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Memorandum

To: Members of the Board

Ross Simms

From: Ross Simms, Assistant Director

Wendy M. Payne, Executive Director

Subj: Federal Financial Reporting – TAB C¹

OBJECTIVE

The objective is to obtain feedback on the pre-ballot draft concepts Statement, Federal Financial Reporting. As a reminder, the pre-ballot offers members an opportunity for minor edits but not to make substantive changes.

BRIEFING MATERIAL

The briefing material includes this memorandum and the following attachment:

Attachment 1 provides the pre-ballot draft concepts statement.

BACKGROUND

On February 22, 2017 the Board discussed changes to the ED concepts Statement, Federal Financial Reporting and staff incorporated the changes. On March 20, 2017, staff provided the revised draft to members and requested that members provide additional comments. Based on the comments received, staff revised the draft

¹ The staff prepares Board meeting materials to facilitate discussion of issues at the Board meeting. This material is presented for discussion purposes only; it is not intended to reflect authoritative views of the FASAB or its staff. Official positions of the FASAB are determined only after extensive due process and deliberations.
Statement on April 5, 2017 and requested members provide further comments by April 11, 2017. Staff did not receive additional comments and Attachment 1 reflects edits received to date.

In addition, during the February 2017 meeting, the Board agreed to develop illustrations of the government-wide and component reporting entity financial statements and required supplementary information (RSI). For the April 2017 meeting, the Board asked staff to prepare questions that could help frame the visuals.

NEXT STEPS

We hope to ballot before the June 2017 meeting. Members that plan to submit a dissent should provide them to staff as soon as possible so that other Board members may consider these views during their review.

Also, TAB C-1 presents questions for the Board’s consideration in developing illustrative financial statements and RSI.

MEMBER FEEDBACK

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me by telephone at (202) 512-2512 or by email at simmsr@fasab.gov with a cc to paynew@fasab.gov.
FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTING

Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts 8

August XX, 2017
THE FEDERAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS ADVISORY BOARD

The Secretary of the Treasury, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Comptroller General of the United States established the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or “the Board”) in October 1990. FASAB is responsible for promulgating accounting standards for the United States government. These standards are recognized as generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for the federal government.

An accounting standard is typically formulated initially as a proposal after considering the financial and budgetary information needs of citizens (including the news media, state and local legislators, analysts from private firms, academe, and elsewhere), Congress, federal executives, federal program managers, and other users of federal financial information. The proposed standards are published in an exposure draft for public comment. In some cases, a discussion memorandum, invitation for comment, or preliminary views document may be published before an exposure draft is published on a specific topic. A public hearing is sometimes held to receive oral comments in addition to written comments. The Board considers comments and decides whether to adopt the proposed standard with or without modification. After review by the three officials who sponsor FASAB, the Board publishes adopted standards in a Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards. The Board follows a similar process for Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts, which guide the Board in developing accounting standards and formulating the framework for federal accounting and reporting.

Additional background information is available from FASAB or its website:

- “Memorandum of Understanding among the Government Accountability Office, the Department of the Treasury, and the Office of Management and Budget, on Federal Government Accounting Standards and a Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board.”
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Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFACs) set forth objectives and fundamentals on which financial accounting and reporting standards are based. The objectives identify the goals and purposes of financial reporting and the fundamentals are the underlying concepts of financial accounting—concepts that guide the selection of transactions, events, and circumstances to be accounted for; their recognition and measurement; and the means of summarizing and communicating them to interested parties.

Concepts statements guide the Board’s development of accounting and reporting standards by providing the Board with a common foundation and basic reasoning on which to consider the merits of alternatives. Also, knowledge of the objectives and concepts the Board considers should help users and others who are affected by or interested in federal financial accounting and reporting standards to understand better the purposes, content, and qualitative characteristics of information provided by federal financial accounting and reporting.

The conceptual framework addresses many of the fundamentals needed to support standards setting. FASAB developed the core of its conceptual framework in the early 1990s. At that time, financial management legislation and administrative directives focused on component entity reporting. Hence, FASAB’s second concepts statement, *Entity and Display*, focused on the basis for defining a reporting entity and the display of component entity financial statements. Other concepts statements address financial reporting objectives, qualitative characteristics of information, the intended audience for the financial report of the U.S. Government (FR), elements of accrual basis statements and their measurement attributes, communication methods, and managerial cost accounting.

Through its ongoing conceptual framework project, FASAB has reviewed its early concepts statements and is establishing new statements as needed. The *FASAB Handbook of Accounting Standards and Other Pronouncements, As Amended* (FASAB Handbook) provides a full discussion of FASAB’s SFFACs. The FASAB Handbook discusses the difference between SFFACs and generally accepted accounting principles and can be accessed at [http://www.fasab.gov/accounting-standards/](http://www.fasab.gov/accounting-standards/).
This concepts Statement discusses the role of financial statements and required supplementary information (RSI) and their relationship to other reported financial information (ORFI). This Statement also discusses 1) the content and presentation of financial statements and RSI for government-wide and component reporting entities, 2) the presentation of budgetary information in component reporting entity financial statements and RSI, 3) the presentation of performance information in financial statements and RSI, and 4) summary level information relating to financial statements and RSI.

1 Disclosures are an integral part of financial statements.
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INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE

1. This Statement provides a platform to address current and evolving reporting needs and capabilities and discusses concepts to assist the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or “the Board”) in developing standards for improving the reporting models for the government-wide and component reporting entities.

2. In developing this Statement, the Board considered concepts that are most important to addressing users’ needs. As a result, some existing FASAB concepts such as those discussed in Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting, are reemphasized to clarify users’ needs. In addition, this Statement discusses principles the Board considered when developing the existing models and that the Board considered important for achieving the reporting objectives. The concepts and principles also help explain the relationship between information required by generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and other reported financial information (ORFI). Hereinafter, information required by GAAP will be referred to as financial statements and required supplementary information (RSI).

