



**Style Definition:** TOC 2: Indent:  
Left: 0", First line: 0.25", Tabs:  
6.49", Right,Leader: ...

**Style Definition:** TOC 3: Indent:  
Left: 0", First line: 0.33", Tabs:  
6.49", Right,Leader: ...

**Formatted:** Bottom: 0.3"

## Definition and Recognition of Elements of Accrual-Basis Financial Statements

Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts **5**

**Deleted:** Proposed

**DRAFT**

**Deleted:** Exposure Draft

**Deleted:** Written comments are  
requested by August 5, 2006

mm dd 2007

**Deleted:** June 7, 2006

## THE FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

The Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Comptroller General established the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or "the Board") in October 1990. FASAB is responsible for promulgating accounting standards for the United States Government. These standards are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the Federal Government.

An accounting standard is typically formulated initially as a proposal after considering the financial and budgetary information needs of citizens (including the news media, state and local legislators, analysts from private firms, academe, and elsewhere), Congress, Federal executives, Federal program managers, and other users of Federal financial information. The proposed standards are published in an Exposure Draft for public comment. In some cases, a discussion memorandum, invitation for comment, or preliminary views document may be published before an exposure draft is published on a specific topic. A public hearing is sometimes held to receive oral comments in addition to written comments. The Board considers comments and decides whether to adopt the proposed standard with or without modification. After review by the three officials who sponsor FASAB, the Board publishes adopted standards in a Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards. The Board follows a similar process for Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts, which guide the Board in developing accounting standards and formulating the framework for Federal accounting and reporting.

Additional background information is available from the FASAB or its website:

- ◆ "Memorandum of Understanding among the General Accounting Office, the Department of the Treasury, and the Office of Management and Budget, on Federal Government Accounting Standards and a Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board"
- ◆ "Mission Statement: Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board"

Exposure drafts, Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Standards and Concepts, FASAB newsletters, and other items of interest are posted on FASAB's website at: [www.fasab.gov](http://www.fasab.gov).

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board  
441 G Street, NW, Suite 6814  
Mail stop 6K17V  
Washington, DC 20548  
Telephone 202-512-7350  
FAX 202-512-7366  
[www.fasab.gov](http://www.fasab.gov)

*This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. It may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from FASAB. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.*

Formatted: Bottom: 0.3", From text: 0"

## STATEMENTS OF FEDERAL FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING CONCEPTS

This Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) is the fifth in a series of concepts statements intended to set forth objectives and fundamentals on which financial accounting and reporting standards will be based. The objectives identify the goals and purposes of financial reporting. The fundamentals are the underlying concepts of financial accounting—concepts that guide the selection of transactions, events, and circumstances to be accounted for; their recognition and measurement; and the means of summarizing and communicating them to interested parties.

Deleted: one

Deleted: of

The Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board's (FASAB or "the Board") conceptual framework enhances the consistency of standards and serves the public interest by providing structure and direction to federal financial accounting and reporting. The most direct beneficiaries of the FASAB's concepts statements are the Board itself and preparers and auditors of federal financial reports. The statements guide the Board's development of accounting and reporting standards by providing the Board with a common foundation and basic reasoning on which to consider the merits of alternatives. The statements enhance preparers' and auditors' understanding of that common foundation and reasoning and provide valuable guidance for their analysis of new or emerging problems of federal financial accounting and reporting in the absence of applicable authoritative pronouncements.

Knowledge of the objectives and concepts the Board applies in developing standards also should help users and others who are affected by or interested in federal financial accounting and reporting standards to understand better the purposes, content, and qualitative characteristics of information provided by federal financial accounting and reporting. That knowledge should enhance the usefulness of, and confidence in, federal financial accounting and reporting.

Statements in this series describe concepts and relations that will underlie future federal financial accounting standards and practices and in due course will serve as a basis for evaluating existing standards and practices. With issuance of this statement, the series of concepts statements comprises:

- SFFAC 1, *Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting (includes the qualitative characteristics of information in financial reports)*
- SFFAC 2, *Entity and Display*
- SFFAC 3, *Management's Discussion and Analysis*
- SFFAC 4, *Intended Audience and Qualitative Characteristics for the Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government*
- SFFAC 5, *Definition and Recognition of Elements of Accrual-Basis Financial Statements*

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font: Italic

Like other pronouncements of the FASAB, Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts remain in effect until amended, superseded, or withdrawn by appropriate action under the Board's Rules of Procedure.

Deleted: a

Deleted: may be

Deleted: June 7, 2006

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary .....	1
Acronyms .....	3
Introduction .....	4
Purpose Of This Statement .....	4
Elements And Recognition .....	4
<b>Concepts</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Recognition</b> .....	<b>6</b>
Basic Recognition Criteria .....	6
Additional Components of Recognition Decisions .....	6
Entity Concept .....	7
Definitions Of Elements .....	10
Assets .....	10
Definition Of An Asset .....	10
Essential Characteristics Of Assets .....	10
<i>Economic Benefits Or Services</i> .....	11
<i>Control By The Federal Government</i> .....	12
Liabilities .....	14
Legal Framework .....	14
Definition Of A Liability .....	15
Essential Characteristics Of Liabilities .....	15
<i>Present Obligation</i> .....	15
<i>Settlement Of The Obligation</i> .....	16
Net Position, Revenues, And Expenses .....	17
Definition Of Net Position .....	17
Definitions Of Revenue And Expense .....	17
Effects Of Uncertainty .....	19
Appendix A: <b>Glossary</b> .....	<b>20</b>
Appendix B: <b>Basis for Conclusions</b> .....	<b>22</b>

Deleted: 4

Deleted: 4

Formatted: Tabs: Not at 6.49"

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5",  
Tabs: Not at 6.49"

Deleted: Alternative View

Deleted: Glossary

Deleted: June 7, 2006

# Executive Summary

Formatted: Bottom: 0.3", From text: 0"

Deleted: ¶

## Objective of This Statement

Deleted: ¶

¶ What is the Board proposing?

Elements of financial statements result from an entity's transactions or other events that affect the entity. Elements are the "building blocks" of financial statements—the broad classes of items from which the statements are constructed. This Statement defines five elements of accrual-basis financial statements of the federal government. Items that meet the definitions also are elements of accrual-basis financial statements of the relevant component entity. The elements are defined as follows:

Deleted: concepts s

Deleted: would

Deleted: would be

Deleted: would be

An **asset** is a resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the federal government is able to control.

Deleted: can

A **liability** is a present obligation of the federal government to provide assets or services to another entity at a determinable date, when a specified event occurs, or on demand.

**Net position** or its equivalent, net assets, is the arithmetic difference between the total assets and total liabilities recognized in the federal government's or a component entity's balance sheet. Net position may be positive (assets greater than liabilities) or negative (assets less than liabilities).

