

**From:** Shea, James (Jerry)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 13, 2015 4:32 PM  
**To:** Savini, Domenic N  
**Cc:** Palmer, Katherine; Hallameyer, Ronald; Work, Irma  
**Subject:** FW: Review of FASAB P3

Dom,

Katherine Palmer, our ADAS for the Office of Financial Policy has reviewed and approved VA's comments to the P3 ED.

Jerry

James J. (Jerry) Shea  
Accounting Policy Service  
Office of Financial Policy  
Department of Veterans Affairs

## Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements

### Questions for Respondents – MsWord Version

Q1. The Board proposes defining the term “public-private partnerships” as shown below:

Federal public-private partnerships (P3s) are contractual arrangements or transactions between public and private sector entities to provide a service or an asset for either government or general public use where in addition to the sharing of resources, each party shares in the risks and rewards of said arrangements or transactions. Sharing of risks and rewards is evidenced by conditions such as (1) agreements covering a significant portion of the economic life of a project or asset, and/or lasting more than five years, (2) financing provided in whole or shared in part by the private partner, (3) conveyance or transfer of real property, personal property, or multi-sector skills and expertise, or (4) formation of special purpose vehicles (SPVs).

**Do you agree or disagree that the P3 definition proposed at paragraph 17 captures the most widely identified features of federal P3s (refer to paragraphs A7 – A9 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA agrees that the public-private partnership (P3) definition proposed at paragraph 17 captures the most widely identified features of P3. The definition incorporates the risks and rewards element.

Q2. The Board’s proposed definition at paragraph 17 is intended to help identify risk-sharing arrangements or transactions that possess significant risk (that is, fiscal exposure) to the entity. Such arrangements or transactions are commonly referred to as *Public-Private Partnerships* (P3s) but may also be referred to as *Alternative Financing Arrangements* or *Privatization Initiatives*. For example, informal arrangements or transactions that do not share risks or rewards and are solely designed to foster goodwill, encourage economic development, promote research and innovation, coordinate and integrate strategic initiatives, etc., would generally be exempt from applying this Statement. One member has an alternative view that expresses concern that the definition of P3s is not confined solely to P3 arrangements or transactions and is not sufficiently clear to facilitate consistent application of the standard (refer to paragraphs A31-A41 for the Alternative View).

- a. **Do you agree or disagree that the P3 definition helps identify risk-sharing arrangements or transactions that could possess significant risk (that is, fiscal exposure) to the federal reporting entity (refer to paragraphs 17, 18, A7- A9, and A10 - A12 for related comments)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA agrees that the P3 definition helps identify risk-sharing arrangements or transactions that could possess significant risk (that is, fiscal exposure) to the federal reporting entity. The definition specifically focuses on risks and rewards evidenced by agreements or financings.

## Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements

## Questions for Respondents – MsWord Version

- b. Do you agree or disagree that the P3 definition, while capturing P3s based on their most widely identified features, excludes contracts or other arrangements or transactions that are routine in nature and not generally identified as P3s for other purposes (refer to paragraphs 17, 18, A7- A9, and A10 – A12 for related comments)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

VA agrees. Contracts would not be included in P3s as contracts generally don't share risks and rewards. If a contract does share risks and rewards P3 should apply.

- c. Are there any features other than those identified in the proposed P3 definition that would assist entities in identifying risk-sharing arrangements or transactions that could possess significant risk (that is, fiscal exposure) to the federal reporting entity (refer to paragraphs 17, 18, A7- A9, and A10 - A12 for related comments)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

Yes. VA believes SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government probability classification should apply.

- d. The scope of the ED excludes those informal arrangements or transactions that do not share risks or rewards and for example, are solely designed to foster goodwill, encourage economic development, promote research and innovation, coordinate and integrate strategic initiatives, etc. Do you agree with the exclusion? Is it clear what would be excluded by this provision? If not, what features, if any, differentiate them from those arrangements or transactions that do possess significant risk (that is, fiscal exposure) to the federal reporting entity (refer to paragraphs 17, 18, A7- A9, A10 – A12, and A13 – A14 for related comments)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

VA agrees with the exclusion of informal arrangements or transactions that do not share risks and rewards. SFFAS 5 regarding liabilities and contingencies covers all probability risks (probable, reasonably possible, and remote).