3. This Statement also assists preparers and users in understanding the purposes of financial statements and RSI and how this information relates to ORFI.

4. This Statement enhances the Board’s conceptual framework regarding
   a. the relationship between financial statements and RSI and ORFI contributing to the financial reporting objectives,
   b. the content and presentation of financial statements and RSI for government-wide and component reporting entities,
   c. the presentation of budgetary information in component reporting entity financial statements and RSI,
   d. the presentation of performance information in financial statements and RSI, and
   e. summary level information relating to financial statements and RSI.

5. The enhancements to the conceptual framework address users’ need to better understand the variety of information available and its relationship to financial statements and RSI. Since FASAB developed its earlier concepts statements, the range of data and information available to the public has evolved. This information

---

2 The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) designated FASAB as the source of GAAP for federal reporting entities.
3 Disclosures are an integral part of financial statements.
4 Management’s Discussion and Analysis is a component of RSI.
includes reports that comprise financial statements and RSI, budgetary information, non-financial performance information, and information on systems and control.

6. Moreover, the enhancements address users’ need to better understand 1) the relationships among budget, cost, and performance information for federal programs and services, 2) the government’s financial condition, 3) component reporting entity budgetary information, and 4) the relationship between the government-wide and component reporting entities’ financial statements and RSI.

SCOPE

7. As intended, the overall financial reporting objectives discussed in SFFAC 1 are broad. They reflect the diverse needs of federal financial information users and are designed to improve the quality (for example, relevance and consistency) of data available for a wide variety of reports.

8. This Statement clarifies SFFAC 1 by emphasizing the objectives most relevant for financial statements and RSI and, therefore, most important for the development of standards.

9. This Statement focuses primarily on concepts to support achieving the Operating Performance and Stewardship objectives. It also provides concepts for reporting budgetary information. The concepts will guide the development of standards for the government-wide and component reporting entity financial statements and RSI.

10. The Board develops GAAP for reporting on the financial results of operations, financial position, financial condition, and operating performance of the federal government and its component reporting entities, including the status of budgetary resources provided to component reporting entities. These basic items require a variety of financial measures and ORFI that complements the information available in the budget. Financial statements and RSI that include information on budgetary activity and results will provide a more comprehensive and insightful understanding of the government’s financial position, results of operations, financial condition, and operating performance than budgetary and financial information individually. While financial statements and RSI are part of a larger body of information available to users, this concepts statement discusses how financial statements and RSI relate to and supplement this larger body of information.

11. The Board is charged with considering “the budgetary information needs of executive agencies and the needs of users of federal financial information”5 and is committed to supporting efforts to ensure the accuracy and reliability of reporting on the budget. While budgetary and financial accounting information are presented on different bases of accounting, the information is, in effect, about different aspects of the same transactions. Thus, information is provided to assist users in understanding those aspects and their relationship.

---

5 FASAB “Memorandum of Understanding,” (October 2009), 2.
12. This Statement discusses the types of information presented to meet the various user needs. Figure 1: Information for Assessing Accountability and for Decision Making illustrates the relationship between financial statements and RSI and the larger body of information available to users for assessing the government’s and its component’s accountability and for decision making.

13. The figure shows that information for assessing the government’s accountability and for decision making includes financial reporting by federal reporting entities and reporting by others. This Statement focuses on financial reporting by federal reporting entities which includes information required by GAAP and information required by others or reported voluntarily - ORFI. Information required by GAAP consists of financial statements and RSI while ORFI consists of financial and non-financial information such as performance information and information on the federal budget and the economy presented at the discretion of management or to satisfy other reporting requirements. Reporting by others includes other financial and non-financial information presented by the media, interest groups or other non-federal reporting entities.

14. Figure 1 provides examples of the types of information that may be presented and is not intended to represent current or future financial reporting requirements. In addition, while each of the types of information presented in the figure may be condensed and presented as summary level information, paragraphs 67 to 74 of this Statement discuss summary level information with respect to financial statements and RSI.
Figure 1: Information for Assessing Accountability and for Decision Making
15. While users of federal financial information need information similar to that of private sector financial information users, they also need additional types of information. Private sector entities primarily obtain their resources through voluntary transactions with individuals or other organizations. However, the federal government primarily obtains its resources from the involuntary payment of taxes and borrowing. Users of federal financial information are concerned about matters such as

   a. the sources of resources,

   b. how the government used the resources it obtained,

   c. what services the public received from the resources provided,

   d. whether the resources provided were sufficient to cover the cost of services provided,

   e. whether the government’s financial ability to provide services improved or deteriorated, and

   f. whether the services provided contributed to the accomplishment of the intended purposes.

16. Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 1, Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting, provides additional discussion on the types of users of federal financial information, their financial information needs, and the objectives of reporting financial information. There are four overall reporting objectives which form the foundation for all other concepts:

   a. Budgetary Integrity. Federal financial reporting should assist in fulfilling the government’s duty to be publicly accountable for monies raised through taxes and other means and for their expenditure in accordance with the appropriations laws that establish the government’s budget for a particular fiscal year and related laws and regulations.

   b. Operating Performance. Federal financial reporting should assist report users in evaluating the service efforts, costs, and accomplishments of the reporting entity; the manner in which these efforts and accomplishments have been financed; and the management of the entity’s assets and liabilities.

   c. Stewardship. Federal financial reporting should assist report users in assessing the impact on the country of the government’s operations and investments for the period and how, as a result, the government’s and the nation’s financial condition has changed and may change in the future.
d. Systems and Control. Federal financial reporting should assist report users in understanding whether financial management systems and internal accounting and administrative controls are adequate to ensure transactions are executed in accordance with budgetary and financial laws and other requirements, consistent with the purposes authorized, and are recorded in accordance with federal accounting standards; assets are properly safeguarded to deter fraud, waste, and abuse; and performance measurement information is adequately supported.

