased property. If a lease
does not meet at least one of the above criteria it should be classified as an operating
lease.

[44.] The amount to be recorded by the lessee as a liability under a capital lease is the
present value of the rental and other minimum lease payments during the lease term,
excluding that portion of the payments representing executory cost to be paid by the
lessee. [footnote 24: “The cost of general property, plant, and equipment acquired under a
capital lease shall be equal to the amount recognized as a liability for the capital lease at
its inception. See SFFAS No. 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment.”]
However, if the amount so determined exceeds the fair value of the leased property at the
inception of the lease, the amount recorded as the liability should be the fair value. If the
portion of the minimum lease payments representing executory cost is not determinable
from the lease provisions, the amount should be estimated.
The discount rate to be used in determining the present value of the minimum lease payments ordinarily would be the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate unless (1) it is practicable for the lessee to learn the implicit rate computed by the lessor and (2) the implicit rate computed by the lessor is less than the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate. If both these conditions are met, the lessee shall use the implicit rate. The lessee’s incremental borrowing rate shall be the Treasury borrowing rate for securities of similar maturity to the term of the lease.

During the lease term, each minimum lease payment should be allocated between a reduction of the obligation and interest expense so as to produce a constant periodic rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability. OMB Circular No. A-11, “Preparation and Submission of Annual Budget Estimates,” explains the measurement of budget authority, outlays, and debt for the budget in the case of lease-purchases and other capital leases. Circular A-94, “Guidelines and Discount Rates for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Federal Programs,” provides the requirements under which a lease-purchase or other capital lease has to be justified and the analytical methods that need to be followed.

This Statement replaces the measurement and reporting requirements for lease accounting established in SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, paragraphs 20 and 29. Therefore, the paragraphs marked below are rescinded.

**SFFAS 6: Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment**

Capital leases are leases that transfer substantially all the benefits and risks of ownership to the lessee. If, at its inception, a lease meets one or more of the following four criteria: Note that the criteria for identifying capital leases for financial reporting purposes differ from OMB criteria for budget scoring of leases. OMB Circular No. A-11, Preparation and Submission of Budget Estimates, includes criteria for identifying operating leases in Appendix B. OMB provides four additional criteria which relate to the level of private sector risk involved in a lease-purchase agreement. This is necessary because, for budget purposes, there is a distinction between lease-purchases with more or less risk. This distinction is not made in the financial reports and, therefore, FASAB does not include the four criteria related to risk levels. the lease should be classified as a capital lease by the lessee. Otherwise, it should be classified as an operating lease.

- The lease transfers ownership of the property to the lessee by the end of the lease term.
- The lease contains an option to purchase the leased property at a bargain price.
- The lease term is equal to or greater than 75 percent of the estimated economic life.
- The present value of rental and other minimum lease payments, excluding that portion of the payments representing executory cost, equals or exceeds 90 percent of the fair value.
arm’s-length transaction between unrelated parties (e.g., between a willing buyer and a willing seller). (adapted from Kohler’s Dictionary for Accountants) of the leased property.

The last two criteria are not applicable when the beginning of the lease term falls within the last 25 percent of the total estimated economic life of the leased property.

[29.] The cost of general PP&E acquired under a capital lease shall be equal to the amount recognized as a liability for the capital lease at its inception (i.e., the net present value of the lease payments calculated as specified in the liability standard [footnote 21; See Statement of Recommended Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government] unless the net present value exceeds the fair value of the asset).

98. This Statement also amends Technical Release (TR) 16, Implementation Guidance for Internal Use Software, paragraphs 26, 27, and 29 to delete the “capital and operating lease” references, as follows.

Technical Release 16: Implementation Guidance for Internal Use Software

[26.] Software License: If the term of software license(s) is 2 years or more with periodic payments, the license should be evaluated against lease criteria as stated in SFFAS X SFFAS 5 paragraphs 43-46 and SFFAS 6, paragraph 20 to determine if it is a capital or operating lease. If the license(s) is perpetual with an upfront cost [footnote 9: The cost could be charged as a one-time payment or financed over a set period of time.] to use the software for its entire lifetime, then the entity is purchasing IUS and should apply its existing policy for capitalization thresholds to determine if the license should be capitalized or expensed.

[27.] A license agreement may include executory costs for maintenance and technical support. Agency judgment should apply in determining what portions of license fees are attributable to software capitalizable costs versus executory costs. Assuming lease capitalization criteria and thresholds are met, software license capitalization amounts [footnote 10: SFFAS X SFFAS 5, paragraph 44.] may be derived from the payment schedule contained in the license agreement. As stated in SFFAS 5, if the portion of the minimum lease payments representing executory cost is not determinable from the lease provisions, the amount should be estimated. Agencies may also want to consider having each license agreement specifically identify the various costs throughout the license lifecycle, for example, initial license, maintenance, and enhancement.

[29.] If a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses in accordance with the lease criteria stated in SFFAS X SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6, and as discussed in paragraph 26 of this TR. SFFAS 10 is not applicable to a cloud computing arrangement that does not convey a contractual right to the IUS or to ones that do not include an IUS license. The entity that develops and owns the software, platform, or infrastructure that is used in the cloud computing arrangement would account for the software development in accordance with SFFAS 10. If the funding to develop cloud computing is shared among entities without clear ownership, the service provider entity that receives funding and is responsible for
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maintenance the software, platform, or infrastructure should account for the software in accordance with SFFAS 10 and the full cost/inter-entity cost requirements of SFFAS 4.

IMPLEMENTATION

99. This Statement requires that leases unexpired at the beginning of the reporting period in which the standard is implemented be recognized and measured using the facts and circumstances that exist at the beginning of the reporting period. Therefore, in the period of implementation,

   a. the determination of the lease term would assume that the lease term began as of the beginning of the period of implementation and

   b. the lease liability and lease asset should initially be measured based on the remaining lease term and associated lease payments as of the beginning of the period of implementation.

100. The following implementation addresses specific leasing circumstances.

   a. Prospective Implementation - Entities should report the effect of implementing this Statement on existing leases prospectively in accordance with paragraph 13 of SFFAS 21, Reporting Correction of Errors and Changes in Accounting Principles, Amendment of SFFAS 7, Accounting for Revenue and Other Financing Sources. Accordingly, any changes in assets or liabilities related to existing leases should be treated prospectively. The change should be accounted for in the period of implementation and applicable future periods. No adjustments should be made to previously reported expenses or revenue.

   b. Lease Term - The lease term should be determined based on the provisions of this Statement (see par. 14 – 18). However, the lease term of an existing lease should be based on the number of years remaining in the lease contract/agreement as of the beginning of the period of implementation and not the number of years in the initial lease term. For example, if the initial lease term was 20 years, with no options, at the beginning of Year 20X1 and the entity implements this Statement in Year 20X7 (6 years into the lease at the beginning of Year 20X7), the initial lease term upon implementation would be 14 years.

   c. Short-term leases - A short-term lease would be determined based on the provisions of this Statement (see par. 59 – 61). However, if the remaining lease term of an existing lease meets the definition of a short-term lease (has a maximum possible term under the contract or arrangement of 24 months or less, including any options to extend, regardless of their probability of being exercised) that lease should apply the short-term lease guidance. For example, if the initial lease term was 60 months as of the beginning of Year 20X1, with no options to extend, and the entity implements this Statement in Year 20X5 (48 months into the lease at the beginning of Year 20X5); the initial lease term at implementation would be 12 months and the lease would meet the definition of a short-term lease. Hence, the entity should account for the lease as a short-term lease.
EFFECTIVE DATE

101. The requirements of this Statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after September 30, 2018. Early adoption is not permitted.