A **revenue** is an increase in assets, a decrease in liabilities, or a combination of both from providing goods or services, levying taxes or other impositions, receiving donations, or any other activity (excluding borrowing) performed during the reporting period.

An **expense** is a decrease in assets, an increase in liabilities, or a combination of both from providing cash or cash equivalents, goods or services, or any other activity (excluding repayments of borrowing) performed during the reporting period.

This Statement establishes two basic recognition criteria that an item must meet to be a candidate for recognition in the body of a financial statement: (1) The item must meet the definition of an element and (2) The item must be measurable, meaning a monetary amount can be determined with reasonable certainty or is reasonably estimable. An item that meets the definition of an element but is not measurable is a candidate for disclosure in the notes to financial statements or as supplementary information.

Deleted: Concepts

Deleted: would

Deleted: or conditions

Deleted: in order to be reported

Deleted: quantifiable in monetary units.

Deleted: would be

Meeting the basic recognition criteria is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for recognition. Additional components of a recognition decision are measurement of the candidate for recognition and assessments of the materiality and benefit versus cost of the amount measured. Measurement entails selection of an appropriate attribute, such as historical cost, fair value, or expected value, and application of a measurement method. Measurement may require the use of estimates or approximations and an assessment of the probability that future inflows or outflows of economic benefits or services will result from the item.

Deleted: June 7, 2006

1 This Statement includes a discussion of the effects of uncertainty on financial reporting but does  
 2 not otherwise address the assessment of probabilities or other measurement issues. The Board  
 3 intends to address those components of recognition decisions in future pronouncements. In the  
 4 meantime, this Statement does not change existing standards for assessing probabilities or for  
 5 selecting the appropriate measurement attribute, which the Board expects will continue to be  
 6 based on the reporting objectives, qualitative characteristics, and cost-benefit constraints  
 7 applicable to financial information.

Deleted: Measurement

Deleted: of an item would include an assessment of the probability of future flows of resources or services from the item. This Concepts Statement would include a discussion of the effects of uncertainty on financial reporting. However, it would

Deleted: (e.g., historical cost, fair value, and so forth). Those issues could be addressed in future standards or in a future concepts statement.

Deleted: Why is the Board making this proposal?

Deleted: would

Deleted: all

Deleted: basic

Deleted: would

Deleted: zing

Deleted: them would

Deleted: and would

Deleted: criteria

Deleted: How would this proposal improve federal financial reporting?¶

Deleted: concepts s

Deleted: would

Deleted: are

Deleted: are

Deleted: should be recognized

Deleted: the

Deleted: might

Deleted: Concepts

Deleted: would

Deleted: ¶  
This proposal would enhance consistency and comparability in the identification and recognition or disclosure of elements of accrual-basis financial statements by the federal government and its component entities.

Deleted: the proposal would

Deleted: June 7, 2006

**Reasons for This Statement**

11 Questions have arisen about the usefulness of certain definitions of elements in current  
 12 standards and their applicability to transactions outside the scope of the defining standard, as  
 13 well as about the absence of definitions of other important elements, such as assets. The Board  
 14 believes that a concepts statement that defines the elements of federal accrual-basis financial  
 15 statements and establishes basic criteria for selecting candidates for recognition will be an  
 16 important part of its conceptual framework. The Board expects this Statement to provide more  
 17 consistent, useful, and enduring guidance to the Board and its constituents than establishing  
 18 definitions and recognition requirements standard by standard.

20 The concepts, definitions, and basic recognition criteria in this Statement will provide a common  
 21 foundation for distinguishing between items that meet the definitions of elements of accrual-  
 22 basis financial statements and those that do not, and between items that are candidates for  
 23 recognition in the body of financial statements and those that qualify only for disclosure in the  
 24 notes or as supplementary information. The Board therefore anticipates that the guidance in  
 25 this Statement will enhance the understandability, consistency, and comparability of financial  
 26 reporting for the benefit of users, preparers, and auditors of the financial statements as well as  
 27 the Board itself. As a result, the Board expects this Statement to contribute to meeting the  
 28 government's overall financial reporting objectives of demonstrating accountability and providing  
 29 useful information, as well as the more specific objectives of assisting users in evaluating a  
 30 reporting entity's operating performance and stewardship.

Formatted: Bottom: 0.3"

## Acronyms

ED	Exposure Draft
FASAB	Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board
SFFAC	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts
SFFAS	Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards

Deleted: FASB . Financial Accounting Standards Board¶  
¶  
IAS . International Accounting Standards¶  
¶  
IASB . International Accounting Standards Board¶  
¶  
ITC . Invitation to Comment¶  
¶

DRAFT

Deleted: June 7, 2006

Formatted: Bottom: 0.3", From text: 0"

# Introduction

## PURPOSE OF THIS STATEMENT

- 1. This Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) establishes definitions and **basic recognition criteria**<sup>1</sup> for elements of accrual-basis financial statements of the federal government and its component entities. The concepts it contains are consistent with the concepts established in earlier SFFACs,<sup>2</sup> which are not superseded or modified by this Statement.

Deleted: document is a conceptual statement that

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: financial reporting objectives, qualitative characteristics of reported information, and reporting entity

Deleted: Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC).¶

Deleted: ,

Deleted: revenues, and expenses,

## ELEMENTS AND RECOGNITION

- 2. The term *elements* refers to broad classes of items, such as **assets and liabilities**, that comprise the building blocks of financial statements. Components of those broad classes, such as cash, investments, and debt instruments, may meet the definitions of elements but are not elements as the term is used in this Statement. Instead, they are called *items* or by

<sup>1</sup> Terms defined in the Glossary are printed in **bold-face** type the first time they appear in the text.

<sup>2</sup> SFFAC 1, Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting; SFFAC 2, Entity and Display; SFFAC 3, Management's Discussion and Analysis; and SFFAC 4, Intended Audience and Qualitative Characteristics for the Consolidated Financial Report of the United States Government

<sup>3</sup> American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition (Houghton Mifflin Company, 2000)

<sup>4</sup> The Concise Oxford Dictionary (Oxford, U.K.: (Oxford University Press, 1964)

<sup>5</sup> The term *obligation* is used in this Statement with its general meaning of a duty or responsibility to act in a certain way. It does not mean that an obligation of budgetary resources is required for a liability to exist in accounting or financial reporting or that a liability in accounting or financial reporting is required to exist for budgetary resources to be obligated.

<sup>6</sup> As indicated in paragraph 16, for a component entity the other entity could be another component entity. When component entities transact with each other, they are external to each other. Paragraph 14 explains that activity between component entities may result in intra-governmental receivables, payables, and related items that would be reported as elements in the component entities' accrual-basis financial statements, provided they meet the definition of an element and meet all other requirements for recognition. Such intra-governmental items would be eliminated in consolidation and therefore would not be reported in the consolidated financial statements of the federal government.