17. The report released by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB or “the Board”), titled Clarifying FASAB’s Near-Term Role in Achieving the Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting, affirmed these objectives and clarified that the Board’s primary focus should be on the Operating Performance and Stewardship objectives. With respect to the Stewardship objective, the Board’s focus is on the government’s financial condition rather than the nation’s financial condition.

18. SFFAC 2, *Entity and Display*, identifies the financial information needed to meet the objectives. It also recognizes some of the identified information that should be presented in financial statements and required supplementary information (RSI).

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RSI**

19. To achieve the reporting objectives, federal reporting entities may present financial statements and RSI and other reported financial information (ORFI). To establish a platform for discussing the relationship between financial statements and RSI and ORFI, this section discusses the role of financial statements, generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and principles relevant to financial statements, and the role of RSI.

20. Financial statements and RSI are two means of providing information collectively intended to assist users of federal financial information in assessing the financial results of operations, financial position, financial condition, and operating performance of the federal government and its component reporting entities, including the status of budgetary resources provided to component reporting entities.

21. Users of federal financial information need a variety of information to assess the government’s finances. However, the degree to which individual items meet certain qualitative characteristics may vary. Thus, as discussed in SFFAC 6, *Distinguishing Basic Information, Required Supplementary Information, and Other Accompanying Information*, different means may be used to communicate this information and each is subjected to different audit procedures and reporting requirements under generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). SFFAC 6 states, “basic information is essential for financial statements and notes to be presented in conformity with GAAP and RSI is information that a body that establishes GAAP requires to accompany basic information.”

22. GAAP provides criteria for categorizing, recognizing, measuring, and depicting the government’s financial activities in financial statements. In addition, GAAP

---

6 SFFAC 6, par. 4.
a. is based on a common understanding of terms and elements, as well as the relationships among them;

b. ensures financial statements and RSI meet certain qualitative characteristics;\(^7\)

and

c. guides the preparation and exchange of information.

23. Generally, financial statements are prepared using different bases of accounting to present information regarding the reporting entity’s financial results of operations, financial position, and budgetary information.

24. The accrual basis of accounting recognizes revenue when earned and recognizes costs when incurred to achieve an objective such as providing or acquiring services.

25. Government-wide reporting entity budgetary information uses primarily cash-based budgetary accounting to recognize budget receipts when cash is received and budget outlays when cash is disbursed.

26. Component reporting entities use budgetary accounting to recognize events when the component reporting entity enters into agreements that obligate the government to make payments in the future, such as when it awards a contract.

27. Although a variety of projections may be used in preparing financial statements, long-term projections may be used to inform users on the sustainability of services. Long-term projections could depict the results that may occur where current policy regarding receipts and spending is maintained.

28. RSI accompanies financial statements and may include

a. performance information to help users evaluate the service efforts, costs, and accomplishments of the entity;

b. management’s discussion and analysis (MD&A) to communicate management’s insights about the reporting entity, increase users’ understanding of the information presented and the usefulness of the information, and provide information about the entity and its operations, service levels, successes, challenges, and future;\(^8\) and

c. other information regarding the entity.

According to SFFAS 6, RSI “…may be experimental in nature to permit the communication of information that is relevant and important to the reporting objectives while more experience is gained through resolution of accounting issues.”\(^9\)

\(^7\) SFFAC 1, paragraphs 156-164 discuss the qualitative characteristics of information in financial reports.

\(^8\) See SFFAC 3, Management’s Discussion and Analysis, for concepts regarding MD&A.

\(^9\) SFFAC 6, paragraph 14, sub-paragraph 73C.
29. Financial statements and RSI include explanations to assist users in understanding the differences among the bases of accounting, the information provided, and the use of projections.

30. Financial statements and RSI may include narrative and graphic depictions to explain the relationships among items of information.

OTHER REPORTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RSI

31. This section discusses the characteristics of ORFI and its relationship to financial statements and RSI.

32. The government-wide and component reporting entities may present information that is not required by GAAP. These entities may present this information to help achieve the reporting objectives or to comply with laws and administrative directives. Consequently, the government-wide reporting entity and component reporting entities may report other information in addition to the information required by GAAP. Hereinafter this information will be referred to as ORFI.

33. There may be limitations to ORFI. For instance, ORFI
   a. may lack exposure to the same level of internal controls as financial statements and RSI,
   b. may lack consistency with GAAP standards for financial statements and RSI,
   c. may not meet the qualitative characteristics of financial statements and RSI, and
   d. may not be subject to certain procedures required by GAGAS.

34. Multiple methods of presentation may help facilitate user needs. For example, financial statements, RSI, and ORFI may be presented in a hierarchical structure that permits users to review both highly aggregated data and disaggregated data. The different levels of data help provide users with the information at levels of specificity relevant to their particular needs. For example, users may drill-down from the government-wide reporting entity’s financial statements to ORFI in schedules provided by a component reporting entity.

35. Narrative descriptions or visual representations may enhance users’ understanding of the financial statements, RSI, and ORFI presented and direct them to where they might look for additional information.

36. Financial statements and RSI provide information to assist users in assessing topics, such as the entity’s financial results of operations, financial position, financial
condition, and operating performance. While financial statements and RSI focus on the widespread needs of different users, ORFI may be required by administrative directives or presented voluntarily to meet the specific needs of a user or user group. For example, a component reporting entity may present information to address a specific Congressional concern.