The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items.
This appendix discusses some factors considered significant by Board members in reaching the conclusions in this Statement. It includes the reasons for accepting certain approaches and rejecting others. Individual members gave greater weight to some factors than to others. The standards enunciated in this Statement—not the material in this appendix—should govern the accounting for specific transactions, events, or conditions.

PROJECT HISTORY

A1. This project was undertaken primarily because
   a. the current lease accounting standards, Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government and SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, have been criticized as ineffective because they do not make meaningful distinctions between capital and operating leases based on the substance of lease transactions, and
   b. the lease accounting standards in SFFAS 5 and 6 are based on Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) lease accounting standards which have been amended; in addition existing FASAB standards are not comprehensive and do not provide meaningful information on federal leasing activities.

A2. Lease accounting was first addressed by the FASAB during the development of SFFAS 5 and 6. At that time the Board decided to use the high level language on lease accounting from FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 13 Accounting for Leases [subsequently codified in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) - Topic 840 Leases]. This minimal lease guidance included the definition of a capital lease, the criteria for capital leases, and the measurement of a capital lease asset and liability. The Board had plans to use this preliminary guidance as a placeholder until it was prepared to add lease accounting to its agenda as a separate project. Lease accounting had been on the list of potential Board agenda items each time the Board has considered its agenda for new projects.

A3. There are several areas of lease accounting that were covered by the FASB standards that were never specifically covered in the FASAB standards. Some of those topics include leasehold improvements, lease terms, leveraged leases, and subleases. The federal community often stressed that the federal standards on lease accounting should be comprehensive to alleviate questions on when and if FASB standards apply to federal entities when the federal standards are silent on a topic.

A4. In August 2011, FASAB began a project to revise its current standards on lease accounting. FASAB staff formed a task force to assist in developing the proposed standards for leases. Task force members included accounting, budget, and subject matter experts from federal agencies and independent public accounting firms.

A5. The task force met several times over the course of the project and also exchanged numerous ideas and recommendations electronically. The task force views and recommendations were sought by staff in developing and describing alternatives to
present to the Board during the development of these standards. The task force’s assistance was essential and its views carefully considered by members during deliberations. The task force played an important role in the research and release of this exposure draft.

A6. In evaluating an approach applicable to federal leases, the Board considered the approaches used in the following documents:
- Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 13, *Accounting for Leases* [Superseded by FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 840, which was subsequently superseded by ASC 842]
- International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) International Accounting Standard (IAS) 17, *Leases*, which was superseded by International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 16.
- International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board (IPSASB) International Public Sector Accounting Standard (IPSAS) 13, *Leases*.

A7. At the inception of the project the Board decided to coordinate with GASB on the lease project because of the similarities among governmental entities regarding lease activities and reporting objectives. Staff worked closely with GASB staff during the development of the proposals. In 2014 FASAB and GASB met jointly to discuss similar issues related to each of their ongoing lease accounting projects. As a result of this collaboration, similar wording may appear in some sections of the FASAB and GASB standards.15

A8. This Statement amends the lease accounting standards in SFFAS 5, SFFAS 6, and TR 16. This Statement also establishes distinct standards for intragovernmental leases.

**KEY AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT**

A9. This lease proposal will improve the existing lease accounting standards in SFFAS 5 and SFFAS 6 by
   a. providing relevant and meaningful financial information needed by federal financial statement users and
   b. providing comprehensive lease standards that appropriately address the various lease transactions/activities of the federal community.

A10. The Board believes that in a lease transaction, a lessee receives the right to use an underlying asset (the asset that is subject to the lease, such as a vehicle or building) at the beginning of the lease term. In exchange, the lessee promises to make payments over time for the right to use that underlying asset. The guidance in SFFAS 5 and 6 was based on the notion that some leases are essentially financed purchases of the underlying asset (classified as capital leases) and other leases (classified as operating leases) are not. The classification of a lease as capital or operating depended on whether the lease met any of

---

15 The GASB material is copyrighted by the Financial Accounting Foundation, 401 Merritt 7, Norwalk, CT 06856, USA, and is used with permission.
four tests. Those tests were intended to determine whether most of the risks and benefits of ownership of the underlying asset were transferred to the lessee. Those tests have been criticized because their bright-line nature often resulted in very similar leases being accounted for in different ways.

**SCOPE**

A11. For purposes of applying this Statement, a lease is defined as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction. Leases include contracts or agreements that, although not explicitly identified as leases, meet the definition of a lease (which reflects the substance of a lease). This definition does not include contracts or agreements for services unless that contract or agreement also conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

A12. This Statement does not apply to leases of federal natural resources as defined in Technical Bulletin (TB) 2011-1, *Accounting for Federal Natural Resources Other than Oil and Gas* and leases of federal oil and gas resources as defined in SFFAS 38, *Accounting for Federal Oil and Gas Resources*.

A13. GASB’s Leases exposure draft specifically excludes, “contracts that meet the definition of a service concession arrangement in paragraph 4 of Statement No. 60, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Arrangements* (SCAs).” Currently FASAB standards are silent on SCAs. Through its discussions the lease task force identified several federal entities that have SCAs, and there was a concern that the proposed lease definition could inadvertently include SCAs. The Board considered specifically excluding SCAs from the lease standard. To accomplish the exclusion, the Board considered adopting GASB’s definition of SCA from Statement No. 60 due to the lack of a federal definition of SCA.

A14. Because SCAs are not currently addressed in federal accounting standards, the Board decided that specifically excluding SCAs from the lease standard would raise more questions. Furthermore, SCAs are expected to be addressed in the public-private partnership recognition and measurement project and therefore the Board agreed to remain silent on SCAs in the lease proposal. In conclusion, the Board believes the GAAP hierarchy will continue to guide preparers and auditors in accounting for SCAs.