<sup>7</sup> See, for example, SFFAC 7, Accounting for Revenue and Other Financing Sources and Concepts for Reconciling Budgetary and Financial Accounting, par. 35 (FASAB, 1996).

<sup>8</sup> The latter distinction is included in FASB Concepts Statement No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, par. 87 (FASB, 1985).

<sup>9</sup> As discussed in paragraph 7, measurement issues, probability assessments, and other components of recognition decisions beyond the basic recognition criteria are not addressed in this Statement. The Board intends to address those issues in future pronouncements. In the meantime, existing standards for those issues continue to apply.

<sup>10</sup> SFFAC 1, par. 156.

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: 10 pt, Bold

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: <sup>3</sup> Uncertainty and its effects on financial reporting are discussed further in paragraphs 57 through 60.¶  
4

Deleted: are measurable

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: June 7, 2006


1 descriptive names. This Statement focuses on the broad classes and their  
 2 characteristics instead of defining particular assets, liabilities, or other  
 3 items. Notes to financial statements generally are considered an integral  
 4 part of financial statements, but they are not elements. They serve different  
 5 functions, including amplifying or complementing information about items  
 6 reported in the body of financial statements.

8 3. The elements of accrual-basis financial statements defined in this  
 9 Statement (paragraphs 17 through 56) are assets, liabilities, **net position,**  
 10 **revenues, and expenses.** The definitions of assets and liabilities derive  
 11 from the essential characteristics of those elements. The definitions of net  
 12 position, revenues, and expenses derive from the definitions of assets and  
 13 liabilities.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

15 4. The terms **recognition** and *recognize* refer to the process of formally  
 16 recording or incorporating an element into the financial statements of an  
 17 entity. Recognition comprises depiction of an element in both words and  
 18 numbers, with the amount included in the totals of the financial statements.  
 19 For an asset or liability, recognition involves recording not only acquisition  
 20 or incurrence of the item but also later changes in it, including changes that  
 21 result in removal from the financial statements.



Deleted: June 7, 2006

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

# Concepts

## RECOGNITION

### Basic Recognition Criteria

- 5. Basic recognition criteria are the conditions an item should meet in order to be a candidate for recognition. The basic recognition criteria established in this Statement are (a) The item meets the definition of an element of financial statements and (b) The item is **measurable**. As used in this Statement, the term *measurable* means a monetary amount can be determined with reasonable certainty or is reasonably estimable.
- 6. The existence or measurability (or both) of many assets, liabilities, and other elements may not be certain, but the definitions and basic recognition criteria in this Statement do not require certainty. Uncertainty and its effects on financial reporting are discussed further in paragraphs 57 through 60. Conclusions about the existence and measurability of an element may require judgment based on the available evidence.

### Additional Components of Recognition Decisions

- 7. Meeting both of the basic recognition criteria established in paragraph 5 is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for recognition. Additional steps are necessary before a recognition decision can be made. For example, a candidate for recognition needs to be measured. Measurement of an item entails the selection of an appropriate attribute to be measured, such as historical cost, fair value, or expected value, and application of a measurement method. Measurement may require the use of estimates and approximations as well as an assessment, in a manner consistent with the attribute being measured, of the probability that future inflows or outflows of economic benefits or services will result from the item. Recognition decisions also incorporate the results of assessments of the materiality and benefit versus cost of recognizing the item measured. Thus, it is possible that an item that meets the basic recognition criteria would not be recognized due to measurement, materiality, or cost-benefit considerations.
- 8. This Statement establishes the basic recognition criteria for elements but does not address these additional components of recognition decisions. The Board intends to establish concepts and standards for these additional components in future pronouncements. In the meantime, this Statement does not change existing standards for measurement or for assessing probabilities. The Board expects that the selection of an appropriate

Formatted: Bottom: 0.3", From text: 0"

Deleted: Proposed

Deleted: RECOGNITION CRITERIA

Deleted: R

Deleted: recognized in financial statements

Deleted: quantifiable in monetary units.

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.38"

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.38"

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.38"

Deleted: June 7, 2006

measurement attribute in specific circumstances will continue to be based on the reporting objectives, qualitative characteristics, and cost-benefit constraints applicable to financial information.

**Formatted:** Font: Bold

**Deleted:** Measurement of an item includes an assessment of the probability of future inflows or outflows of resources or services resulting from that item in a manner that is consistent with the measurement attribute being used. However, the method of assessing probabilities and whether the appropriate measurement attribute for a particular item is its historical cost, current cost, fair value, expected value, or some other value are beyond the scope of this Concepts Statement. The Board expects that the selection of an appropriate measurement attribute in specific circumstances will be based on the reporting objectives, qualitative characteristics, and cost-benefit constraints applicable to financial information.¶

9. An item that meets the appropriate definition of an element is an asset, liability, revenue, or expense, even if it is not recognized in the accrual-basis financial statements because, for example, it is not measurable in monetary units or its amount is not material. Unrecognized elements are candidates for disclosure in the notes to financial statements or as supplementary information.

¶  
6. . As indicated by the recognition criteria, satisfying the definition of an element is a necessary but not sufficient condition for an item to be recognized in financial statements. Accordingly, there is no need to consider whether an item is measurable and should be recognized if it does not meet the definition of an element. ¶

**ENTITY CONCEPT**

10. All elements defined in this Statement are defined in relation to the U.S. Government (“federal government” or “government”). That is, an item that meets the relevant definition is an asset, liability, net position, revenue, or expense of the federal government. An item that meets the basic recognition criteria established in paragraph 5 and the additional components of recognition decisions referred to in paragraph 7 is recognized in the consolidated financial statements of the federal government, except when it is eliminated in the consolidation process, as discussed in paragraphs 14 and 15.

¶  
7. . The existence or amount (or both) of many assets, liabilities, and other elements may not be certain, but the definitions and recognition criteria in this Statement do not require certainty. Conclusions about the existence of an element require judgment as to whether, based on the available evidence, the item possesses the essential characteristics of that element. The measurement of an element being considered for recognition in the financial statements often will require estimates and approximations. (... [1])

11. The federal government is composed of component entities that **control**, manage, or are otherwise accountable for the government’s assets and may be authorized to incur liabilities. Component entities include departments, independent agencies, and government corporations, as well as their agencies, bureaus, offices, administrations, corporations, and other organizational units. An item that meets the definition of an element of the federal government is also an element of a component entity. It is recognized in the component entity’s accrual-basis financial statements provided it is measurable and meets the basic recognition criteria and the additional components of recognition decisions.