37. ORFI can also contribute to achieving the objectives of federal financial reporting. For instance, information on the risks that stem from major natural disasters or implicit guarantees assist users in assessing the government-wide reporting entity’s financial condition. In addition, to comply with directives regarding performance reporting, component reporting entities may present information on how their activities benefit public health, safety, and welfare, their progress on achieving strategic objectives, or their actions to improve performance. ORFI may also include information about the federal budget, the nation’s economy, management and performance challenges, or financial information presented on government sponsored websites.

CONCEPTS FOR GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND COMPONENT REPORTING ENTITIES

38. This section includes a discussion on 1) the types of information the government-wide reporting entity financial statements and RSI provide, 2) the types of information component reporting entity financial statements and RSI collectively provide, and 3) the relationship between the government-wide and component reporting entities.

THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE REPORTING ENTITY

39. The federal government is responsible for the nation’s defense and general welfare and is a single economic entity made up of component reporting entities that provide services to individuals and organizations.

40. The federal government has unique capabilities to finance the services provided and accomplish its objectives. It has the power to levy taxes, charge fees, and borrow.

41. The federal government can borrow money to finance services when expenditures exceed receipts during a period.

42. Given the operations of the government-wide reporting entity, financial statements and RSI collectively provide information to assist users in understanding

   a. the government’s mission, organization, and relationship to component reporting entities;

   b. the government’s performance;

   c. the government’s sources and uses of resources and financial results for the period;
d. provisions in the tax law that benefit subsets of taxpayers who engage in certain kinds of activities, face special circumstances, or otherwise meet specified criteria;  

10 For example, to encourage home ownership and stimulate residential construction, the federal government may enact tax provisions that reduce the tax liability for taxpayers who incur the costs associated with mortgage interest and local property taxes. These provisions are referred to as tax expenditures.

e. the government’s assets, liabilities, and net position as of the end of the reporting period (financial position);

f. the long-term impact of the government’s policies on debt held by the public;

g. the government’s budget surplus or deficit for the period, including how the surplus or deficit relates to the government’s net financial results and change in monetary assets during the period;

h. the government’s investments in productivity and economic growth during the period (stewardship investments);

i. the relationship among the information presented in each financial statement and RSI;

j. the changes in amounts and types of elements presented in financial statements;

k. the future effects of existing, currently known demands, risks, uncertainties, events, conditions and trends; and

l. the possible future effects of anticipated future demands, events, conditions, trends, risks assumed, etc., management believes would be important to users.

43. SFFAC 1, specifically paragraphs 134 to 145 and paragraphs 180 to 182, discusses users’ need for information regarding the government’s financial position and financial condition and the relationship between the two concepts. Information on the government’s financial position is the starting point for assessing the government’s financial condition. SFFAC 1, paragraph 144, states the following:

Financial condition is a broader and more forward-looking concept than that of financial position. Reporting on financial condition requires financial and nonfinancial information about the national economy and society, as well as about the government itself…

Assisting users in understanding the government’s financial condition requires multiple indicators, 11 including information regarding the changes in the government’s financial position, the long-term impact of the government’s policies on debt held by the public, and the sustainability of public services as discussed in SFFAC 1, paragraphs 140 to 142. Information on the government’s financial position and financial condition is needed to assist users in assessing matters such as whether financial burdens were

11 SFFAC 1, footnote 14.
passed on by current-year taxpayers to future-year taxpayers without related benefits and the long-term sustainability of government policies.

**COMPONENT REPORTING ENTITIES**

44. Component reporting entities receive budget authority through appropriations made in the legislative process. Their missions and reporting requirements are established in various laws enacted over time, resulting in a complex network of operations and services. Component reporting entities across the federal government are diverse and the scope and nature of each component reporting entity’s activities can be diverse and at times overlap.

45. In light of the reporting objectives of component reporting entities, financial statements and RSI collectively provide information to assist users in understanding

   a. the entity’s mission, structure, goals, and objectives, including the relationships among the component reporting entity, other component reporting entities, and the government-wide reporting entity;

   b. the entity’s performance in achieving its goals and objectives;

   c. the entity’s sources and uses of resources and financial results for the period;

   d. the entity’s assets, liabilities, and net position as of the end of the reporting date (financial position);

   e. the status of the entity’s budgetary resources;

   f. the investments in productivity and economic growth during the period, consistent with the mission of the component reporting entity;

   g. the relationship among the information presented in each financial statement and RSI;

   h. the changes in amounts and types of elements presented in financial statements;

   i. the future effects of existing, currently known demands, risks, uncertainties, events, conditions and trends; and

   j. the possible future effects of anticipated future demands, events, conditions, trends, etc. management believes would be important to users.

46. The Operating Performance objective of federal financial reporting states, in part, that users need information to help them evaluate the entity’s costs and accomplishments and how those costs and accomplishments have been financed.\(^\text{12}\)

47. Often, the accomplishment of component reporting entity goals, programs, and objectives is dependent on the delivery of services granted or contracted to state and

\(^\text{12}\) SFFAC 1, par. 122.
local governments and for-profit and nonprofit organizations. Users of those component reporting entity financial statements may be interested in the percent of the component reporting entity budget authority allocated to these entities and how the component reporting entity measures the delivery of those services.

48. Aggregating and categorizing information by strategic goal is one means of providing information on the entity’s costs and accomplishments and its manner of financing. Presenting such information in this manner assists users in understanding the entity’s progress in achieving its strategic goals.