**DEFINITIONS**

A15. In this Statement, a lease is defined as a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction. In the early stages of the project the Board deliberated over the use of “contract” or “agreement” in the definition of a lease. The Board considered the GASB approach that the term contract is more precise and limiting and requires that a lease be legally enforceable. Because legal enforceability is not the primary driver in

---

16 Examples of nonfinancial assets include land, buildings, vehicles, equipment, internal use software, and intangible assets. Examples of financial assets include cash, investments, and receivables.
intragovernmental leasing transactions, the Board decided to add “agreement” in addition to “contract” in the lease definition to alleviate ambiguity in the application of the definition, especially in the case of intragovernmental leases which are often referred to as “lease agreements.”

**LEASE TERM -- RENEWAL AND TERMINATION OPTIONS**

A16. Federal leases often include lessee options to renew, extend, or terminate a lease. Due to federal budget scoring rules and fiscal funding mechanisms, many federal leases include relatively short noncancelable periods. The Board concluded that the lease term used to measure the lease liability should not be limited to the noncancelable lease periods, but should include certain probable renewal option periods and consider the probability of termination options being exercised, so that the lease term reflects how long the lease is expected to be in effect.

A17. The probability of a renewal or termination option being exercised applies only if that option pertains to the lessee alone. If either the lessee or the lessor has the option to cancel a lease (or if both parties have to agree to renew), the lease contract/agreement is not enforceable beyond that point. In those cases when either the lessee or the lessor could cancel the lease at any time, for example month-to-month lease holdovers, rolling lease extensions, or any lease that continues into a holdover period until a new contract or agreement is signed, the contract or agreement would be considered cancelable. When a lease contains a lessor-only option to terminate the lease, a lessee would have difficulty evaluating the likelihood of that option being exercised. This difficulty and the resulting cost outweigh the potential benefits of including the extra periods in the lease term. The Board also agreed that the presence of a bargain purchase option in a lease contract/agreement is not equivalent to a provision that transfers ownership of the underlying asset; therefore, a bargain purchase option should be treated as any other purchase option included in a lease.

A18. The Board considered several potential probability thresholds for including a period covered by a renewal or termination option in the lease term. The Board considered its own definition of probable, GASB’s definition of probable, and FASB’s probability threshold “reasonably certain.” FASAB’s probable definition equates to more likely than not (>50% probability). GASB’s probable definition equates to likely to occur and has a higher threshold of probability than more likely than not. FASB’s reasonably certain has an even higher threshold than likely to occur. The Board agreed to retain its definition of probable because it is more clearly defined and there seemed to be no compelling reason to introduce a new term for the sake of a higher threshold.

**REMEASUREMENT**

A19. This Statement requires that when a lease liability is remeasured, the corresponding lease asset be adjusted by the same dollar amount (except in cases of impairment and in cases in which the adjustment would cause the asset to be reported as a negative amount). While acknowledging that adjusting the lease asset for a change in the lease liability
results in the lease asset no longer being measured at adjusted historical cost, the Board believes that such an adjustment is practical.

NON-INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES

RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT FOR LESSEES – LEASE LIABILITY

A20. SFFAC 5 defines a liability as a present obligation of the federal government to provide assets or services to another entity at a determinable date, when a specified event occurs, or on demand. The Board believes that the lessee taking possession of the underlying asset or gaining access to use the underlying asset is an event that creates such an obligation until the end of the lease term.

A21. The Board believes the present value of future lease payments to be made for the lease term, which represent the obligations of the lessee under the lease contract/agreement, is the appropriate measurement of the liability. Such a calculation is consistent with the premise that a lease is a financing transaction and supports recognition of the cost of the financing.

RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT FOR LESSEES – LEASE ASSET

A22. Assets are defined in SFFAC 5 as resources that embodies economic benefits or services the federal government controls. Lessees should recognize a lease asset to correspond with the lease liability. At the beginning of a lease, the lessee obtains the right to use the underlying asset and that right is a resource embodying economic benefits. The Board believes this right meets the definition of an asset. Because the lease liability represents the amount to be paid for the lease asset, the Board concluded that the initial measurement of the lease asset should be based on the measurement of the associated lease liability. PP&E assets generally are measured at historical cost, which is the amount paid for those assets. Therefore, measuring the lease asset based on the lease liability is consistent with historical cost accounting applicable to PP&E.

RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT FOR LESSORS

A23. Symmetry between the lessee and lessor accounting models is important in establishing accounting and financial reporting standards. The Board believes that federal entity lessees and lessors should account for the same transaction in a way that mirrors how the other party accounts for it.

A24. The lease contract gives the lessor the right to receive payments in exchange for the lessee’s right to use the underlying asset. The Board believes that right meets the definition of an asset in SFFAC 5. Assets are defined as a resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the federal government controls. The right to receive payments is a resource that can be drawn upon, and the lessor presently controls that right.

SHORT-TERM LEASE EXCEPTION
A25. The Board considered the short-term lease exception GASB proposed, which requires governments to recognize leases with useful lives or maturities of less than one year. The Board decided to align the lease short-term exception with the PP&E standard which defines PP&E as a tangible asset with an estimated useful life of 24 months or more. The reporting of short-term leases in this Statement is intended to reduce the cost to federal entities of implementing these standards. This short-term exception eliminates the need for preparers to calculate amounts for short-term lease assets and liabilities. This exception requires lessees and lessors to recognize expense and revenue based on the payment provisions of those lease contracts/agreements with useful lives or maturities of less than two years. This measurement approach is not cash-basis recognition, as federal entities are still required to recognize receivables and payables for lease payments paid or received before or after the period to which they apply.

INTRAGOVERNMENTAL LEASES

A26. During the research phase of the project, the General Services Administration (GSA) provided an educational session to the Board where GSA representatives explained in-depth GSA’s role in federal leasing. Based primarily on that discussion, the Board agreed that intragovernmental leases should be accounted for differently than leases between federal entities and non-federal entities. The Board agreed that a simplified approach for recognizing intragovernmental leases would be pragmatic and cost efficient.

A27. This Statement provides the overall recognition, measurement, and disclosure requirements for intragovernmental leases. An intragovernmental lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use an asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in exchange for consideration occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, *Reporting Entity*.

A28. The terms “intragovernmental” and “inter-entity” have been used interchangeably. Earlier FASAB standards predominately used “inter-entity.” However, government-wide usage of “intragovernmental” has become more common, and therefore the Board decided to use intragovernmental in this Statement to describe leases occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47.

A29. This Statement provides general guidance on the recognition and measurement of lease rental increases, as well as guidance on other changes in the lease payments, such as lease incentives and lease concessions. The Board believes that rent increases are related to economic events, while lease incentives and concessions are more closely aligned with marketing cost. Therefore lessee rental increases should be recognized as expense in the period of the increase as provided in the lease; lease incentives and concessions should be recognized by the lessee/lessor as reductions of lease rental expense/lease rental income on a straight-line basis over the lease term.
This appendix illustrates the application of the provisions of this Statement to assist in clarifying their meaning. The facts assumed in these examples are illustrative only and are not intended to modify or limit the requirements of this Statement or to indicate the Board's endorsement of the situations or methods illustrated. Additionally, these illustrations are not intended to provide guidance on determining the application of materiality. Application of the provisions of this Statement may require assessing facts and circumstances other than those illustrated here and require reference to other applicable Standards.