**Deleted:** Concepts

**Deleted:** The

**Deleted:** item

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Deleted:** provided it is measurable,

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Deleted:** ¶

**Deleted:** June 7, 2006

12. Sometimes a question may arise as to which component entity should report a particular item. Typically, a review of the authorizing legislation establishing a government program or activity, the appropriations act funding it, and related federal laws, regulations or other executive issuances clearly identifies one component entity as having a comprehensive relationship to the program or activity. That is, the component entity is responsible and accountable for receiving, controlling, managing, and utilizing government assets or incurring liabilities on behalf of the government in performing operations related to the program or activity. When a component entity has such a comprehensive relationship, the assets and other elements involved should be reported by that component entity.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

- 13. When no component entity has a comprehensive relationship to a government program or activity, the assets and other elements involved should be reported by the component entity most responsible for managing them. For example, if one component entity has acquired and has some control over a government asset but another component entity presently manages and utilizes the asset as part of its routine operations, the second component entity should report the asset. In other circumstances, a component entity's management responsibilities may be limited to, for example, collecting monies owed to the federal government and depositing them in the U.S. Treasury. Although the component entity has no authority or responsibility to retain or use the monies collected, it should report the assets and other elements involved in the collection activity.
  
- 14. Some items recognized in the accrual-basis financial statements of component entities are not recognized in the consolidated financial statements of the federal government because they are eliminated in the consolidation process. For example, component entities may exchange services for a fee and recognize the resulting intra-governmental receivables, payables, and related elements in their financial statements. However, intra-governmental items offset each other when the government is viewed as a whole and are eliminated in preparing the government's consolidated financial statements.
  
- 15. Appropriations are another example of items reported in the accrual-basis financial statements of component entities but not in the consolidated financial statements of the federal government. For the component entities, appropriations are inflows of **resources** against which the component entity may incur obligations in support of authorized activities. Assuming an appropriation complies with the basic recognition criteria and additional components of recognition decisions, a component entity would recognize the appropriation as an increase in assets and revenues and would recognize the use of the appropriation as an increase in expenses and a decrease in fund balance with Treasury. However, from the perspective of the government as a whole, an appropriation is not a resource flow to the federal government or from the government to a component entity. Rather, it is a budgetary amount that constitutes legal authority for a component entity to incur obligations for specified purposes during specified time periods, and for the U.S. Treasury to liquidate the resulting obligations of the component entity. The actual liquidation will be from cash and other assets of the U.S. Treasury resulting from the inflow of resources from taxes and other financing sources. Therefore, appropriations recognized by component entities are eliminated in the process of consolidation and are not reported in the consolidated financial statements of the federal government.
  
- 16. The definitions of elements may refer to *another entity or other entities*. For the federal government, these terms describe entities external to the

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: ance

Deleted: relevant definitions of elements and

Deleted: the recognition criteria,

Deleted: s

Deleted: s

Deleted: s

Deleted: s

Deleted: s

Deleted: June 7, 2006

1 government, such as foreign, state, and local governments, business  
2 enterprises, not-for-profit organizations, and individuals. For a component  
3 entity, the terms *another entity* and *other entities* include other component  
4 entities of the government as well as entities external to the government.  
5

DRAFT

Deleted: June 7, 2006

1  
2 **DEFINITIONS OF ELEMENTS**

3  
4 **Assets**

5  
6 **Definition of an Asset**

7  
8 17. An asset is a resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the  
9 federal government ~~is able to~~ control.

Deleted: can

10  
11 18. The definition of an asset addresses only whether an asset exists. It does  
12 not address ~~whether the asset is measurable and, if so, how it~~ should be  
13 measured or whether or when it should be recognized in the federal  
14 government's or a component entity's balance sheet. Nor does the  
15 definition address whether or when the economic benefits or services  
16 embodied in an asset will be used. ~~Basic~~ recognition criteria for all  
17 elements of accrual-basis financial statements are set forth and discussed  
18 in paragraphs ~~5 through 9~~. Those paragraphs ~~also indicate that~~  
19 ~~measurement issues and other components of recognition decisions will be~~  
20 ~~addressed in future pronouncements. In addition, paragraph 6~~  
21 ~~acknowledges~~ the possibility of uncertainty about whether an item meets  
22 the definition of an ~~element~~ and the need for judgment based on the  
23 available evidence. However, ~~this Statement does~~ not establish a threshold  
24 to be assumed in applying judgment.

Deleted: an asset

Deleted: R

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: also

Deleted: asset

Deleted:

Deleted: those paragraphs

25  
26 19. The definition of an asset derives from the nature of assets—~~that is, their~~  
27 ~~essential characteristics~~. ~~An essential characteristic of an asset is one~~  
28 ~~that is inherent to all assets and, therefore, without it an asset would not~~  
29 ~~exist~~. Paragraphs ~~20 through 34~~ highlight and discuss those  
30 characteristics. Also discussed are certain characteristics that are common  
31 to many assets but not to all assets. As such, those characteristics are not  
32 essential, but they may provide additional evidence that an asset exists.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Deleted: that are fundamental or essential to all assets

Formatted: Highlight

33  
34  
35  
36 **Essential Characteristics of Assets**

37  
38 20. The federal government needs financial, economic, human, and other  
39 resources to help it achieve its mission. In this context, the term *resource*  
40 means "a useful or valuable possession or quality of a country, organization  
41 or person"<sup>3</sup> or a "means of supplying a want."<sup>4</sup> The government has  
42 numerous resources. However, those resources are not assets unless they  
43 have the essential characteristics of assets and, therefore, meet the  
44 definition of assets in paragraph ~~17~~.

Formatted: Highlight

45  
46 21. To be an asset of the federal government, a resource must possess two  
47 characteristics. First, it embodies economic benefits or services that can  
48 be used in the future. Second, the government ~~is able to~~ control access to

Deleted: June 7, 2006

Deleted: can

1 the economic benefits or services and, therefore, can obtain them and deny  
2 or regulate the access of other entities.

3  
4 22. To illustrate the distinction between a resource that is an asset and one that  
5 is not, the federal government may obtain economic benefits or services  
6 from a resource but be unable to deny or regulate the access of other  
7 entities to those benefits or services. If so, the resource is not an asset of  
8 the federal government. For example, outer space is a natural resource  
9 from which the federal government is able to obtain economic benefits.  
10 However, outer space is not an asset of the federal government because  
11 the government is unable to deny or regulate the access of others. In  
12 contrast, natural resources under federal lands qualify as federal  
13 government assets because the government is able to obtain the economic  
14 benefits and regulate the access of other entities as provided under federal  
15 law. Such natural resources are assets of the federal government even if  
16 they are not measurable and therefore are not candidates for recognition in  
17 the financial statements.