49. The Operating Performance objective also states users need information about

a. the costs of providing specific programs and activities and the composition of and changes in these costs;\(^{13}\)

b. the efforts and accomplishments associated with federal programs and the changes over time and in relation to costs; and\(^{14}\)

c. the efficiency and effectiveness of the government’s management of its assets and liabilities.\(^ {15}\)

50. Information about a component reporting entity’s financial position is important for achieving the Operating Performance objective and providing information on the entity’s assets and liabilities. Because most component reporting entities are not independent economic entities and budget authority from Congress specifies the amount, purpose, and duration of their funding, readers should refer to the government-wide reporting entity’s financial statements for information about the financial position of the federal government. Such information is important for achieving the Stewardship objective. The Stewardship objective states users need information about whether

a. the government’s financial position improved or deteriorated over the period,

b. future budgetary resources will likely be sufficient to sustain public services and to meet obligations as they come due, and

c. government operations have contributed to the nation’s current and future well-being.

The government-wide reporting entity can tax and borrow funds while most component reporting entities do not possess such authority.

51. For component reporting entities that receive budget authority, users need to know 1) the budgetary activity during the period, 2) the extent to which budget authority has

\(^{13}\) SFFAC 1, par. 126.
\(^{14}\) SFFAC 1, par. 128.
\(^{15}\) SFFAC 1, par. 130.
been used and remains available, and 3) whether additional funding may be needed. Information is needed on the amount of the entity’s appropriations that have not been expended at the end of the period, the amount the entity has accumulated from prior period funding, and the amount of liabilities for which the entity has incurred but not received budget authority.

52. Users need information to assess the financial condition of component reporting entities that derive their funding primarily from sources other than through appropriations. Some component reporting entities may be delegated authority to carry on their activities similarly to private sector businesses or maintain their operations and meet their liabilities from revenues received from sources outside of the government-wide reporting entity. Citizens rely on the services provided and are concerned about their sustainability.

CONCEPTS FOR BUDGETARY INFORMATION PRESENTED IN COMPONENT REPORTING ENTITY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RSI

53. The budget process is the government’s principal mechanism for the Congress and the president to reach agreement on goals, for allocating resources among competing needs, and for assessing the government’s fiscal effect on economic stability and growth. While most attention is paid to the future-oriented roles of the budget process, budget execution is designed to monitor monies raised through taxes and other means; and ensure that those monies are used according to the requirements provided in law.

54. In developing budget legislation, Congress decides on targets for spending and receipts, the deficit or surplus, and the limit on debt. Upon determining the targets, Congress provides component reporting entities with budget authority and may pass laws affecting receipts and other spending.16

55. Budget authority provided in appropriation acts is considered discretionary spending. Appropriations provide component reporting entities with the authority to incur obligations for specific purposes, amounts, and time periods. An appropriation can be limited to a single year or multiple years, or it can be available indefinitely.

56. Budget authority provided in laws other than appropriation acts, is considered mandatory spending.

57. Budget authority comprises the following capacities:

   a. Appropriations—Provided in appropriation acts, authorizing laws, or other legislation, appropriations permit agencies to incur obligations and make payment.

---

b. Borrowing Authority—Usually provided in laws other than appropriation acts, borrowing authority permits agencies to incur obligations but requires them to borrow funds, usually from the general fund of the Treasury to make payment.

c. Contract Authority—Usually provided in laws other than appropriation acts, contract authority permits agencies to incur obligations in anticipation of the collection of receipts that can be used for payment.

d. Spending Authority from Offsetting Collections—Usually provided in laws other than appropriation acts, spending authority from offsetting collections permits agencies to credit offsetting collections to an expenditure account, incur obligations, and make payment using the offsetting collections.\(^{17}\) Offsetting collections are deducted from spending or outlays to reflect the government’s net transactions. They may result from business-like transactions with the public or intragovernmental transactions.\(^{18}\)

58. When component reporting entities engage in transactions that require either an immediate or future outlay of cash, they incur an obligation using available budget authority. The use of budget authority reduces the component reporting entity’s assets and the subsequent outlay of cash reduces the government-wide reporting entity’s assets. For example, when a component reporting entity awards a contract, it uses budget authority and the government-wide reporting entity’s cash is later reduced when disbursed to the contractor.

59. Budget authority is not always used in a single year. For example, budget authority enacted for the construction of a capital asset may include the estimated total cost for the project at the time the project begins. However, the component reporting entity may use that budget authority over several years and the outlay of cash may relate to budget authority provided in previous years as well as the current year.

60. Budgetary resources include new budget authority (including direct spending authority and obligation limitations) and unobligated balances of budget authority from prior years.\(^ {19}\)

**PERFORMANCE RESULTS**

61. Financial statements and RSI provide information to assist users in assessing the 1) amount of financial and non-financial resources required to provide services (efforts), 2) accomplishments of services, 3) efficiency and effectiveness of providing services, and 4) changes in the performance of services over time.

62. Users are concerned about the government’s progress in accomplishing its goals. The reporting objectives consider users’ concerns and state “financial reporting should provide information that helps the reader to determine … the efforts and

---

\(^{17}\) Analytical Perspectives pp.101-102.
\(^{18}\) Analytical Perspectives pp. 99-100.
accomplishments associated with federal programs and the changes over time and in relation to costs.”

63. SFFAC 1, paragraphs 192 to 210, provides concepts for considering how financial statements and RSI might contribute to reporting on performance results. The concepts discuss the categories of performance measures that help address the financial reporting objectives—measures of efforts and accomplishments and measures that relate efforts and accomplishments (efficiency and effectiveness measures).

64. SFFAC 1 also states cost is a component of efforts, efficiency, and effectiveness measures, and measuring cost is a function of accounting and the financial reporting system.

65. SFFAC 3, Management’s Discussion and Analysis, notes performance information is an integral part of financial reporting, and paragraphs 42-49 of SFFAC 3 discuss concepts for presenting performance information as RSI. Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts, paragraphs 41 through 66, discusses the role of managerial cost accounting in financial reporting, including the following language:

Measuring and reporting actual performance against established goals is essential to assess governmental accountability. Cost information is necessary in establishing strategic goals, measuring service efforts and accomplishments, and relating efforts to accomplishments.