The following flowchart\textsuperscript{17} is intended to aid in the application of the provisions for contracts with multiple components of this Statement – paragraphs 51 - 56.

---
\textsuperscript{17} This illustration has been adapted from the GASB Lease ED, Appendix C, Flowchart for Allocation of Consideration to Multiple Components.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>Accounting Standards Codification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Consolidated financial report of the U.S. Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Exposure draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASAB</td>
<td>Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASB</td>
<td>Financial Accounting Standards Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAAP</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAO</td>
<td>Government Accountability Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASB</td>
<td>Governmental Accounting Standards Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSASB</td>
<td>International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP&amp;E</td>
<td>Property, Plant, and Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCA</td>
<td>Service Concession Arrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFAS</td>
<td>Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FASB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFFAC</td>
<td>Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFFAS</td>
<td>Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>Technical Bulletin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Technical Release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Lease**

A lease is a contract or agreement that conveys the right to use a nonfinancial asset (the underlying asset) for a period of time in an exchange transaction.

**Intragovernmental Lease**

A lease occurring within a consolidation entity or between two or more consolidation entities as defined under SFFAS 47, *Reporting Entity*.18

**Probable**

That which can reasonably be expected or believed to be more likely than not on the basis of available evidence or logic but which is neither certain nor proven.

**Lease Option Periods**

Lease option periods are additional lease periods beyond the initial lease term – the options may be included in the initial lease or may be agreed to later in the lease term.

**Initial Direct Costs**

Initial direct costs are costs that are directly attributable to negotiating and arranging a lease or portfolio of leases and would not have been incurred without entering into the lease.

**Short-Term Lease**

A short-term lease is a lease that, at the beginning of the lease, has a maximum possible term under the contract or arrangement of 24 months or less, including any options to extend, regardless of their probability of being exercised.

**Lessee’s Incremental Borrowing Rate**

The lessee’s incremental borrowing rate is the estimated rate that would be charged for borrowing the lease payment amounts for the lease term.

**Discount Rate**

A discount rate is an interest rate that is used in present value calculations to equate amounts that will be received or paid in the future to their present value.

---

18 SFFAS 47, *Reporting Entity*, outlines the characteristics as a whole that an organization would have to be considered a consolidated entity (see SFFAS 47 par. 38-42).
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Excerpts from FASB ASU section 842 Leases

> Identifying a Lease

842-10-15-2 At inception of a contract, an entity shall determine whether that contract is or contains a lease.

842-10-15-3 A contract is or contains a lease if the contract conveys the right to control the use of identified property, plant, or equipment (an identified asset) for a period of time in exchange for consideration. A period of time may be described in terms of the amount of use of an identified asset (for example, the number of production units that an item of equipment will be used to produce).

842-10-15-4 To determine whether a contract conveys the right to control the use of an identified asset (see paragraphs 842-10-15-17 through 15-26) for a period of time, an entity shall assess whether, throughout the period of use, the customer has both of the following:

a. The right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the identified asset (see paragraphs 842-10-15-17 through 15-19)
b. The right to direct the use of the identified asset (see paragraphs 842-10-15-20 through 15-26).

If the customer in the contract is a joint operation or a joint arrangement, an entity shall consider whether the joint operation or joint arrangement has the right to control the use of an identified asset throughout the period of use.

842-10-15-5 If the customer has the right to control the use of an identified asset for only a portion of the term of the contract, the contract contains a lease for that portion of the term.

842-10-15-6 An entity shall reassess whether a contract is or contains a lease only if the terms and conditions of the contract are changed.

842-10-15-7 In making the determination about whether a contract is or contains a lease, an entity shall consider all relevant facts and circumstances.

842-10-15-8 Paragraph 842-10-55-1 includes a flowchart that depicts the decision process for evaluating whether a contract is or contains a lease.

> > Identified Asset

842-10-15-9 An asset typically is identified by being explicitly specified in a contract. However, an asset also can be identified by being implicitly specified at the time that the asset is made available for use by the customer.

> > > Substantive Substitution Rights
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842-10-15-10 Even if an asset is specified, a customer does not have the right to use an identified asset if the supplier has the substantive right to substitute the asset throughout the period of use. A supplier’s right to substitute an asset is substantive only if both of the following conditions exist:

a. The supplier has the practical ability to substitute alternative assets throughout the period of use (for example, the customer cannot prevent the supplier from substituting an asset, and alternative assets are readily available to the supplier or could be sourced by the supplier within a reasonable period of time).

b. The supplier would benefit economically from the exercise of its right to substitute the asset (that is, the economic benefits associated with substituting the asset are expected to exceed the costs associated with substituting the asset).

842-10-15-11 An entity’s evaluation of whether a supplier’s substitution right is substantive is based on facts and circumstances at inception of the contract and shall exclude consideration of future events that, at inception, are not considered likely to occur. Examples of future events that, at inception of the contract, would not be considered likely to occur and, thus, should be excluded from the evaluation include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. An agreement by a future customer to pay an above-market rate for use of the asset
b. The introduction of new technology that is not substantially developed at inception of the contract
c. A substantial difference between the customer’s use of the asset, or the performance of the asset and the use or performance considered likely at inception of the contract
d. A substantial difference between the market price of the asset during the period of use and the market price considered likely at inception of the contract.

842-10-15-12 If the asset is located at the customer’s premises or elsewhere, the costs associated with substitution are generally higher than when located at the supplier’s premises and, therefore, are more likely to exceed the benefits associated with substituting the asset.

842-10-15-13 If the supplier has a right or an obligation to substitute the asset only on or after either a particular date or the occurrence of a specified event, the supplier does not have the practical ability to substitute alternative assets throughout the period of use.

842-10-15-14 The supplier’s right or obligation to substitute an asset for repairs or maintenance, if the asset is not operating properly, or if a technical upgrade becomes available, does not preclude the customer from having the right to use an identified asset.

842-10-15-15 If the customer cannot readily determine whether the supplier has a substantive substitution right, the customer shall presume that any substitution right is not substantive.

> > > Portions of Assets

842-10-15-16 A capacity portion of an asset is an identified asset if it is physically distinct (for example, a floor of a building or a segment of a pipeline that connects a single customer to the larger pipeline). A capacity or other portion of an asset that is not physically distinct (for
example, a capacity portion of a fiber optic cable) is not an identified asset, unless it represents substantially all of the capacity of the asset and thereby provides the customer with the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset.