- Deleted: can
- Deleted: cannot
- Deleted: can
- Deleted: do not qualify

19 23. In addition to the two essential characteristics identified in paragraph 21,  
20 many resources have other features that help identify them as assets. For  
21 example, they may be acquired at a cost and owned by the federal  
22 government. However, those features are not characteristics of all assets.  
23 Whereas access to economic benefits or services often is obtained through  
24 legal ownership of the underlying item of property, legal rights to economic  
25 benefits or services can be obtained without ownership of the property—for  
26 example, under certain lease arrangements.

- Formatted: Highlight
- Deleted: kinds of

28 24. The federal government's resources often are tangible and exchangeable,  
29 and the government often has legally enforceable rights of access to the  
30 resulting benefits. But the absence of those features is not sufficient to  
31 preclude an item from qualifying as an asset. For example, an intangible  
32 resource, such as an easement on property, is an asset if the federal  
33 government is able to benefit from it and regulate or deny the access of  
34 other entities. A resource may embody economic benefits even though the  
35 federal government cannot exchange it or sell it—for example a machine  
36 that continues to provide a needed service even though there is no market  
37 for the machine. Similarly, the fact that the government's ability to access  
38 or use a resource is not legally enforceable does not mean that the  
39 resource is not an asset, if the government nevertheless is able to obtain  
40 the economic benefits or services it embodies and deny or regulate other  
41 entities' access to or use of those economic benefits or services.

- Deleted: can
- Deleted: can
- Deleted: access
- Deleted: by other entities

**Economic Benefits or Services**

45 25. A characteristic possessed by all assets is the ability to provide economic  
46 benefits or services. Some sources use the terms *economic benefits* and  
47 *services* (or *service potential*) interchangeably. However, as used in this  
48 Statement, economic benefits may result in inflows of cash, cash

- Deleted: June 7, 2006

1 equivalents, goods, or services to the federal government, whereas the  
 2 services embodied in an asset may benefit the government in other ways.  
 3 For example, assets such as public parks, museums, and art galleries often  
 4 provide recreational, educational, and research opportunities to the public  
 5 at no charge or for a reduced fee or voluntary contribution, thereby  
 6 assisting the federal government to achieve its objectives and meet its  
 7 mission to provide public services.  
 8

9 | 26. The economic benefits or services that a property is able to provide can be  
 10 distinguished from the property that embodies them, whether it is tangible  
 11 or intangible, such as a right. Not all properties embody economic benefits  
 12 or services and the assumption that a particular type of property will always  
 13 be an asset is not justified. For example, whereas equipment normally is  
 14 expected to provide economic benefits or services, sometimes it has  
 15 become obsolete or unusable and has no scrap value. If so, it no longer  
 16 embodies economic benefits or services and does not meet the definition of  
 17 an asset.  
 18

Deleted: can

19 | 27. The economic benefits or services embodied in resources may be shared  
 20 by the government and another entity through specific arrangements. For  
 21 example, the government and another entity may enter into a joint venture  
 22 and share an interest in the resources committed to the joint venture. If so,  
 23 each party may possess assets comprising its respective share of the  
 24 resources and any resulting benefits. Similarly, lease agreements  
 25 unbundle the economic benefits or services embodied in leased property  
 26 and may, for example, give the lessee the right to hold and use the property  
 27 and the lessor the right to receive rentals and any residual value. Thus,  
 28 both parties may have assets corresponding to their respective rights.  
 29

Deleted: that flow from

Deleted:

Deleted: resources a

Deleted: nd resulting benefits

30 **Control by the Federal Government**

31  
 32 28. The second essential characteristic of an asset is control, which refers to  
 33 the *ability* of the federal government to obtain the economic benefits or  
 34 services embodied in a resource and to deny or regulate the access of  
 35 others. It is possible that the government does not currently exercise  
 36 control. Nevertheless, as long as the government has the ability to exercise  
 37 control of the economic benefits or services and to deny or regulate the  
 38 access of other entities, the item is an asset of the government. In  
 39 exercising control of the economic benefits or services, the government  
 40 may, depending on the nature of the resource, hold the resource; exchange  
 41 it; use it to obtain cash, cash equivalents, goods, or services; exact a price  
 42 for other entities' use of the economic benefits or services; or use it to settle  
 43 liabilities. Many resources are subject to certain legal or other external  
 44 constraints, such as public land subject to preservation requirements. Such  
 45 restrictions on the use of a resource do not negate the government's ability  
 46 to control the economic benefits or services embodied in the resource.  
 47

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: can

Deleted: it

Deleted: resource

Deleted: of

Deleted: June 7, 2006

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48
29. The ability of the federal government to control access to the economic benefits or services embodied in a resource normally stems from legal rights and may be evidenced by title deeds, contractual agreements, possession, or other devices that protect the government's interests. However, legal enforceability of a right is not a prerequisite to the establishment of control of access to economic benefits or services, because the government may be able to exercise control in some other way.
30. Possession or ownership of a resource normally entails control of access to the economic benefits or services embodied in it, but that is not always the case. Whereas control of access is an essential characteristic of an asset, possession or ownership is not. For example, the government may grant another entity, acting as an agent of the government, physical possession of goods for sale and retain the right to receive the proceeds of sale. The goods are assets of the government because it has access to the economic benefits embodied in the goods. The agent has physical possession of the goods, but they are not the agent's assets because it does not control access to the economic benefits. Also, as discussed in paragraph 27, through a lease arrangement the government may control access to the economic benefits or services embodied in a resource that it does not own.
31. Sometimes the federal government cannot control the economic benefits or services that it obtains from a resource because it cannot deny or regulate the access of other entities. In those circumstances, the resource does not meet the definition of an asset of the federal government. Public goods are an example. Public highways provide economic benefits to the entities that use them. However, they are assets only of the entity that has the capacity to control their use or regulate other entities' access to them by, for example, the use of tolls or other restrictions. Similarly, natural resources, such as air and water do not qualify as assets of the federal government when it has only general access to them along with all other entities, even if the government has incurred costs to help clean the environment.
32. The federal government obtains most of its resources from cash or credit transactions. The government may acquire resources in exchange for other resources or for an obligation to transfer resources or provide services in the future, or resources may result from the exercise of the government's powers, such as, for example, the imposition of taxes, penalties, fines, and forfeitures. Government resources also may result from events such as accretion and discovery.
33. Implicit in the definition and essential characteristics of assets is that the event giving rise to the government's ability to control access to the economic benefits or services embodied in a resource must have occurred. The government's intent or ability to acquire a resource in the future does not create an asset. For the resource to qualify as an asset, the government already must have acquired the resource or otherwise obtained

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: June 7, 2006

1 | access to the economic benefits or services it embodies to the exclusion of  
 2 | other entities. For example, the mere existence of the government’s power  
 3 | to tax is not an asset because, until the government has exercised that  
 4 | power by imposing a tax and has access to benefits by virtue of completion  
 5 | of a taxable event, no event has occurred to generate resources and there  
 6 | are no resulting economic benefits that the government can control and use  
 7 | in providing programs and services.  
 8 |

Deleted: resulting

- 9 | 34. Once acquired, a resource that meets the definition of an asset continues to  
 10 | be an asset until the government transfers it to another entity or uses it up,  
 11 | or until some other event or circumstance destroys the economic benefits  
 12 | or services previously embodied in the resource or removes the  
 13 | government’s ability to obtain them and deny or regulate the access of  
 14 | other entities.