66. Financial statements and RSI also provide explanatory information to help users understand reported measures and the factors that may have affected the reported performance. SFFAC 1, paragraphs 211 and 212, discuss the limitations of performance measurement. For example, measures of efforts and accomplishments may not indicate why performance is at the reported level. Therefore, financial statements and RSI also provide explanatory information to help users understand performance measures and the factors that may have affected the reported performance.

---

20 SFFAC 1, par. 14.
21 SFFAC 1, par. 193.
22 SFFAC 3, par. 13.
23 SFFAS 4, par. 58.
SUMMARY LEVEL INFORMATION

67. For reports to be understandable to different audiences, different reports may be necessary to provide information relevant to the needs of the expected report users, with suitable amounts of detail, explanation, and related narrative.24

68. Information presented in financial statements and RSI may be condensed and provided as a presentation of summary level information.

69. Presenting layers of information may be useful for communicating needed information. Different levels help users locate the detail they may need for their specific analysis. The top level may provide highly aggregated information while lower levels provide increasingly more detailed information. However, all lower level information need not be presented in the report itself. Lower level information may be either electronically linked or provided in other reports, with information on how to obtain such reports provided.

70. The highly aggregated top level or summary level may be most useful to citizens and is likely the level where they will begin their review. Citizens need a succinct but comprehensive picture of the reporting entity’s activities. They may not have extensive knowledge of accounting and budgeting concepts to fully understand disaggregated financial and non-financial information and the relationship among different items. Accordingly, understandability is an important characteristic of summary level information.

71. With respect to data, citizens may rely on visual representations rather than tabular presentations and extensive narratives.

72. To help inform users of the reporting entity’s finances, the summary level information provides information to assist users in assessing

   a. the purpose or the intent of the summary level, informing users of the type of information they might expect to see and the relationship to the government-wide and/or component reporting entity, as appropriate;

   b. the scope of the summary level, so users understand the information the level includes;

   c. basic performance goals and measures;

   d. sources and uses of resources and financial results;

   e. assets, liabilities, and net financial position as of the end of the reporting period;

   f. the status of budgetary resources;

   g. challenges facing the entity;

24 SFFAC 1, par.159.
73. Financial information presented in relation to performance goals and measures may assist users in understanding the summary level information presented.

74. A graphic presentation of other levels, including their relationships or links, may assist users in identifying and accessing sources for additional information.
APPENDIX A: BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS

This appendix discusses some factors considered significant by Board members in reaching the conclusions in this Statement. It includes the reasons for accepting certain approaches and rejecting others. Individual members gave greater weight to some factors than to others. The standards enunciated in this Statement—not the material in this appendix—should govern the accounting for specific transactions, events, or conditions.

PROJECT HISTORY

A1. This project is part of the Board’s conceptual framework initiative. After several years of progress in federal financial reporting, FASAB decided to revisit its conceptual framework with a focus on ensuring accounting standards are based on a sound and comprehensive framework of objectives and concepts. The conceptual framework project began in 2006. At that time, Board members were concerned the reporting objectives were broad, and some members sought to better define the role of GAAP-based financial statements in achieving them. Also, some preparers were concerned about the need for certain financial statements, such as the component reporting entity balance sheet. Accordingly, the Board began the conceptual framework initiative by revisiting the reporting objectives. The Board affirmed the original reporting objectives and subsequently completed concepts defining elements, identifying measurement attributes, and distinguishing between basic information and RSI. The Board also began engaging the federal reporting community in discussions regarding the government-wide and component reporting entity reporting models.

User Needs and Reporting Community Outreach

A2. In 2006, FASAB staff conducted a series of roundtable discussions to determine whether the objectives remained valid and appropriate and to help define the role of the Board in achieving these objectives. Roundtable participants provided their views on whether the objectives continued to reflect the information needs of users and whether these objectives were being achieved. The participants believed the reporting objectives remained valid, and they noted the objectives could be accomplished by reports and similar materials other than financial statements. Consequently, in November 2006, the Board agreed to retain the broad objectives and issue its report titled Clarifying FASAB’s Near-Term Role in Achieving the Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting. The report discusses the Board’s primary and secondary focuses relative to the reporting objectives.

A3. After completing SFFAC 6, Distinguishing Basic Information, Required Supplementary Information, and Other Accompanying Information, the Board began discussing the need for conceptual guidance that describes the reporting model and how it relates to the reporting objectives. The concepts would guide the Board in determining the financial statements that contribute to the achievement of the reporting objectives and help focus on “what should be” versus “what is.” The former would help achieve the objectives and
the statements are useful to readers. Members were also concerned about whether a relationship should exist among financial statements, such as the balance sheet and a statement of net cost, and how the model compares with the reporting models of other governments. As a result, staff (1) researched the diverse needs of users and how they access information; (2) surveyed other countries and their reporting models; and (3) conducted discussions with preparers, citizen intermediaries, Congressional staff, program managers, executives, auditors, state and local government planners and analysts, and experts in federal financial reporting.

A4. Meanwhile, the Board continued its deliberations on social insurance and long-term sustainability reporting, projects that would significantly affect the existing reporting model and raise conceptual questions that should be addressed in the conceptual framework initiative. Board members discussed conceptual issues such as the purpose of the balance sheet and its elements. Eventually the Board developed the conceptual framework needed to better explain unique governmental accounting issues, such as why

   a. the power to tax is not an asset but nonetheless is relevant to assessing the sustainability or the financial condition of the federal government,

   b. deficits have short- and long-term implications,

   c. the timing of cash flows is important, and

   d. the point estimates on the balance sheet have limitations for assessing financial condition.

A5. Accordingly, the Board decided to consider these and other reporting concepts in the reporting model project.