> > Right to Control the Use of the Identified Asset

> > > Right to Obtain the Economic Benefits from the Use of the Identified Asset

**842-10-15-17** To control the use of an identified asset, a customer is required to have the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the asset throughout the period of use (for example, by having exclusive use of the asset throughout that period). A customer can obtain economic benefits from use of an asset directly or indirectly in many ways, such as by using, holding, or subleasing the asset. The economic benefits from use of an asset include its primary output and by-products (including potential cash flows derived from these items) and other economic benefits from using the asset that could be realized from a commercial transaction with a third party.

**842-10-15-18** When assessing the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of an asset, an entity shall consider the economic benefits that result from use of the asset within the defined scope of a customer’s right to use the asset in the contract (see paragraph 842-10-15-23). For example:

- a. If a contract limits the use of a motor vehicle to only one particular territory during the period of use, an entity shall consider only the economic benefits from use of the motor vehicle within that territory and not beyond.
- b. If a contract specifies that a customer can drive a motor vehicle only up to a particular number of miles during the period of use, an entity shall consider only the economic benefits from use of the motor vehicle for the permitted mileage and not beyond.

**842-10-15-19** If a contract requires a customer to pay the supplier or another party a portion of the cash flows derived from use of an asset as consideration, those cash flows paid as consideration shall be considered to be part of the economic benefits that the customer obtains from use of the asset. For example, if a customer is required to pay the supplier a percentage of sales from use of retail space as consideration for that use, that requirement does not prevent the customer from having the right to obtain substantially all of the economic benefits from use of the retail space. That is because the cash flows arising from those sales are considered to be economic benefits that the customer obtains from use of the retail space, a portion of which it then pays to the supplier as consideration for the right to use that space.

> > > Right to Direct the Use of the Identified Asset

**842-10-15-20** A customer has the right to direct the use of an identified asset throughout the period of use in either of the following situations:

- a. The customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout the period of use (as described in paragraphs 842-10-15-24 through 15-26).
b. The relevant decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used are
predetermined (see paragraph 842-10-15-21) and at least one of the following conditions exists:

1. The customer has the right to operate the asset (or to direct others to operate
the asset in a manner that it determines) throughout the period of use without the
supplier having the right to change those operating instructions.
2. The customer designed the asset (or specific aspects of the asset) in a way
that predetermines how and for what purpose the asset will be used throughout
the period of use.

842-10-15-21 The relevant decisions about how and for what purpose an asset is used can be
predetermined in a number of ways. For example, the relevant decisions can be predetermined
by the design of the asset or by contractual restrictions on the use of the asset.

842-10-15-22 In assessing whether a customer has the right to direct the use of an asset, an
entity shall consider only rights to make decisions about the use of the asset during the period
of use unless the customer designed the asset (or specific aspects of the asset) in accordance
with paragraph 842-10-15-20(b)(2).

Consequently, unless that condition exists, an entity shall not consider decisions that are
predetermined before the period of use. For example, if a customer is able only to specify the
output of an asset before the period of use, the customer does not have the right to direct the
use of that asset. The ability to specify the output in a contract before the period of use, without
any other decision-making rights relating to the use of the asset, gives a customer the same
rights as any customer that purchases goods or services.

>> >> >> Protective Rights

842-10-15-23 A contract may include terms and conditions designed to protect the supplier’s
interest in the asset or other assets, to protect its personnel, or to ensure the supplier’s
compliance with laws or regulations. These are examples of protective rights. For example, a
contract may specify the maximum amount of use of an asset or limit where or when the
customer can use the asset, may require a customer to follow particular operating practices, or
may require a customer to inform the supplier of changes in how an asset will be used.
Protective rights typically define the scope of the customer’s right of use but do not, in isolation,
prevent the customer from having the right to direct the use of an asset.

>> >> >> How and for What Purpose an Asset Is Used

842-10-15-24 A customer has the right to direct how and for what purpose an asset is used
throughout the period of use if, within the scope of its right of use defined in the contract, it can
change how and for what purpose the asset is used throughout that period. In making this
assessment, an entity considers the decision-making rights that are most relevant to changing
how and for what purpose an asset is used throughout the period of use. Decision-making rights
are relevant when they affect the economic benefits to be derived from use. The decision-
making rights that are most relevant are likely to be different for different contracts, depending on the nature of the asset and the terms and conditions of the contract.

842-10-15-25 Examples of decision-making rights that, depending on the circumstances, grant the right to direct how and for what purpose an asset is used, within the defined scope of the customer’s right of use, include the following:

a. The right to change the type of output that is produced by the asset (for example, deciding whether to use a shipping container to transport goods or for storage, or deciding on the mix of products sold from a retail unit)
b. The right to change when the output is produced (for example, deciding when an item of machinery or a power plant will be used)
c. The right to change where the output is produced (for example, deciding on the destination of a truck or a ship or deciding where a piece of equipment is used or deployed)
d. The right to change whether the output is produced and the quantity of that output (for example, deciding whether to produce energy from a power plant and how much energy to produce from that power plant).

842-10-15-26 Examples of decision-making rights that do not grant the right to direct how and for what purpose an asset is used include rights that are limited to operating or maintaining the asset. Although rights such as those to operate or maintain an asset often are essential to the efficient use of an asset, they are not rights to direct how and for what purpose the asset is used and often are dependent on the decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used. Such rights (that is, to operate or maintain the asset) can be held by the customer or the supplier. The supplier often holds those rights to protect its investment in the asset. However, rights to operate an asset may grant the customer the right to direct the use of the asset if the relevant decisions about how and for what purpose the asset is used are predetermined (see paragraph 842-10-15-20(b)(1)).

842-10-15-27 See Examples 1 through 10 (paragraphs 842-10-55-41 through 55-130) for illustrations of the requirements for identifying a lease.
History of Board Lease Discussions

- At the April 2017 meeting, five federal entities addressed the Board; each entity was given 40 minutes for opening remarks and questions from Board members.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENCY</th>
<th>PRESENTERS’ NAMES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Agriculture</td>
<td>Michael Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Energy</td>
<td>William Truitt, John Wall, and Tynesha Douglass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of the Interior</td>
<td>Terri Windlan and Sherry Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
<td>Alaleh Jenkins, Edwin Oshiba, Jim Omans, Michael Walsh, and Steven Hurwitz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services Administration</td>
<td>Robert (Bob) Smalskas and Edward Gramp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Showalter began the clarification discussion by informing the Board that the objective of the session was to listen to the presenters, ask questions of the presenters, and give staff direction on the next steps of the project.

During the discussion several points were made by the presenters, including some of the following:

- The lease proposal will require additional cost to entities by way of added personnel and system upgrades, both during the implementation period and ongoing periods. Most of the presenters were not prepared to give specific estimates of those additional costs.
- Implementation guidance with specific examples will be helpful in the application of the revised lease standards, including related U.S. Standard General Ledger (USSGL) accounts.
- Departments will need to assess the remeasurement of the lease liability quarterly.
- The proposed lease definition should be clearer and more narrowly scoped.
- Clarification is needed for contracts for services when an asset is involved; for example, how a department should unbundle the contracts.
The Board asked staff to address some of the issues raised by the presenters.