15 | **Liabilities**

16 | **Legal Framework**

- 17 |
- 18 | 35. The federal government is governed by and operates within a framework of  
 19 | laws. Thus, a federal liability must have its foundation in law. Some federal  
 20 | liabilities result from discrete actions of the government that are authorized  
 21 | by law but are not explicitly required by law. Examples are liabilities that  
 22 | result from contractual arrangements, including amounts borrowed,  
 23 | amounts owed for purchased goods and services, and liabilities for  
 24 | providing goods or services to entities that have paid for them in advance.  
 25 | Other liabilities flow directly from a law and its implementing regulation that  
 26 | specifically require the federal government to provide assets to another  
 27 | entity. Examples include formula grants and subsidies, claims owed under  
 28 | workers’ compensation, and amounts owed for environmental clean-up.  
 29 |

- 30 |
- 31 | 36. Although all federal liabilities have their foundation in law, some liabilities  
 32 | are construed from the totality of the conditions and facts of a particular  
 33 | situation, rather than from specific legal or regulatory requirements. In those  
 34 | circumstances, the government should weigh the totality of the facts of the  
 35 | situation against the definition and essential characteristics of liabilities  
 36 | (discussed in paragraphs 40 through 48) and make an informed judgment  
 37 | as to whether or when a liability has been incurred. Factors that may affect  
 38 | that conclusion include relevant aspects of the legal framework within which  
 39 | the government is constituted, whether the government has an agreement  
 40 | or understanding with another entity concerning the nature and amount of  
 41 | the government’s obligation and the timing of settlement, and decisions or  
 42 | actions in previous situations that are relevant precedents.  
 43 |

Formatted: Highlight

- 44 |
- 45 | 37. Settlement of a federal liability often is legally enforceable, as is the case,  
 46 | for example, with contracts. However, laws that create or support federal  
 47 | liabilities do not always confer legally enforceable rights on recipient  
 48 | entities. Legal enforceability may provide additional evidence that a liability  
 49 | exists, but it is not a prerequisite.

Deleted: June 7, 2006

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

**Definition of a Liability**

- 38. A liability is a present obligation<sup>5</sup> of the federal government to provide assets or services to another entity at a determinable date, when a specified event occurs, or on demand.
- 39. The definition of a liability addresses only whether a liability exists and not how it should be measured or whether or when it should be recognized in the federal government's or a component entity's balance sheet. **Basic** recognition criteria for all elements of accrual-basis financial statements are set forth and discussed in paragraphs 5 through 9. **Those paragraphs also indicate that measurement issues and other components of recognition decisions will be addressed in future pronouncements. In addition, paragraph 6 acknowledges the possibility of uncertainty about whether an item meets the definition of an element and the need for judgment based on the available evidence. However, this Statement does not establish a threshold to be assumed in applying judgment.**

**Deleted:** R  
**Formatted:** Highlight

**Deleted:** Those paragraphs also acknowledge the possibility of uncertainty about whether an item meets the definition of a liability and the need for judgment based on the available evidence. However, those paragraphs do not establish a threshold to be assumed in applying judgment.  
**Deleted:** that are fundamental or essential to of all liabilities

**Essential Characteristics of Liabilities**

- 40. Similar to the definition of an asset, the definition of a liability is derived from the nature of liabilities—**that is, the essential characteristics, without which a liability would not exist.** A liability of the federal government has two essential characteristics. First, it constitutes a present obligation to provide assets or services to another entity. Second, the federal government and the other entity have an agreement or understanding as to **the events that would require the government to settle the obligation.** Paragraphs 41 through 48 discuss those characteristics.

**Deleted:** when  
**Deleted:** ment of  
**Deleted:** is to occur  
**Formatted:** Highlight

**Present Obligation**

- 41. As the term is used in this Statement, an obligation is a duty or responsibility to act in a certain way. To have a *present* obligation means that the obligation arose as a result of a past transaction or other event and has not yet been settled. Thus, a present obligation should be distinguished from a mere expression of future intent, such as the government's announcement that it intends to acquire equipment. A present obligation is incurred when the government takes a specific action that commits or binds the government and affects another entity.
- 42. To meet the first essential characteristic of a liability, a present obligation must entail the provision of assets (cash, cash equivalents, or goods) or services to another entity in the future. For example, the government may have received from another entity goods or services that it has agreed to purchase but has not yet paid for, or it may have agreed to provide assets or services to another entity under certain conditions and those conditions

**Deleted:** June 7, 2006

1 have been met. In these situations the government has a present  
2 obligation to fulfill its commitments, even if the actual provision of assets or  
3 services is not required until a later date.  
4

- 5 43. As indicated in the previous paragraph, for a present obligation to qualify as  
6 a liability of the federal government, two separate entities must be  
7 involved.<sup>6</sup> Separate entities must be involved because the same entity  
8 cannot be both the recipient of settlement of a liability and the entity with  
9 the duty to settle. For example, when the government operates machinery,  
10 the government may have an obligation to maintain it. However, the  
11 obligation does not qualify as a liability for maintenance because the  
12 government cannot have a liability to itself. In contrast, if the government  
13 contracts for maintenance from another entity, it may have a liability to that  
14 other entity for the price of the maintenance services it has received.  
15
- 16 44. To meet the definition of a liability, the federal government's contract or  
17 other agreement to provide assets or services to another entity must be  
18 based on *existing* conditions, including current law, because an essential  
19 characteristic of a liability is that the government has a *present* obligation,  
20 even if conditions may change before settlement is due. For example, the  
21 Congress may change a law under which the federal government has  
22 incurred a present obligation and erase the obligation or otherwise enable  
23 the government to avoid settlement. Alternatively, the government may be  
24 able in the future to renegotiate the obligation with the payee or recipient of  
25 the promised services. However, liabilities and all other elements of  
26 accrual-basis financial statements are based on transactions or events that  
27 already have occurred. The government's power to change existing  
28 conditions does not preclude what otherwise would be a present obligation  
29 and recognized as a liability.  
30