A6. FASAB staff provided the Board with a series of reports and discussion papers. In summary, staff noted users needed information regarding

   a. the cost of programs,

   b. the performance of programs,

   c. the sustainability of programs, and

   d. how actual spending compared to the budget.

A7. Also, users needed plain-language, understandable information, as well as the ability to access information and prepare their own reports.

Task Forces and Additional Research

A8. The Board organized the reporting model task force to consider the user needs and reporting community survey results and provide suggestions for the reporting model to the Board. In 2010, the task force completed its work and presented recommendations to enhance the reporting model. In general, the task force focused on what could be accomplished in the near future. The team also focused on the Financial Report of the U.S. Government (FR) because the public would likely start with the FR to learn about
the fiscal health of the federal government. Consequently, the task force recommended (1) the adoption of a centralized, web-based method of reporting financial and performance information, (2) changes to particular financial statements, and (3) additional disclosures. They believed the success of these recommendations require raising public awareness of federal financial reporting.

A9. In 2011, the Board discussed the task force recommendations. Members discussed systems constraints and challenges and noted many of the recommendations could be adopted voluntarily by preparers. However, Board members did note the conceptual framework to guide accounting standards remained incomplete and out-of-date. The Board, at this time, also discussed its priorities and plans and revisited its Strategic Directions report. The Board reaffirmed its conclusions in the Clarifying FASAB’s Near-Term Role in Achieving the Objectives of Federal Financial Reporting report and noted factors that would likely influence federal financial reporting. Those factors included the notion that citizens and citizen intermediaries are the primary audience for the FR, which implies FASAB standards should focus on the FR and should primarily consider citizens’ information needs. Additionally, the Board confirmed component reporting entity reports should support the needs of the FR.

A10. Later in 2011, the Board discussed the report, The Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 – 20 Years Later: Report to the Congress and the Comptroller General (CFO Act Report). The report recommended Congress consider directing FASAB, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to evolve the financial reporting model. Consequently, the Board decided to review the reporting model of component reporting entities and conduct discussions with CFOs and various groups to help the Board determine the information of value to users.

A11. Given the range of issues identified during the discussions with CFOs and various groups, the Board decided the project should be segmented into three separate projects—improving cost, improving performance, and improving budgetary reporting. FASAB organized task forces for each project. This approach allowed members to better focus on issues that needed to be addressed.

A12. In 2012, the three task forces proceeded to discuss these issues and subsequently recommended the Board revisit SFFAS 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Standards and Concepts. The task forces believed adequate cost guidance was necessary to support users of budget and performance information and provide cost information that met expectations. Upon reviewing the task force results, the Board determined the recommended project would involve matters outside of the Board’s domain and would require coordination with the Department of the Treasury and OMB. Also, members again raised concerns about systems constraints and challenges in presenting integrated cost, budget, and performance information.

A13. Subsequently, the Board engaged with the National Academy of Public Administration (NAPA) to learn more about the needs of executives and managers. Members also learned about preparers’ needs for resources to guide financial information development. The research informed the Board on (1) the availability of financial and related information, (2) the effective use of financial data by senior managers, (3) the current and desired role of the CFO, and (4) if gaps exist between the current and desired role of the CFO, the options most likely helpful in closing those gaps. The NAPA
team conducted interviews with federal executives and senior managers with operating responsibility for agencies, bureaus, offices, divisions, or comparable organizational units.

A14. NAPA’s report, *Financial and Related Information for Decision-Making: Enhancing Management Information to Support Operational Effectiveness and Priority Goals*, discussed the following topics:

a. Data generally are highly accurate and granular, but federal agencies face challenges in analyzing and transforming data into readily understood, actionable information for executive decision making—especially the linking of budget, costs, and performance.

b. The degree to which financial data are effectively used for decision making is heavily driven by each organization’s revenue source (user fee-based versus appropriation-based) and operational approach.

c. CFO organizations will increasingly need to offer valuable decision-making support to executives and senior managers, including sophisticated cost and performance analysis.

Developing Ideal Reporting Models without Constraints

A15. At this point, Board members noted they needed models of the ideal presentation to serve as the end-goal for the project and help guide their direction. Also, given that raising concerns about existing systems and challenges directed the discussions away from “what should be,” the Board determined development of ideal models would not be constrained by considering existing systems and what the Board could accomplish immediately. In addition, the models would take a holistic view and consider the other conceptual issues discussed previously and include explanations on why the resulting construct should be considered ideal. Consequently, the Board decided to develop conceptual, ideal models that integrate budget, cost, and service performance information.

Flow Information: The Starting Point for Developing Ideal Models

A16. During the April 2014 meeting, FASAB members presented their views of ideal reporting models. The presentations addressed the Budgetary Integrity objective generally and each of the sub-objectives of the Operating Performance objective. Also, with respect to the Stewardship objective, the Board decided to focus on the federal government as the entity rather than the nation’s economy. In addition, in June of 2014, the Board decided not to revisit the reporting objectives or clarify the role of FASAB with respect to the objectives. Instead, the Board decided to begin developing the ideal reporting model by focusing on the flows and the flow statements that would help achieve the reporting objectives.

A17. Based on feedback from the reporting community, users still need to better understand flow information, such as costs and budgetary information and how they relate. The Board considered how cost and budgetary information should be disaggregated and addressed how to reconcile cost and budget at a level that would be clear to users.
A18. However, members expressed concern about whether the concepts should include illustrations of financial statements and whether concepts should reflect an “aspirational” reporting model or simply describe current practice. Consequently, the Board decided to develop an inventory of concepts and topics that might be included in the concepts statement. Upon completing the inventory, the Board deliberated which items should be retained in the concepts statement.