- e. Do you agree or disagree with the one member's concern that the definition of P3s is not confined solely to P3 arrangements or transactions and is not sufficiently clear to facilitate consistent application of the standard (refer to paragraphs A31-A41 for the Alternative View)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.

VA disagrees. As long as SFFAS 5 criteria for disclosure are applied, the P3 standards provide appropriate disclosures. See 2.c. response above.

Q3. The Board has developed P3 risk-based characteristics (that is, conclusive and suggestive characteristics) to ascertain what P3s, if any, should be considered<sup>1</sup> for disclosure (refer to paragraphs A1 – A6 for related comments). The characteristics apply to all types of P3's;

---

<sup>1</sup> Considering for disclosure would include further evaluation as stated in the referenced paragraphs and be made in light of the entity's materiality considerations; including qualitative and quantitative thresholds.

## Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements

### Questions for Respondents – MsWord Version

construction, housing, utilities, military depots, etc. These characteristics may eliminate the need to disclose P3 arrangements/transactions that do not possess significant fiscal exposure(s).

- a. **Do you agree or disagree that only those P3s (identified pursuant to the above definition) possessing risk-based characteristics (that is, conclusive or suggestive characteristics) should be subject to the disclosure requirements proposed at paragraphs 21 – 24 (refer to paragraphs A13 – A14 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA agrees that only those P3 (identified pursuant to the proposed definition) possessing risk-based characteristics should be subject to the proposed disclosure requirements. VA suggests that SFFAS 5 criteria for disclosure be applied.

- b. **Do you believe that there are other arrangements or transactions besides P3s for which the risk-based characteristics are present and therefore disclosure should be required? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA is not aware of any other arrangements or transactions besides P3s for which the risk-based characteristics are present and requiring disclosure.

- c. **Do you believe that when the final Statement becomes effective, the entities with which you are associated have P3s that are subject to disclosure pursuant to the proposed requirements (refer to paragraphs A1, A4, A6(a), A10 – A12 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA has not yet performed evaluation to determine if VA is associated with P3s that are subject to disclosure in accordance with the proposed requirements.

Q4. The Board proposes that the P3 risk-based characteristics be categorized as either: conclusive characteristics - where answering "Yes" to any one characteristic means the P3 arrangement or transaction should be considered for disclosure; or suggestive characteristics - where answering "Yes" to any one suggestive characteristic suggests that the P3 arrangement or transaction may be subject to disclosure but that preparers consider suggestive characteristics in the aggregate before reaching a final decision. Each conclusive characteristic is meant to be definitive whereas each suggestive characteristic will require entity judgment as each one is analyzed in connection with the other suggestive characteristics. The conclusive and suggestive characteristics are presented at paragraphs 19 to 20 and more fully discussed at paragraphs A15 – A16.

**Do you agree or disagree with the risk-based characteristics, their related classification as either conclusive or suggestive, and their proposed application at paragraphs 19 and 20 (refer to paragraphs A15 – A16 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

## Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements

## Questions for Respondents – MsWord Version

VA agrees. P3s containing standard languages that mitigate the risk to the federal government or general public should be excluded.

Q5. The Board proposes the following component reporting entity disclosures:

- a. The purpose, objective, and rationale for the P3 arrangement or transaction and the relative benefits/revenues being received in exchange for the government's consideration, monetary and non-monetary, and the entity's statutory authority for entering into the P3.
- b. The mix and amount of funding, federal and non-federal, used to meet mission requirements and service delivery needs to support the P3.
- c. The operational and financial structure of the P3 including the entity's rights and responsibilities, including:
  - i. A description of the contractual terms governing payments to and from the government over the life of the P3 arrangement or transaction to include:
    1. in-kind contributions/services and donations,
    2. the time periods payments are expected to occur, and
    3. whether payments are made directly to each partner or indirectly through a third-party, such as, military housing allowances.
  - ii. The amounts received and paid by the government during the reporting period(s) and the amounts estimated to be received and paid during each of the succeeding five years and in aggregate over the life of the P3.
- d. Identification of the significant contractual risks the P3 partners are undertaking that could materially change the estimated cash flows, including a description of (1) the risk and (2) the potential effect on cash flows if the risks were realized (for example, early termination requirements including related exit amounts and other responsibilities such as asset condition (hand-back) requirements, minimum payment guarantees, escalation clauses, contingent payments, renewal options, etc.).
- e. As applicable:
  - i. Associated amounts recognized in the financial statements such as gains or losses and capitalized items.
  - ii. Significant instances of non-compliances with legal and contractual provisions governing the P3 arrangement or transaction.
  - iii. Whether the private partner(s), including any Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV), have borrowed or invested capital contingent upon the entity's promise to pay whether implied or explicit.

## Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements

### Questions for Respondents – MsWord Version

#### iv. Description of events of termination or default.

**Do you agree or disagree with the component entity report disclosures proposed at paragraph 23 (refer to paragraphs A25 – A27 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA agrees that component entity report disclosures as per SFFAS 5 be included in general purpose federal financial reports and in RSI when the risk is remote.

Q6. The Board believes that significant P3 risks, including those that may be deemed remote should be disclosed. One member has an alternative view that expresses concern that (1) disclosure of remote contingencies is not limited to the terms of contractual arrangements, (2) the concept of “significant exposure” is not sufficiently clear to result in consistent disclosures, and (3) risks related to entity operations or performance (referred to in the Alternative View as business risks) would be included in the risk disclosures (refer to paragraphs A31-A41 for the Alternative View). The Board’s position is as follows:

*Consideration should be given to those risks that management does not expect to be likely, but represent a significant exposure to the government if they were to occur. With this being said, the Board also notes that such remote risks may have a reasonably high materiality threshold. As such, remote risks should not be dismissed from disclosure without further consideration of user needs and the qualitative and quantitative characteristics when applying materiality.*

- a. **Do you agree or disagree with the Board’s position as stated above and included at paragraph A24 (refer to paragraphs A22 – A24 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA disagrees that remote risks should be disclosed. VA suggests applying SFFAS 5, Accounting for Liabilities of the Federal Government, which states that contingencies classified as remote need not be reported in general purpose federal financial reports, though law may require such disclosures in special purpose reports.

- b. **Do you agree or disagree with the one member’s concern that (1) disclosure of remote contingencies is not limited to the terms of contractual arrangements, (2) the concept of “significant exposure” is not sufficiently clear to result in consistent disclosures, and (3) risks related to entity operations or performance (referred to in the Alternative View as business risks) would be included in the risk disclosures (refer to paragraphs A31-A41 for the Alternative View)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA agrees. Disclosures of remote risks would increase administrative burden to the preparers and overwhelm the readers. It also conflicts with SFFAS 5. However, if SFFAS 5 criteria were applied remote risks would not be included in general purpose federal financial reports.

Q7. The Board proposes that due to the relative complexity and potentially large number of P3s that an entity might be party to, the proposed disclosures would permit entities to provide

**Public-Private Partnerships: Disclosure Requirements****Questions for Respondents – MsWord Version**

broad summarized information instead of individual arrangement or transaction detail. For example, disclosures of P3 arrangements or transactions could be grouped by an entity's strategic objectives, departmental or bureau categorizations, program budget classifications, etc. In this way, users are presented with information that is comprehensive and material to an entity's financial statements without placing an undue burden on preparers to provide P3 specific or granular level information.

**Do you agree or disagree that entities should be permitted to aggregate or group disclosures as proposed at paragraph 21 (refer to paragraphs A28 – A29 for a detailed discussion and related explanations)? Please provide the rationale for your answer.**

VA agrees. Broad summary would be appropriate.

Q8. The Board encourages respondents to not only provide input concerning any and all aspects of the proposed changes, including whether concepts are sufficiently clear and the proposed effective date, but also other matters which may not have been specifically addressed in this exposure draft. In addition, the basis for conclusions explains the Board's goals for this project (see comments beginning at paragraph A1) and also discusses other issues raised by task force members as well as experts and practitioners both within and external to government (as an example, see paragraphs A4 through A6). Respondents are asked to particularly note the Alternative View beginning at Paragraph A31.

**Please provide any comments or suggestions you have regarding the goals for this project, other issues identified in the basis for conclusions, or areas which have not been addressed.**

VA has no additional comments.