### 31 **Settlement of the Obligation**

- 32
- 33 45. The second essential characteristic of a liability is that the government and  
34 the other entity involved have an agreement or understanding concerning  
35 settlement. The timing of settlement often is expressed in contracts and  
36 other agreements as a specific or determinable date. However, in some  
37 cases the parties agree that settlement will be triggered by a specific event  
38 or by the demand of the recipient of the assets or services, the timing of  
39 which may be uncertain. If the government and the other entity do not have  
40 an agreement or understanding concerning settlement and the government  
41 is free to decide whether and when to settle the obligation, the  
42 government's obligation does not meet the definition of a liability.  
43
- 44 46. In addition to uncertainty as to the timing of settlement, many present  
45 obligations involve uncertainty regarding the amount of settlement. For  
46 example, the amount required to settle the obligation may be contingent on  
47 the occurrence or non-occurrence of a future event, such as a decline in  
48 market prices. The government nevertheless is obligated to fulfill its

Deleted: June 7, 2006

obligation upon resolution of any contingencies affecting the timing and amount of settlement. Uncertainty regarding the amount or timing of settlement is addressed through measurement of the liability.

47. Frequently, the federal government knows before settlement is due which specific entities or individuals will receive settlement. However, such advance identification of specific recipients is not an essential characteristic of a liability. For example, the government may have a long-term disability agreement with federal employees without knowing the identity of each of the employees who ultimately will qualify for payment. The obligation qualifies as a liability if both of the essential characteristics of a liability are present.
48. Once incurred, a liability of the federal government continues as a liability until the government settles it or another event or circumstance discharges it or removes the government's responsibility to settle it.

### **Net Position, Revenues, and Expenses**

49. Whereas the definitions of assets and liabilities derive from the essential characteristics of those items, the definitions of net position, revenues, and expenses derive from the definitions of assets and liabilities. Thus, in assessing whether items meet the definitions of net position, revenues, and expenses, reference should be made to the definitions of their underlying assets or liabilities.

### **Definition of Net Position**

50. Net position or its equivalent, net assets, is the arithmetic difference between the total assets and total liabilities recognized in the federal government's or a component entity's balance sheet. Net position may be positive (assets greater than liabilities) or negative (assets less than liabilities).
51. Entities often subdivide net position in financial reports to provide information about its composition. However, the reported composition and intended interpretation of net position depend on the particular financial reporting model applied and resulting display requirements. As such, a discussion of the meaning of the government's or a component entity's reported net position is beyond the scope of this Statement.

Deleted: Concepts

### **Definitions of Revenue and Expense**

52. A revenue is an increase in assets, a decrease in liabilities, or a combination of both from providing goods or services, levying taxes or other impositions, receiving donations, or any other activity (excluding borrowing) performed during the reporting period.

Deleted: June 7, 2006

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

53. An expense is a decrease in assets, an increase in liabilities, or a combination of both from providing cash or cash equivalents, goods or services, or any other activity (excluding repayments of borrowing) performed during the reporting period.

54. The definitions of revenue and expense address only whether those elements exist. The definitions do not address how a revenue or expense should be measured or whether or when it should be recognized in the federal government's or a component entity's financial statements. Basic recognition criteria for all elements of accrual-basis financial statements are set forth and discussed in paragraphs 5 through 9. Those paragraphs also indicate that measurement issues and other components of recognition decisions will be addressed in future pronouncements. In addition, paragraph 6 acknowledges the possibility of uncertainty about whether an item meets the definition of an element and the need for judgment based on the available evidence. However, this Statement does not establish a threshold to be assumed in applying judgment.

Deleted: R

Formatted: Highlight

Deleted: acknowledge

Formatted: Highlight

55. Existing standards or established practice may indicate that certain inflows and outflows of resources should be reported as gains and losses, rather than revenues and expenses. Use of the terms *gains* and *losses* generally serves to highlight particular features of certain revenues and expenses, such as their unusual or non-recurring nature<sup>7</sup> or their having resulted from peripheral or incidental activities of an entity.<sup>8</sup>

Deleted: ¶

56. The definitions of revenue and expense in this Statement include items that might be reported as gains and losses. Gains and losses are considered subsets of revenues and expenses, rather than distinct elements, just as capital assets and financial assets are considered subsets of assets. Whether certain kinds of revenues and expenses should be reported as gains and losses and, if so, under what circumstances, is beyond the scope of this Statement.

Deleted: Concepts

Deleted: June 7, 2006

EFFECTS OF UNCERTAINTY

57. Uncertainty about economic activities and results is pervasive and often clouds whether a particular item qualifies as an asset or a liability at the time the definitions are applied. Consequently, some items may not be recognized (although they may be disclosed) because of uncertainty about whether they meet the definition of an element, their measurability, the results of measurement (including the probability of future flows of economic benefits or services to or from the items), the materiality of the measured amounts, or the benefit versus the cost of recognizing them.

58. Uncertainty increases the costs of financial reporting, particularly the costs of recognition and measurement. It may be possible to reduce uncertainty by exerting greater effort or spending more money, but it also may not be worth the added cost. Moreover, as discussed in paragraph 6, this Statement does not require certainty that an item satisfies the basic recognition criteria in order to be considered a candidate for recognition.

59. Uncertainty about whether a transaction or other event gives rise to the existence of an element means that judgment often is required as to whether the item possesses the essential characteristics of an element and therefore meets the relevant definition. Items that are judged to meet the definition of an element are candidates for recognition provided they are measurable—that is a monetary amount can be determined with reasonable certainty or is reasonably estimable. Items that meet the definition of an element, but because of uncertainty are judged not to be measurable, are not candidates for recognition. Conversely, items that, because of uncertainty, are judged not to meet the definition of an element are not candidates for recognition even if they are measurable.

60. As discussed in paragraph 7, decisions whether to recognize or disclose an item include components in addition to satisfying the basic recognition criteria and these components also may include uncertainties. The additional components are measurement of an appropriate attribute, which includes an assessment of the probability of future flows of economic benefits or services, and assessments of the materiality of the item and the benefit versus the cost of recognizing it.

**Deleted:** Whether a resource embodies economic benefits or services to which the government can control access, or whether the government has a present obligation to provide assets or services to another entity at a determinable date, when a specified event occurs, or on demand, often can be determined reliably only with hindsight. As a result, the government may have recognized as expenses or revenues some items that with hindsight actually met the definitions of assets or liabilities or vice versa. Alternatively,

**Deleted:** have

**Deleted:** en

**Deleted:** whether they qualified as assets or liabilities or because of measurement issues stemming from uncertainty at the time of assessment.