Inventory of Concepts and Framework for an Exposure Draft

A19. In February 2015, the Board began developing an inventory of concepts that would help guide development of the reporting models and in December 2015 decided on a framework or outline to guide development of an exposure draft (ED) concepts statement. The Board agreed the framework needed to be comprehensive and include new and existing concepts and topics members had suggested during the project.

A20. Subsequently, staff began using the framework to develop the ED and the Board determined the guidance should focus on information required by GAAP—financial statements and RSI—rather than information presented in a general purpose federal financial report (GPFFR). GPFFRs are broader and refer to financial statements, RSI, and ORFI. The Board determined the concepts should discuss the purpose of financial statements and RSI and ORFI to assist users in understanding their relationship.

A21. The Board also determined the concepts should discuss component reporting entity budgetary information, performance results information, and summary level information. Throughout the project, the Board discussed the need to clarify the role of financial statements and RSI with respect to budgetary and performance information. The Board considered both budgetary and performance information include data derived from financial systems and transactions affected by GAAP. Including concepts on budgetary and performance information would assist the Board in contributing to the reporting objectives and requiring information that helps users understand the relationship among budget, cost, and performance information.

A22. Regarding summary level information, the Board considered citizens’ feedback and concluded that citizens are more likely to understand a summary level presentation of financial and non-financial information than a detailed presentation. Concepts would assist the Board in determining the guidance that might be needed for summary level information.

SUMMARY OF OUTREACH EFFORTS AND RESPONSES


A24. Upon release of the exposure draft, the Board provided notices to:

a. The Federal Register;
b. FASAB News;
c. The Journal of Accountancy, AGA Today, the CPA Journal, Government Executive, and the CPA Letter;
d. The CFO Council, the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency, and the Financial Statement Audit Network; and
e. Committees of professional associations generally commenting on exposure drafts in the past.

A25. The Board followed this broad announcement with direct mailings to:

a. House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Subcommittee on Government Operations
b. House Transportation Committee, Subcommittee on Economic Development
c. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs and the following subcommittees:
   i. Subcommittee on Federal Spending Oversight and Emergency Management
   ii. Subcommittee on Regulatory Affairs and Federal Management
d. Senate Committee on the Budget
e. Senate Committee on the Environment and Public Works
f. Senator Patty Murray, ranking member of the Senate Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, member of the Senate Committee on the Budget, and member of the Senate Committee on Veterans’ Affairs.
g. Senator Tom Carper, ranking member of the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, member of the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, and member of the Senate Committee on Finance
h. CPA Caucus

A26. The Board received 16 comments from preparers, auditors, professional associations, and citizens. The respondents generally agreed with the broad concepts proposed and provided comments and suggestions that the Board may consider when it deliberates future financial reporting standards.

A27. The Board considered each response and weighed the merits of the points raised and made revisions to the ED to clarify the intent of the concepts. Additional respondent comments are summarized below.

Other Reported Financial Information (ORFI) and Types of Reports, Electronic Reporting, and Public Access to Government Data

A28. Some respondents provided comments regarding ORFI and how it might be enhanced with discussions on: types of ORFI, the role of transactional information or information provided through implementation of the laws such as the Digital Accountability and Transparency Act of 2014 (DATA Act), a discussion on electronic reporting and its relationship to financial statements and RSI, or how ORFI relates to component reporting entity annual financial reports (AFR).

A29. To afford the flexibility needed to address future financial reporting issues, the Board determined the concepts should be broad. The requirements specified in laws and OMB circulars are subject to change and the contents of specific reports, websites, and other means of providing access to financial information are subject to change as well. In addition, some reporting intended for general audiences may include information required by GAAP while others may not. Explicit discussion of existing practices may
cause the Board to revise the statement each time changes occur. Although helpful for future standard setting, no adjustments were made to the concepts statement.

Required Supplementary Stewardship Information (RSSI), Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), Financial Statements, Required Supplementary Information (RSI), and Other Accompanying Information (OAI)

A30. Some respondents suggested guidance regarding the different categories of information, such as distinguishing financial statements, RSI, and MD&A and providing guidance regarding RSSI. Another respondent suggested clarifying the concepts used to distinguish when projections might be used in financial statements and when projections might be considered RSI. In addition, a respondent suggested eliminating the separate categories of RSI, RSSI, and OAI. Although helpful for future standard setting, no adjustments were made to the concepts statement.

A31. Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts (SFFAC) 6, *Distinguishing Basic Information, Required Supplementary Information, and Other Accompanying Information*, discusses the distinction among the categories of information and permits the Board discretion in deciding which category should be used for an item of information. The Board’s standards specify what items should be in a category. For instance, while SFFAC 3, *Management’s Discussion and Analysis*, discusses concepts for information in MD&A, SFFAS 15 requires MD&A items as RSI.

A32. SFFAS 8, *Supplementary Stewardship Reporting*, requires items to be presented in RSSI. An elimination of the RSSI category would be accomplished through standards rather than concepts. The Board expects existing practice to continue until the Board has examined and deliberated on the issue and, if warranted, amended SFFAS 8.

Component Reporting Entity Financial Position

A33. Respondents discussed the importance of information about a component reporting entity’s financial position. The Board revised paragraph 45 of the ED to emphasize that component reporting entities are not independent economic entities and their financing is distinct from the government-wide reporting entity’s financing.

Board Approval

A34.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AICPA</td>
<td>American Institute of Certified Public Accountants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Exposure Draft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FASAB</td>
<td>Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAAP</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Accounting Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGAS</td>
<td>Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAO</td>
<td>Government Accountability Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPFFR</td>
<td>General Purpose Federal Financial Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD&amp;A</td>
<td>Management’s Discussion and Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAPA</td>
<td>National Academy of Public Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORFI</td>
<td>Other Reported Financial Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSI</td>
<td>Required Supplementary Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFFAC</td>
<td>Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFFAS</td>
<td>Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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