- Staff should develop a list of possible items to be scoped out, including intangibles.
- Staff should get a clearer understanding of the benefits associated with revising the leases standards.
- Staff should explore options to ease the anticipated burden and cost of the lease revisions to federal preparers.

Next Steps: Staff will reach out to the presenters as well as other members of the lease task force to address the issues identified by the Board and return with recommendations.

- At the February Board meeting, staff provided to the Board the 25 comment letters received on the Leases ED, several tables that summarized the comment letters, an initial staff analysis of issues identified by respondents, and questions for Board discussion.

Members agreed to extend an invitation to all respondents of the ED to address the Board and provide further clarification on their responses to the Leases ED at the April meeting.

The Board agreed with staff that implementation guidance would be necessary to assist entities to effectively implement the amendments to the Leases standards.

The Board discussed issues raised in the responses to the Leases ED. There were no Board decisions based on staff's recommendations because members wanted additional feedback from the respondents on the following issues:

- The addition of the notion of control to the lease definition as well as language connecting the definition to that of an asset in Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts 5, Definitions of Elements and Basic Recognition Criteria for Accrual-Basis Financial Statements
- The change of the term “nonfinancial asset” to “nonmonetary asset” in the final amended Leases Statement
- The method used in determining the lease term, including what is considered the “noncancelable period” proposed in the ED
- The probability threshold used when assessing whether renewal and termination options will be exercised
- The initial lessee recognition proposed in the ED
- The recognition and measurement of the lease liability by the lessee proposed in the ED
- The interest rate used to calculate the lease liability proposed in the ED
- The circumstances when the lessee must remeasure the lease liability proposed in the ED
• The recognition and measurement of the lease asset by the lessee proposed in the ED
• The lease term of 24 months or less for a short-term lease proposed in the ED
• The change of the definition of short-term lease from “a lease that, at the beginning of the lease, has a maximum possible term under the contract/agreement of 24 months or less, including any options to extend, regardless of its probability of being exercised” to “a lease with a lease term (as defined in paragraph 14) of 24 months or less”

• The change of the effective date of the amended leases standards to fiscal year 2020

Next Steps: Staff will extend an invitation to all 25 respondents of the Leases ED to allow them to speak before the Board to provide clarification on their responses to the ED and for the members to ask questions of the respondents. The clarifying discussion will take place on April 26, the first day of the next FASAB meeting. Staff will also prepare a package for the Board with materials to facilitate the discussion. Additionally, staff will continue with an analysis of the comments for Board consideration.

❖ The Board released for public comment on September 26, 2016 the proposed SFFAS entitled Leases: An Amendment of SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government and SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment, which was. The Board requested comments on the exposure draft by January 6, 2017.

❖ At the August Board meeting, staff provided to the Board a pre-ballot draft ED on lease accounting. The objective of the session was for the Board to provide feedback to staff and approve the Lease ED pre-ballot draft.

The following topics were presented by staff and discussed by the Board.

• **Comment Period End Date** – The Board agreed to the comment period end date of January 6, 2017.

• **Proposed Effective Date** – Staff proposed an effective date of periods beginning after September 30, 2019. Members agreed to propose an effective date of September 30, 2018, and revise the date to September 30, 2019, if the Board gets a negative reaction to the 2018 effective date. The Board also agreed to not allow early adoption of the standard to maintain consistency among the reporting entities.

• **Public Hearing** – Staff proposed scheduling a public hearing for April 26, 2017, and providing notice of the public hearing in the ED. The Board agreed that scheduling a public hearing should be determined based on the responses to the
comment letters. Therefore, the ED will not include the scheduling of a public hearing; however, the possibility of a public hearing will be noted in the ED.

- **Remeasurement Respondent Questions** – The Board agreed to add a question to the ED to address the effect of remeasurement on the lease liability and asset, as well as language in the basis for conclusions to reflect the Board’s rationale. They also agreed to add a question to address whether certain remeasurement triggers would cause significant costs to the preparer.

- **Implementation Guidance** – The Board agreed to propose the prospective implementation approach, which requires that leases unexpired at the beginning of the reporting period be recognized and measured using the facts and circumstances that exist at the beginning of the period of implementation. This approach includes examples of implementation scenarios.

Next steps: The next steps are to incorporate all of the Board’s edits, send the Board a ballot draft of the ED for approval, and release the ED by the end of September.

At the June Board meeting, staff provided to the Board a draft ED on lease accounting and task force responses to several lease-related questions posed by staff. The objective of the session was to review responses to seven questions staff had posed to the lease task force related to issues in which the Board had requested additional information and review a draft Lease ED.

The Board made the following tentative decisions.

- The Board agreed with the staff recommendation to propose the use of FASAB’s definition of probable (more likely than not – >50%) as the probability threshold in the Lease ED. The Board also directed staff to include probability threshold as a question in the ED.

- The Board agreed to propose that the leased asset be classified as property, plant, and equipment (PP&E) unless the underlying asset is not PP&E; in those cases the leased asset would be classified to align with the nature of the underlying asset.

- The Board agreed that the basis for conclusions should tell the story of how the Board arrived at its conclusions and the Board’s collaboration with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The basis for conclusions should not specifically note when FASAB’s proposed guidance diverges from GASB’s guidance unless the Board deliberated on GASB’s approach. In those instances when the Board considered GASB’s approach, staff will include the highlights of the discussion and how the Board came to its conclusions.

- The Board agreed to add some verbiage to the basis for conclusions on rent increases, lease incentives, and lease concessions.

Next steps: Staff will make the revisions discussed at the meeting to the draft ED and provide a pre-ballot draft for the August meeting.
At the April Board meeting staff members presented to the Board one issue related to developing the exposure draft (ED) of the proposed standards on non-intragridgovernmental lease accounting. The Board previously directed staff to use the Governmental Accounting Standards Board’s (GASB) proposal on Leases as a platform for developing the federal standards on non-intragovernmental lease—the GASB ED was released for comment in January 2016.

The objective of the session was to address the issue of service concession arrangements (SCAs) in the proposed lease standards. Staff noted GASB’s Leases ED specifically excludes, “Contracts that meet the definition of a service concession arrangement in paragraph 4 of Statement No. 60, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Service Concession Arrangements.” Currently FASAB standards are silent on SCAs.

The Board agreed that because SCAs is a topic not previously addressed in federal accounting standards, specifically excluding SCAs from the lease standard would raise more questions about SCAs. Therefore, members concluded that SCAs should not be addressed in the lease standard. Although SCAs are expected to be addressed in the P3 recognition and measurement project, the Board agreed to remain silent about SCAs in the lease proposal but to include the Board’s rationale in its BFC. The Board may also consider asking a question about SCAs of the respondents to the ED.