**Deleted:** and recognition.

**Deleted:** Some items that meet the definitions of assets or liabilities may be recognized as expenses or revenues or remain unrecognized because a cost-benefit analysis indicates that their recognition is not useful enough to justify the neq ... [2]

**Deleted:** make the information more reliable in the face of uncertainty

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Deleted:** 59. . A highly significant practical consequence of the fe ... [3]

**Deleted:** 60

**Deleted:** . Degrees of uncertainty or probability are not part of the ... [4]

**Deleted:** the application of the relevant definition often requires

**Deleted:** about

**Deleted:** s

**Deleted:** .

**Deleted:** qualify

**Deleted:** if

**Deleted:** in monetary units. Otherwise they may be disclos ... [5]

**Formatted:** Highlight

**Formatted:** Superscript

**Deleted:** 61. . A practical result of the distinction between decidin ... [6]

**Deleted:** June 7, 2006

Formatted: Bottom: 0.3"

## Appendix A: Glossary

**Asset:** A resource that embodies economic benefits or services that the federal government is able to control.

Deleted: can

**Basic recognition criteria:** The conditions an item should meet in order to be recognized in financial statements.

Deleted: R

**Control:** The ability of the federal government to obtain the economic benefits or services embodied in a resource and to deny or regulate the access of others.

**Elements:** The broad classes of items, such as assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses, which comprise the building blocks of financial statements.

**Essential characteristic of an asset (or a liability):** A characteristic that is inherent to all assets (or liabilities) and, therefore, without it an asset (or liability) would not exist.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

**Expense:** A decrease in assets, an increase in liabilities, or a combination of both from providing cash or cash equivalents, goods or services, or any other activity (excluding repayments of borrowing) performed during the reporting period.

**Liability:** A present obligation of the federal government to provide assets or services to another entity at a determinable date, when a specified event occurs, or on demand.

**Net position:** Net position or its equivalent, net assets, is the arithmetic difference between the total assets and total liabilities recognized in the federal government's or a component entity's balance sheet. Net position may be positive (assets greater than liabilities) or negative (assets less than liabilities).

**Measurable:** A monetary amount can be determined with reasonable certainty or is reasonably estimable.

Deleted: Quantifiable in monetary units

**Measurement:** The act or process of measuring; a figure, extent, or amount obtained by measuring.

**Qualitative characteristics:** The basic characteristics that information in financial reports must have in order to communicate effectively with users. These characteristics are understandability, reliability, relevance, timeliness, consistency, and comparability.<sup>10</sup>

Formatted: Superscript

**Recognition:** The process of formally recording or incorporating an element into the financial statements of an entity. Recognition comprises depiction of an item in both words and numbers with the amount included in the totals of the financial statements.

**Resource:** A useful or valuable possession or quality of a country, organization or person; a means of supplying a want.

Deleted: June 7, 2006

**Revenue:** An increase in assets, a decrease in liabilities, or a combination of both from providing goods or services, levying taxes or other impositions, receiving donations, or any other activity (excluding borrowing) performed during the reporting period.

DRAFT

Deleted: June 7, 2006

# FASAB Board Members

Tom L. Allen, Chairman

Deleted: David Mosso

Claire Gorham Cohen

Robert F. Dacey

John A. Farrell

Deleted: Tom L. Allen

Norwood J. Jackson, Jr.

Donald B. Marron

James M. Patton

Robert N. Reid

Alan H. Schumacher

Danny Werfel

## *FASAB Staff*

Wendy M. Comes, Executive Director

Penny Wardlow, Consultant

Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board

441 G Street NW, Suite 6814

Mail Stop 6K17V

Washington, DC 20548

Telephone 202-512-7359

FAX 202-512-7366

[www.fasab.gov](http://www.fasab.gov)

Measurement of an item includes an assessment of the probability of future inflows or outflows of **resources** or services resulting from that item in a manner that is consistent with the measurement attribute being used.

However, the method of assessing probabilities and whether the appropriate measurement attribute for a particular item is its historical cost, current cost, fair value, expected value, or some other value are beyond the scope of this Concepts Statement. The Board expects that the selection of an appropriate measurement attribute in specific circumstances will be based on the reporting objectives, qualitative characteristics, and cost–benefit constraints applicable to financial information.

6. As indicated by the recognition criteria, satisfying the definition of an element is a necessary but not sufficient condition for an item to be recognized in financial statements. Accordingly, there is no need to consider whether an item is measurable and should be recognized if it does not meet the definition of an element.
7. The existence or amount (or both) of many assets, liabilities, and other elements may not be certain, but the definitions and recognition criteria in this Statement do not require certainty. Conclusions about the existence of an element require judgment as to whether, based on the available evidence, the item possesses the essential characteristics of that element. The measurement of an element being considered for recognition in the financial statements often will require estimates and approximations. Measurement also may require a more rigorous assessment of the probability of future inflows or outflows of resources to enhance the reliability of amounts recognized in the financial statements.
8. Recognition decisions also are influenced by assessments of the materiality and benefit versus cost of recognizing the results of the measurement of elements. Thus, it is possible that an item meeting the definition of an element and capable of being measured would not be recognized.

Some items that meet the definitions of assets or liabilities may be recognized as expenses or revenues or remain unrecognized because a cost–benefit analysis indicates that their recognition is not useful enough to justify the necessary time and effort.

59. A highly significant practical consequence of the features described in the preceding two paragraphs is that the existence or amount (or both) of many assets and liabilities may not be certain. However, as discussed in paragraph 7, the definitions and recognition criteria in this Statement do not require certainty that items possess the essential characteristics of particular elements in order for the items to qualify for recognition. Moreover, their measurement often will require estimates and approximations or more rigorous assessments of probability unless financial statements are to be restricted to reporting only cash transactions.

Degrees of uncertainty or probability are not part of the definitions of elements.

---

**Page 19: [5] Deleted** **Penny Wardlow** **2/16/2007 12:05 PM**

in monetary units. Otherwise they may be disclosed. Assessments of the probabilities of inflows or outflows of economic benefits or services as a result of the existence of an element may be necessary for reliable measurement of the amount to be recognized and, if so, are part of a decision whether to recognize or disclose the element.

---

**Page 19: [6] Deleted** **Penny Wardlow** **2/16/2007 12:25 PM**

61. A practical result of the distinction between deciding whether an item meets the definition of an element and deciding whether an element should be recognized is that measurability (the second recognition criterion) is a more stringent hurdle for recognizing an item in the financial statements than is meeting the definition of an element (the first criterion). Based on the available evidence, the government may conclude that an item meets the definition of an element of accrual-basis financial statements. However, application of the measurement criterion, including when appropriate an assessment of the probability of future resource flows, may result in an amount that is not material to the financial statements. If so, the asset or liability need not be recognized, but it may be disclosed, together with the reasons for not recognizing it. Measurement considerations also may result in postponing recognition of some assets or liabilities until their future outcomes become less uncertain or their measures become more reliable.