At the February 2016 meeting staff updated the Board on the lease project, including the status of the GASB lease project. The Board had previously directed staff to use the GASB lease proposal as a platform for developing the FASAB standards on non-intragovernmental leases.

Staff made several revisions to the language contained in the GASB proposal to reflect differences at the federal level, any conflicts with existing FASAB standards, and any changes to which the Board had previously agreed. In addition, staff also posed 23 questions to the Board related to the draft. The questions highlight areas where the FASAB proposal deviates from the GASB proposal, areas where the task force has raised questions, and areas where overall general questions on the proposal were appropriate.

Mr. Showalter asked staff to highlight only the areas in which Board members had additional questions, as well as the areas in which staff would like further direction from the Board, due to the number of questions put forth by the staff.

**Capitalization Thresholds:** Staff stated that because SFFAS 6, Accounting for Property, Plant, and Equipment (PP&E) specifically addresses capitalization thresholds, a task force member questioned whether the lease standards would allow federal entities to establish capitalization thresholds for lease assets. Staff also noted that GASB does not address the issue of capitalization thresholds in the standards sections of the lease ED, but in the basis for conclusions.
The Board agreed to not specifically address capitalization thresholds in the lease proposal but to discuss overall materiality in the application of the standard, which would then apply to the materiality of the related lease liability and lease asset.

Lessee Incremental Borrowing Rate: Staff noted that GASB proposes use of the incremental borrowing rate if the actual or implicit rate charged by the lessor cannot be readily determined. This is consistent with current FASAB standards. However, the incremental rate for federal borrowers is the Treasury rate—a risk-free rate.

Staff noted that the risk-free rate is not reflective of the lessor’s implicit rate, and the risk-free rate would understate the interest expense. Staff also suggested that the language in paragraph 20 be modified from “If the rate cannot be readily determined…” to “If the rate cannot be reasonably estimated by the lessee, the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate (the estimated rate that would be charged for borrowing the lease payment amounts for the lease term) should be used.”

The Board agreed with the revised language.

Lease Liability Remeasurement—Due to a Change in an Index or Rate: Staff noted that GASB proposes that the lease asset be adjusted when the corresponding lease liability is remeasured. GASB also proposes that the effects of a lease liability remeasurement, due to a change in an index or rate used to determine variable payments, be recognized the same way as the effects of remeasurement for other reasons. This would be an adjustment to the lease asset, rather than recognition in the flows statement (as proposed in the Preliminary Views). GASB proposed the change primarily due to cost-benefit concerns.

The Board agreed to remain consistent with GASB’s approach that the lease asset be adjusted when the corresponding lease liability is remeasured in all instances.

Insignificant Lease Components: Staff noted that the Board had previously agreed that the guidance should exempt leases with multiple insignificant and unpriced components from applying methods to disaggregate the components.

The draft language would read, “If a contract/agreement does not include prices for individual components, or if those prices are not reasonable based on other observable stand-alone prices, lessors and lessees should do the following, unless the components as a whole are not considered significant.”

The Board had no objections to the edit.

At the December 2015 meeting staff updated the Board on the lease project, including the status of the GASB lease project. The Board had previously directed staff to use the GASB lease proposal as a platform for developing the FASAB standards on non-intragovernmental leases.
Staff noted that the GASB is in the final stages of finalizing their exposure draft (ED) and expects to release the ED for comment in early February 2016. FASB plans to release their final lease standard in early 2016 with an effective date of 2019.

Staff’s goal is to have the FASAB lease ED available for comment by mid-2016. Staff also held a lease task force meeting in early-February 2016.

There was also a brief discussion on the accounting for lease holdovers, in light of a recent GAO report.

Lastly, there are plans to convene another FASAB/GASB joint meeting in 2016.

Staff will continue to work with the task force to further develop the lease standards and will continue to follow the progress of the GASB lease discussions.

❖ At the October 2015 meeting staff updated the Board on GASB’s deliberations of their lease project. The Board had previously directed staff to use the GASB Lease proposal as a platform for developing the FASAB standards on non-intragovernmental leases.

Staff provided the Board with excerpts from the tentative GASB Board meeting minutes from their September 1, 2015 lease discussion. The following GASB lease topics were presented to the Board.

- Airport Leases and Related Issues
- Lessee Disclosures
- Lessor Disclosures
- Short-Term Lease Exception
- Lease Terminations and Modifications
- Subleases and Leaseback Transactions

Staff will continue to work with the task force to further develop the lease standards and will continue to follow the progress of the GASB lease discussions.

❖ At the August 2015 meeting staff updated the Board on GASB’s deliberations of their lease project. The Board had previously directed staff to use the GASB Lease proposal as a platform for developing the FASAB standards on non-intragovernmental leases.

Staff provided the Board with eleven discussion items that staff compiled from the last three GASB lease discussions (April, June, and July 2015). The eleven issues represented those lease topics that GASB either changed its position from the November 2014 Lease Preliminary Views document or topics that FASAB will need to further discuss as the exposure draft is developed. The following discussion items were presented to the Board.
• Defining “nonfinancial asset”
• Intangible (lease) assets
• The role of “control” in determining whether a transaction qualifies as an asset
• Service concession agreements
• Bargain purchase options
• Month-to-month holdover periods
• Probability threshold
• Lessee renewal/termination options
• Fiscal funding clauses
• Lease liability remeasurement
• Allocation of consideration to multiple components

A Board member suggested just giving examples or asset classes of nonfinancial assets, if a clearer definition cannot be developed. The Board asked staff if federal leases would go beyond the scope of capital assets (real and personal property). The Board asked staff to comeback with options to defining nonfinancial assets.

Staff noted that the FASAB “probable” definition equates to “more likely than not” and “reasonably certain” has an even higher threshold than GASB’s “probable (likely to occur).” Since FASAB previously noted that it was comfortable with the differences between our “probable” and GASB’s “probable,” staff recommends not accepting GASB’s change to “reasonably certain” and staying with the FASAB “probable” definition, because there seems to be no compelling reason to introduce a new term for the sake of a higher threshold. The Board did not disagree with staff’s recommendation.

The Executive Director reminded the Board that all of the issues will be brought back to the Board for more discussion before the exposure draft is finalized.

Staff will continue to work with the task force to further develop the lease standards and will continue to follow the progress of the GASB lease discussions.

At the April meeting staff presented to the Board an initial draft exposure draft (ED) for the intragovernmental portion of the leases standard. Staff noted that the lease standard will include guidance for all federal leases, including intragovernmental leases. The Board has tentatively agreed that intragovernmental leases should be accounted for similar to current operating leases guidance. The draft ED included definitions of relevant terms, as well as specific provisions that address the recognition and measurement of intragovernmental leases for both the lessee and the lessor. Staff asked the Board if they agreed with the proposed language. The Board generally agreed with the staff proposal and asked staff to provide clarifying language in several sections of the proposal.

Staff also presented to the Board a summary of possible FASAB-relevant comments from the Governmental Accounting Standards Board’s (GASB) Preliminary Views (PV) on Leases. The Board had previously directed staff to use the GASB Lease PV as a platform for developing the FASAB standards on non-intragovernmental leases.
GASB received 37 comment letters on their lease PV and held three public hearings and expects to issue its exposure draft in February 2016 and a final standard in early 2017. Since the Board previously agreed to use the GASB lease accounting proposal as the foundation for the FASAB lease account proposal and any wording differences could denote a difference in meaning, staff recommended that the FASAB ED also be released close to that same timeframe as the GASB ED. The Board agreed to stay in sync with the GASB timeline for the release of the lease ED and final standard.

- At the February meeting staff presented to the Board a discussion paper that provided an analysis of the final six chapters of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Preliminary Views (PV) on Leases. The GASB PV on Leases is being used as a foundation for the development of the FASAB lease standards on non-intragovernmental lease agreements – the GASB PV was released for comment in November 2015. The topics discussed included lessee accounting, lessor accounting, short-term exception, lease terminations and modifications, subleases and leaseback transactions, and leases with related parties, and intra-entity leases.

- At the December 2014 meeting staff presented to the Board proposed guidance for intragovernmental leases. The proposed guidance included definitions of relevant terms, as well as specific provisions that address features of leases and is based on the current Financial Accounting Standards Board operating lease guidance. The Board had previously directed staff to simplify the intragovernmental lease accounting guidance. Staff presented revisions to the previously proposed recognition and disclosure lessee and lessor guidance for intragovernmental lease arrangements. The Board stressed consistency and the need for symmetry between the lessee and lessor accounting for intragovernmental leases.

  Staff also presented an analysis of the first three chapters of the GASB Preliminary Views (PV) on Leases so that the Board could discuss the GASB concepts as it relates to the development of federal lease standards. The GASB PV on Leases will be used as a foundation for the development of the FASAB lease standards on non-intragovernmental lease agreements – the GASB PV was released for comment in November. The topics discussed were project objective, project background, applicability, scope, and lease term.

- At the October 2014 meeting staff presented to the Board proposed draft guidance for intragovernmental leases. The proposed guidance included definitions of relevant terms, as well as specific provisions that addressed features of leases and that is based on the current FASB operating lease guidance.

  Staff proposed seven lease-related definitions to the Board for discussion. The first three definitions – lease, intragovernmental and intragovernmental lease agreement – were discussed and tentatively agreed to by the Board at previous meetings. The remaining four proposed lease-related definitions – intragovernmental lease inception, intragovernmental minimum lease payments, intragovernmental noncancelable lease term, and intragovernmental sublease – were adapted from
FASB’s existing operating lease guidance. The Board asked staff to simplify the proposed definitions and discuss with the task force.

Staff also presented proposed recognition and disclosure lessee guidance for intragovernmental lease arrangements. The Board agreed that the lessee general guidance would be to recognize lease payments as they are received and specific provisions would address those instances when the “due and payable” is not applicable.

The Board also agreed that certain scheduled rent increases, rent holidays, and lease incentives should be recognized on a straight-line basis – possibly using the proposed language used for the amortization of leasehold improvements.

- At the August 2014 meeting the Board discussed and agreed to a definition for the term “intragovernmental” to refer to occurring within a consolidation entity or within or between two or more consolidation entities.

The Board discussed and agreed to proposed definitions of leases and of intragovernmental lease arrangements.

The Board discussed staff’s proposal for recognizing operating leases—straight-line for lease costs and in the current period for executory costs. The Board members agreed with the straight-line concept for lease payments, but would like additional information before deciding whether executory costs should be required to be separated from the rental payment.

The next decision related to the proposed disclosure of future lease payments. Some questioned whether this disclosure was necessary for intragovernmental lease arrangements. The Board agreed to exclude the disclosure, but to ask a question in the exposure document whether the disclosure is necessary.

The Board agreed that the lessor revenue recognition would match the lessee's expense recognition—on the straight-line basis.

The Board agreed that upfront lease costs for lessors would be expensed. Regarding potential disclosures of future lease payments of lessors, there were no objections to the proposed disclosures.

- At the June 2014 Board meeting a majority of the Board agreed with a simplified approach for recognizing amounts arising from intragovernmental lease arrangements. The Board agreed that intragovernmental lease arrangements should be accounted for differently than leases between federal entities and non-federal entities.

The Board suggested referring to the project as “leases, including similar intragovernmental lease arrangements” (similar intragovernmental lease arrangements are in substance leases) to differentiate the intragovernmental arrangements from the non-federal arrangements. This would allow the two types of transactions to be disclosed separately.
The Board also agreed not to pursue issuing a preliminary views (PV) document on leases and to tentatively plan to issue its exposure draft (ED) on leases and other similar arrangements close to when the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) will issue its ED. Because GASB plans to issue a PV prior to its ED, staff will have an opportunity to seek informally feedback from the federal community on the GASB PV.

- At the April 2014 meeting the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) provided an educational session with the goal of the Board gaining a better understanding of several GSA lease-related topics.

- At the March 2014 the Board met jointly with the GASB to discuss similar issues related to each of their ongoing lease accounting projects. Both Boards agreed that they should begin with the goal of developing symmetry between the lessee and lessor models. The FASAB was also very focused on the intragovernmental leasing issues involving federal entities and those federal-specific lease issues.

- In January 2014 staff asked the Board to provide their input in a survey format on the tentative decisions made by the GASB on their lease project to date. Based on the results of the survey, staff identified several topics for further discussion during the joint meeting with GASB.

- At the December 2013 meeting the Board briefly discussed the GASB tentative decisions on their leases project to date with the GASB Practice Fellow leading their leases project.
  - The Board tentatively agreed that based on Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 5’s definition of an asset and liability a federal entity’s right to use a leased asset and the obligation to make lease payments are assets and liabilities of the entity.
  - All of the members agreed to explore the single-model approach as opposed to the dual-model approach.

Other Lease Discussions

- FASAB staff members met with OMB staff on April 30, 2014 to discuss budget scoring for capital leases. OMB staff explained that Appendix B of OMB Circular A-11, which provides instructions on the budgetary treatment of lease-purchases and leases of capital assets, is consistent with the scorekeeping rule developed by the executive (OMB) and legislative branches (CBO) originally in connection with the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (BEA). Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 13, issued by FASB, was the “support” for the scorekeeping rules developed. Because the lease budget scoring rules were developed in connection with the BEA and cannot be changed unless all of the scorekeepers (OMB, CBO, and the Budget Committees) agree, it is not likely that the rules will change based on
potential changes in the financial accounting for leases. OMB staff provided other
helpful insights which we will explore further later in the project.